RECEIVED DEC 0 6 2012

BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA 1 CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION 2

INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

3

4

PUBLIC MEETING OF NOVEMBER 16, 2012

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

In the above-captioned matter, before the Indiana Civil Rights Commission, Alpha Blackburn, Chairman, taken before me, Dabney A. Hill, a Notary Public in and for the State of Indiana, County of Marion, at the Indiana Government Center South, Conference Center, Room A, 402 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, on Friday, November 16, 2012 at 11:15 o'clock a.m.

> CIRCLE CITY REPORTING 135 North Pennsylvania Suite 1720 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 635-7857

1	APPEARANCES:
2	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
3	Alpha Blackburn, Chairperson
4	Steven A. Ramos David Carter
5	Charles D. Gidney
6	
7	INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION
8	By Jamal Smith, Director/Secretary
9	Joshua Brewster, Deputy Director
10	Indiana Government Center North
11	100 North Senate Avenue, Room N103
12	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
13	
14	OTHER COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:
15	
16	Pamela Cook
17	Debbie Rincones Chavez
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

11:15 o'clock a.m. 1 November 16, 2012 2 The Indiana Civil CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 3 Rights Commission is now in public session. 4 5 You have in front of you an agenda. I would ask for the approval and adoption of the 6 7 minutes. VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: 8 I move we approve 9 and adopt the minutes. 10 COMM. RAMOS: Second. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And all in favor? 11 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 12 13 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 14 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 15 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you. 17 You have in your packet, seen already, the 18 19 financial report, but I would encourage our going over that a little bit and then see if 20 21 there are any questions. MS. COOK: Good morning. 22 23 COMM. RAMOS: Good morning. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Good morning. 24

MS. COOK: You have had a chance to review

25

the October report. I would like to highlight just a few items.

1.0

1.3

On Page 1 of the summary from the audit sheet, we have received in our Cooperative Agreement Fund currently \$222,500 from EEOC. That fulfilled our contractual agreement.

Also from HUD we received \$438,196, and for our HUD partnership fund \$62,500. We are currently anticipating receiving an additional \$187,500 in partnership funds. That completes all our agreements for this fiscal year. And those figures are included in Business Funds Items 61400 and 61401.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I have a question about the Commission for Women, the Women's Commission Special Fund.

MS. COOK: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Those dollars, what do we do with them?

MS. COOK: The --

DIRECTOR SMITH: I'll answer that, Madam
Chair. Each of the commissions -- let me make
sure I'm saying that correctly. I do believe
each of them have access to a special fund
legislatively, and it is their right to do with

that whatever they choose to do assuming that they are not breaking any laws. Considering they are under the ICRC umbrella, I have and we have encouraged them to utilize the -- I'm not a big fan, I'll say that -- I am not a big fan of the public approving a special fund. I think in the past they have had fundraisers and things of that nature and you are co-mingling that with taxpayer dollars and spending that on whatever you like, I think there's a perception to be dealt with and one that they need to be really considerate of.

And so one of the biggest events that the Women's Commission hosts as I'm sure you are familiar with is the Torchbearer Awards, which I think at one point cost somewhere in the neighborhood of 40 grand to host. So we are in the process of kind of going through that budget and ensuring that we do a very, very nice event for as less expensive as we can possibly do it for, keeping in mind that it is a state agency, and we are dealing with taxpayer dollars. So by statute as a law man, you hear me saying, they have that mandate to have that special fund and the right to do with

it for the most part $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: But for the purposes of our budget, it's merely a pass-through or do you assume some oversight in terms of scrutinizing their programmatic activities?

The latter is correct. DIRECTOR SMITH: We take responsibility not just for that fund, but the Commission as a whole, the Women's Commission as well as all the other cultural commissions. All of their budgets, they have to pass through the ICRC and we manage those funds, their appropriation as well as their special funds, which is why we have encouraged them to be extremely mindful of how they use those funds, even though it is a special fund. But there is a delicate line that we kind of walk there because, you know, they are supposed to drive the objective and the mission of that Women's Commission and we don't want to interfere with that.

But on the same token, we want to make sure, again, that we are mindful that this is a state agency, all of us are state agencies, and we do, in general, deal with taxpayer dollars. So we are responsible for making sure that we

achieve that goal of making sure that we are 1 good stewards of those funds, special fund or 2 3 not. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I understand. 4

Thank you so much for that explanation.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I just want to make a minor request. I notice that when you do the charts, you use a color printer. So for you, the reports are quite clear and easy to read. On the other hand, when we get the copies, the colored portion obliterates the typed material so we can't read it.

MS. COOK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And that was a problem in two or three of the reports.

DIRECTOR SMITH: So we need those printed in color?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So you don't -- just don't use the color or use some other means to highlight the portions that you want to highlight.

MS. COOK: Will do.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you.

DIRECTOR SMITH: So just to clarify that,

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Madam Chair, you do not want them printed in color?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Well, if you see the examples that I have put before you --

DIRECTOR SMITH: Okay. I've got you.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Can you see that?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: The gray portion has numbers, figures but you can't read any of that because when it goes through a color printer and it's printed in black and white, it's just the value of the color is so close to that of the print that you can't read it.

COMM. RAMOS: There's only one penny in the expanded special fund?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yeah, we —— they had at one point quite a bit of money in the special fund and used it for some programming and decided not to replenish it but to use the appropriated funds to achieve the objectives of the commission. So they are moving in what I would consider to be the right direction as it relates to the special fund, and we are encouraging the other cultural commissions to follow suit.

2.2

COMM. RAMOS: Thank you.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Just out of curiosity since we are picking this apart, there are two Martin Luther King, Junior, holiday commissions. One has quite a lot of money and the other has \$42.89. What is the difference between them? Obviously, there is — there must be a difference between them, especially since that's an event that is coming up and presumably hasn't been paid for yet.

MS. COOK: Okay. The larger amount under Fund 17100 is the actual general fund. The \$42 that was left was some individual funds that came in that they have never spent.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: And it has a different number --

MS. COOK: Yes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- because that's the way it goes on the card?

MS. COOK: Yes, had to keep it separated.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.

DIRECTOR SMITH: So the MLK Commission also has a special fund with that \$42 -- was it \$42?

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Uh-huh.

DIRECTOR SMITH: And so just like the other commissions, we are encouraging those special funds to be replete and achieve the objectives of that agency or that commission with the appropriated funds from the general account. 42 bucks is all that's remaining.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: To the penny.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All right. Thank you very much.

COMM. RAMOS: One final question.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Yes.

COMM. RAMOS: If individuals -- I don't know that we actually solicit, but say the Martin Luther King fund, someone wanted to contribute \$10,000 because they want to put an exhibit or something in. Do you expect that --

MS. COOK: That goes into -- the special fund, I believe it's designated, also not only special funds, but as a donation account.

COMM. RAMOS: Okay.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Any other special fund questions? No, this is good. I think we should have this conversation and it should be on record, so if you guys have questions, shoot, by all means.

COMM. RAMOS: We are good.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Are you invited to the meetings of those various commissions or do you hold a special gathering with each of them at some point?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Well, the directors of all of the commissions are now housed by statute at ICRC and they report up through to me. The direct report is Danny Lopez, who is the executive director of the Hispanic Commission but serves as primary function as one of the deputies in the agency for outreach communication, education and communication.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So does he convene them all or does he just deal individually with them?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Individually with each of the directors, and they, in essence, run their individual commissions, so to speak, and they work with their commissioners to achieve the objectives of that specific, you know, commission. And then we -- I mean, we go -- Danny attends the majority of their meetings. I attend them. As of late, because they have just recently moved over, I have been attending

them probably more frequently than not. Danny attends them all and addresses any concerns or questions that their commissioners might have, i.e., a special fund.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Which is way too soon for this. What do you --

at one point, for example, the social status of black males to go to a conference, and people who had to pay a registration fee to attend that conference, that money can't go back into the special fund, so — I mean go back into the general fund, so those are captured in the special fund.

The advice was given and I concurred that if the objective was to help a certain segment of the population, many of which didn't have the funds to pay a registration fee anyway, that those registration fees should be waived and that we should leverage and use the general fund monies to put on said conference or education seminar, panel discussion, what have you.

COMM. RAMOS: But can't you establish this kind of a fee in -- put it into a designated

fund that is used for transportation for the students to attend the MLK kind of thing? So it is a designated fund; not a special fund, a designated fund?

DIRECTOR SMITH: I would argue against creating any more additional funds is my personal thought but, for example, if we need to transport, you know, young folks from Point A to Point B, you know, we could do so with the funds that are allocated out of the general account, you know, which we do now.

So that's why, you know, I think the MLK is somewhere around, I think, 20 grand to accomplish that one event, and you know, we have to plan accordingly so that we can get done everything that we need to get done within that 20 grand. And that includes the transportation, feeding the young people, guest speakers, printing, you know, all of the above. And we negotiate best price. You know, we leverage the fact that we are the state, and like I said, being stewards and mindful of the fact that we are dealing with taxpayer dollars. You know, if we were doing originally something —

1.8

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Creative?

pirector SMITH: -- that exceeded the 20 grand, you know, and I think -- and I have always been a big advocate of partnerships. I think that is where we -- for example, and I'll talk about this when I get to the report, you know, in regards to the MLK event specifically, there is a traveling MLK exhibit that someone got the amount of money and actually put it together. We can't afford it, whether we had a special fund or not, all right, so J.P. Morgan is the sponsor of that piece, and you know, we will reach out and work with whomever we need to work with to partner to make sure we get that here pending availability.

So I would advocate for partnerships and, you know, just being really -- you know, budgeting really well with the money that we have been allocated versus establishing more funds. Because I think you get into crosshairs as a state agency dealing with taxpayer dollars when you start fundraising and doing things of that nature. You know, when you are doing it in the political arena and election season and all of those things, some things can get

misconstrued, and I would rather stay out of those and stay very clear and concise as to what our main objectives are.

COMM. RAMOS: In your relationship with J.P. Morgan, Chase Morgan, do they request a letter that says, "This has been used for X, Y and Z," and selective tax status so that they can receive benefit from, you know, tax?

their agreement's with the folks that are kind of putting on the MLK piece. We aren't the first to tap into the exhibit. The exhibit was here — it was during Black Expo initially. That is how we got wind of it. There is a process that we have to go through to bid to have anything come. There are a few different sizes of the exhibits and there is a minimum amount of time that they have to stay up. I'm sure there is a checklist of things that we would have to agree to for them to even deliver it, but the tax exempt piece, I'm not aware of it.

COMM. RAMOS: Okay, and having worked in nonprofit, a lot of times they will contribute, but they want your tax status that says you are

a 501, you are a C3, you are a C6.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yeah.

COMM. RAMOS: That way they can receive tax --

DIRECTOR SMITH: Our agreement isn't with them specifically. They are sponsoring this thing nationally. We are just hitching along to the -- we are hitching onto the wagon here and going along for the ride because they have already established it, so they are going to partner with all parties involved and see if we can take advantage of the fact that it is already paid for, you know, and we can bring it in. So we don't have to, you know, spend any of the allocated money that we have to get something like that.

COMM. RAMOS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you very much.

I'm sure Ms. Cook shares your view of having

fewer instead of more special funds. Thank

you.

Next is old business and reports by commissioners on their Complaint appeals. Do we have any report from Commissioner Crenshaw?

MS. CHAVEZ: Madam Chair, we do. For

1	Debra White versus Heart of CarDon, it's upheld
2	with no probable cause.
3	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: May I have a motion
4	to accept?
5	COMM. GIDNEY: So motioned.
6	COMM. RAMOS: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All in favor?
8	COMM. RAMOS: Aye.
9	COMM. GIDNEY: Aye.
10	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: AYE.
11	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you.
14	MS. CHAVEZ: Thank you. And Rosalind
15	Cammack versus Lincoln Life is also upheld with
16	no probable cause.
17	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: May I have a motion
18	to accept?
19	COMM. GIDNEY: I'll motion.
20	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All in favor?
22	COMM. GIDNEY: Aye.
23	COMM. RAMOS: Aye.
24	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ayes.
25	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed?

(No response.) 1 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you. 2 MS. CHAVEZ: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you. 4 Commissioner Carter? 5 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Madam Chair, in the 6 matter of Derek Kelley versus Advance Auto 7 Parts, I recommend we uphold the no probable 8 cause finding. 9 Thank you. May I CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 10 have a motion to accept that recommendation? 11 COMM. GIDNEY: So moved. 12 COMM. RAMOS: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All in favor? 14 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 15 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 16 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 17 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 18 (No response.) 19 Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 20 Commissioner Ramos? 21 COMM. RAMOS: Madam Chair, in the case of 2.2 Robert Bailey versus VPA and versus Trinity 23 Health Corporation and Memorial Hospital South 24 Bend, I recommend that we uphold the executive

25

director's finding of no probable cause. 1 And in the case of Chris Sellers-Hegg 2 versus Belden Wire and Cable Company, I also 3 recommend that we uphold the executive 4 director's finding of no probable cause. 5 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you very much. 6 In both cases, may I ask for a recommendation 7 to accept? 8 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: I recommend we 9 accept all four as recommended --10 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All right, great. 11 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- or I move for 12 the acception of all four. 13 Thank you. Indeed. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 14 I second. COMM. GIDNEY: 15 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And all in favor? 16 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 17 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 18 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 19 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 20 (No response.) 21 Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 2.2 Next case is Irene Tillman versus the 23 Indianapolis Housing Agency, and in that case I 24

25

recommend that we uphold the no probable cause

finding. 1 May I have a motion to accept that? 2 So moved. VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: 3 COMM. GIDNEY: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And all in favor? 5 Aye. VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: 6 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 7 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 I want to CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you. 11 move now to -- do we have a report from 12 Commissioner Baynard? 13 MS. CHAVEZ: He hadn't posted them in time 14 and we'll have them at the next meeting. 15 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All right. 16 MS. CHAVEZ: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Commissioner Gidney? 18 COMM. GIDNEY: Yes. In the case of Dipert 19 versus St. Joseph County Juvenile Center, my 2.0 recommendation is to uphold the finding of no 21 probable cause. 22 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All right. May I 23 have a motion to accept? 24 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: So moved.

25

- 11	
1	COMM. RAMOS: Second.
2	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye.
3	COMM. GIDNEY: Aye.
4	COMM. RAMOS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you very much.
6	Anyone opposed?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And do we have a
9	report from Commissioner Garcia?
10	MS. CHAVEZ: Yes, we do. Also, deputy
11	directors upheld to no probable cause.
12	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I'm sorry, what did
13	you say?
14	MS. CHAVEZ: The deputies director was
15	upheld to no probable cause. That means you.
16	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you.
17	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: I move we accept
18	that recommendation.
19	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you.
20	COMM. RAMOS: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And all in favor?
22	VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye.
23	COMM. GIDNEY: Aye.
24	COMM. RAMOS: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you. Any

1 opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And now we would like to assign the commissioners to review the cases that you have listed in your agenda.

Commissioner Carter, Gotoimonana and Eloisa

Aguilar Luna versus Golden Corral. The first case is Summers versus Elkhart Products

Corporation.

And then Commissioner Gidney, if you would review Dorothy Morgan versus Essling Cleaners.

I'll review Nadia Thomas versus Premier Rental.

Commissioner Ramos, Robert F. Bailey, Jr. versus Pfeifer, Morgan and Stesiak. And I'll take that second case (inaudible).

COMM. RAMOS: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So that's that. And the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, we have a number of them to consider. Heather Bottomley versus Shelby Animal Rescue, Inc., doing business as Red Wolf Lodge. May I have a motion to accept the findings?

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: So moved.

COMM. GIDNEY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All in favor?

.

. 18

COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 1 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 2 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 4 (No response) 5 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: In the case of Blaire 6 Duncan versus Indiana Mentors Network, may I 7 have a motion to accept? 8 So moved. COMM. RAMOS: 9 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All in favor? 11 COMM. GIDNEY: Aye. 12 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 13 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 14 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you very much. 17 In the case of Jamal L. Smith in his 18 official Capacity as Executive Director versus 19 M. Investments, LLC, may I have a motion to 20 accept? 21 COMM. GIDNEY: So moved. 2.2 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Second. 23 COMM. RAMOS: Aye. 24

COMM. GIDNEY:

25

Aye.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye. 1 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Any opposed? 2 (No response.) 3 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you very much. 4 An Order Denying Motion to Lift Stay. Now, I 5 understood that we were going to delay that? 6 MS. CHAVEZ: I'm sorry, say again? 7 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Did I receive 8 something in writing? 9 MS. CHAVEZ: It's been changed from the 10 original package. 11 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So it has been 12 changed already? This is the new package? 13 MS. CHAVEZ: This is the new one. 14 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: All right. Have you 15 had a chance to look at that, Commissioners? 16 All right. In that case, then, we are ready to 17 move on the acceptance of the order as you have 18 read it, denying Motion to Lift Stay. 19 have a motion to accept? 20 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: So moved. 21 Second. COMM. GIDNEY: 2.2 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And all in favor? 23 Aye. COMM. RAMOS: 24

COMM. GIDNEY:

2.5

Aye.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Anyone opposed?

(No response.)

Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN:

Madam Chair, if I DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: may, I have a couple of new things that came to us before we -- or after we put out this agreement that we would ask for your vote and approval of. One is in order in the case of Daniel Tripp versus Gary Meyer, we had filed a -- one of our staff attorneys had filed a Motion for Default because the respondent had not responded; however, they have since responded, so we have asked that we would withdraw that Order for Default that had already be issued by Judge Lungi. Judge Lungi has issued his proposal for granting that motion and would seek your approval to -- for that.

You want to read the CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: language of it, please.

Yes, sure. DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: November 5th, Robert Lungi, administrative law judge for the Indiana Civil Rights Commission issued his Order by Default and Notice of

Hearing on Damages. On the same date Michael 1 Healy, staff counsel for the Indiana Civil 2 Rights Commission and the public interest on 3 behalf of the Complainant filed by Complainant 4 Daniel Tripp filed a Motion for Withdrawal of 5 Application for Order by Default and Motion to 6 Set Aside Motion by Default. Having carefully 7 considered the foregoing and being duly advised 8 in the premises, the undersigned chairman of 9 the ICRC finds and rules as follows: The ICRC 1.0 prefers that matters be resolved on their 11 It is therefore ordered the Motion for merits. 12 Withdrawal of Application, Order by Default and 13 Motion to Set Aside the Order by Default is 14 granted." 15

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Do you need to vote or do you just need my signature?

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: I think I just need your signature on that one.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Not a problem. Okay.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: So the other one is

concerning a consent Agreement that has been

worked out just in the past couple of days on a

case where we had found probable cause and it

has been scheduled for a hearing. It has not

been heard yet, but we have -- Fred Brehmer has worked out a settlement on behalf of the Complainant and we have a consent agreement here for your consideration. Rather than putting this off until December, there is a matter of expediency here. The Complainant is incarcerated, and basically the settlement he has reached would allow him to post bond and get out of jail so that he can be with his wife who is soon to have his child. So rather than put this off until December, we were hoping that you would approve this consent agreement in a matter where we had found probable cause.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Mr. Brehmer, since you are here, that's a worthy achievement.
Would you like to read your recommendation?

ATTY. BREHMER: I didn't hear you.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Would you like to read what you have submitted?

ATTY. BREHMER: Oh, the Consent agreement is a very small amount of money, \$705. The case has to do with a man who said that he was discriminated against on the basis of his race in terms of being able to return to his particular place of employment, which has a

very liberal policy about hiring felons. In his situation, he was charged with a homicide and had a jury trial and was able to prove that he was innocent. So he was gone a good number of months, but then they made him go back through an employment agency which they use to forward people like this to them.

He made out an application there, which he didn't tell them about another offense that he had had about 20 years before that, and that disqualified him. As far as the employer was concerned, if this door-keeping agency disqualifies him, they wouldn't rehire him. The point was, as far as discrimination, that we had found some evidence of some other people who are white who had had some brushes with the law like this, but not gone that long, a shorter period of time, less serious, but we were prepared to go forward to a hearing about that. His situation is that he is just desperate to do this. (inaudible)

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you so much. I don't see any problem with either one of these.

ATTY. BREHMER: This has to be a provisional because we don't have signatures

yet. We just --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Yes, right. Thank

you so much. We'll do that as defined as of today and move forward next to our administrative updates and the executive

director's report.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry about that. We were having just a little fun, bit of conversation.

Really quick, just a couple of things to mention. One is -- and we have already mentioned it -- the MLK program. We have come to a pretty good place with that. It will be held Thursday --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: January 17th.

DIRECTOR SMITH: -- January 17th; right?
Thursday, January 17th will be the main
program. There will be probably a youth summit
in the morning like we typically do with the
young people at the State Museum. That will be
followed by the overall program held at the
State House where we will give out a few awards
recognizing a few individuals throughout the
state as well as one of the awards to the young
people from our arts, multimedia and writing

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contest, so that should be exciting.

Keynote speaker that day will be Gary Brackett, formerly of the Indianapolis Colts and still here locally, so this will be an exciting event. That will be followed by that Friday having our second annual -- we want to keep this going -- our Day of Service. Thursday is kind of a dog-and-pony show. give out the awards, we pat each other's back, and then Friday we spend some time in the community doing some community service in the spirit of King, so we'll be doing some community cleanup, we'll be doing some painting, you know, some neighborhood assistance. If it's snowing that day, we'll do some shoveling like we did last year, so on and so forth.

So that is turning out to be a great event and we are looking forward to that. I encourage all of you if you are available to attend, to attend that and take part in it as well. Any questions on that?

The last thing that I want to mention is we will -- and I'm not sure why this ever stopped, but we will restart, if you will,

submitting the annual report for the 1 commission, which should be done. And we 2 didn't do one last year because I needed to set 3 a baseline with measured events, so we spent 4 that year as the establishment for baseline 5 metrics, and then when we are concluded this 6 year, we will do an analysis of everything that 7 we have done including the objectives, 8 outlines, and our strategic plan, everywhere we 9 have been as it relates to our education and 10 outreach, how the money's spent, and our 11 analysis for how effective those things have 12 been, including the surveys that were given out 13 at every event that we have put on. So it's in 14 It will be done kind of its final stages now. 15 and completed by the next commission meeting. 16 I will submit it prior to Madam Chair for 17 review prior to that commission meeting for 18 approval and we will make sure we get it out to 1.9 all the commissioners so you guys have an 20 opportunity to review it. So I just wanted to 21 plant that seed and let you know that that is 2.2 in the works and we will get this process 23 started again. Are there any questions on 24

that?

2.5

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: No. We will look forward to it.

COMM. RAMOS: Just a question. It doesn't have to be in that report, but at least separately, if you have a scorecard or a dashboard, just as a reflection of, you know, where we were, your basepoint, where we are at, and what you anticipate over the next two to three years as a part of that. And I don't know if you give an annual report because I haven't seen the format of it, but at least on this side, if we could see that. It's important.

DIRECTOR SMITH: I agree, and it will include a lot of that. Some things that predate my tenure as the executive director, we won't be able to pull such specific information, but we will list some things in general terms. That's one of the reasons we didn't submit it last year because I needed a baseline measure, and so we sent out the surveys as you guys remember that first year.

That information will be included which outlines a lot of the feedback that we got, a lot of the numbers that we saw in way of

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complaints, in way of investigations and so on and so forth. That will be compared to this past year in how it relates in terms of where we are going, and then we do deliver kind of a forecast for what we see to be, you know, the next steps.

What we do is we evaluate all the partnerships. We have established a number of different partnerships, and the idea is to evaluate whether they have been effective and we continue them or if they have been ineffective and we need to discontinue them and look at other partnerships. So all of that will be included in the report. And you know, once I get it to you guys, I definitely want you to take a look and if we are missing anything else, you know, let us know and we will make And if we need to sure that it's included. omit something, you know, let us know that as Because once it is submitted, obviously it goes to the general assembly, it goes to the public, so we want to make sure that it is as inclusive and comprehensive, but as thorough as we possibly can make it.

Any other questions?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I don't believe we have any questions.

comm. RAMOS: I don't have any questions on that, but I have a separate question. And that is with a change in administration, do you anticipate any of that within the organization as we move forward into next year?

effected the change in administration, but a few things are changing. One is that, as you guys are aware, we are losing our deputy director. He is going on hopefully to do some bigger and better things. He's moving out of state. So we will be looking to replace — not replace him. We can never replace Mr. Joshua Brewster, but fill the position, the void that it leaves in part of the agency.

I have been asked the question, of course, a number of times whether I will be here or not. The answer to that question is I have heard nothing, but I would say that I'm not going to be the executive director, so as of right now I am the executive director of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission and that will remain until I hear otherwise.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

shown.

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

COMM. RAMOS: Thank you, sir.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Any other questions?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I have a question from your submitted report. Under investigation by the agency, the number shows a 22 percent -- 22 percent of the cases in education, which surprises me because I don't read much about that in the cases that we get Can you comment on why you think to review. that number is second only to employment? expect there to be a number of complaints, a high number in employment, and my expectation always also is that there would be a number of complaints in housing, but I don't know if that is included in the accommodation numbers or where the housing investigation numbers are

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Let me see if I can rephrase your question so that --

The first part is: Is there an explanation as to why it would be --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: If you have a view, can you explain why there would be 22 percent of cases under investigation around in the area of education and can you characterize them for

me?

2.3

midst of all of the education reform in the last year and—a—half and all the things swirling around education, some of the changes in terms of the way that education is laid out, school choice, kids get an opportunity to move and to change schools, I think that had brightened, if you will, people being educated about what their rights and responsibilities are in that area, especially for the kids.

In addition to that, I think a lot of times when we go out and do the outreach, we get, surprisingly, a number of questions surrounding education, you know, IEP plans, special education and things of that nature that parents were, I think, historically just signing over and not really understanding what effect that it had on their kids. And now, coupled with all the education reform, in addition to all of our knowledge and education about where they can file with somebody if they have a complaint, I think it's starting to create more of a buzz, you know, for parents as it relates to education.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

Now, education cases typically take a longer time to investigate because you have to do it in the summer when the school is out and to be honest, most schools and school districts aren't that willing to relinquish information, so it makes the process a bit longer than, let's say, a housing or employment case. So those tend to stick in the report a lot longer than, let's say, the housing does, which is why the numbers, I think, may be a little bit more lower than they normally would. I think those numbers will pan out once everyone kind of gets a hang of all the changes in education and the landscape for what education looks like in I think they will kind of even out, Indiana. but other than that, I really have no other explanation as to why they are the way they are.

I think before it evens out, though, we could -- I wouldn't be surprised to see the rise -- the charter schools have taken -- you know, the charter schools have been integrated, you know, a lot more here in the last year. You know, given the school choice and people transferring schools, the change in

demographics, you have, you know, a lot more Hispanic kids in schools with IEP plans. have Burmese kids attending schools, dealing with some of those issues. We have school closings and schools being taken over by the Those things are still in the works, and I think until all of that kind of pans out, 7 I think, No. 1, we will continue to see an uptick in our education area, but then once 9 those type of things kind of even out, I think 10 that our caseload will kind of pan out as well. 11 That's my speculation. 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: The housing numbers, where are they?

That is identified DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: as real estate.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Real estate?

We cover not Yeah. DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: only housing, but actually commercial property as well.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: May I suggest you not do that?

> DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Okay.

The reason is that CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: they are of a distinctly different character. If someone is denied admission to an apartment complex for some reason, that is quite a different issue from someone not being able to buy a house in a neighborhood. It is a different character. It doesn't translate to me, and I don't know about the other commissioners. It doesn't suggest the real reason for the complaint by simply calling it real estate.

2.0

I think of a transactional thing with real estate, like a business agreement, quite different from the problems that one has when they are discriminating on the basis -- usually it's upon race. So I believe -- you are leaving. I'm giving this speech to the wrong person.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: It will be passed on.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: But may I suggest to you for the record that it's a recommendation that housing be a distinct area that we would track and follow in our numbers.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Separate from everything else related to that area or just change the heading? So you don't want to see real

1 estate --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Well, maybe you want to explain to me a little bit better what you mean by real estate.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Well, real estate -- the reason we use real estate is because that is what the statute talks about, real estate in the broader sense to include not only rentals but purchase and also commercial properties. But the fact is these numbers are almost exclusively housing. We don't receive that many cases of discrimination about, "They wouldn't rent me a place for my business." So most of these cases, even though it is termed real estate, it is housing, and it's primarily rentals.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: That argues for my position.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: And so they would be HUD cases --

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, almost all of them.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- and HUD doesn't
have (inaudible).

DIRECTOR SMITH: So the argument is to change, from a recording standpoint, these from

real estate to, let's say, housing?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Absolutely. And if it's not housing, you can call it real estate, but that number would be one percent, perhaps --

DIRECTOR SMITH: Right.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: -- or fewer. And that's why from a standpoint of the chart providing us accurate information, which is the only reason for doing it, I would suggest you make that change.

DIRECTOR SMITH: And when you look at the 22 percent, which that was the initial piece of the question --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Yes.

DIRECTOR SMITH: -- this is for the aged cases. That's not the number of cases that are in.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: That's not?

DIRECTOR SMITH: No, ma'am, so --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: That's the number of cases under investigation?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Right. But what it's saying is these are -- because there's a timeframe in which we expect all the cases to

1.0

1.3

be investigated, and once they have surpassed that timeframe, then they are considered aged.

One of the reasons -- that is the reason -- because education -- here's what I'm trying to explain. Educations tend to take longer -- we've been over all of those factors -- so their percentage of aged cases in that area will always -- well, not always, but are typically more than the other areas.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Right.

DIRECTOR SMITH: But there is another page -- that's why we need to number these pages -- that talks about the number of cases --

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: It's actually just right below that. We have a breakdown of the actual numbers of cases we have currently pending.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Currently pending.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: So that top portion is the percentage of aged cases; the bottom portion is the actual raw number of cases, yeah.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: And Josh, what you were saying about the statute is the statute

lists the areas of our jurisdiction. One of 1 them is real estate --2 Right. DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: 3 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- which in the 4 intent of the statute includes housing. 5 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Right. 6 DIRECTOR SMITH: But if you see down 7 there, Madam Chair, there are 22 education 8 cases and there are 51 housing cases currently 9 open in the inventory. 10 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And where is the 51 11 12 number? DIRECTOR SMITH: If you look -- see right 13 underneath there where we had 22 percent, just 14 underneath there, employment. 15 In closures? CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: 16 DIRECTOR SMITH: No, no, no, up above 17 closures. 18 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And below the dark 19 line that I can't read what's --20 DIRECTOR SMITH: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: I'll show you 22 where. Yeah, your dark area is printed out 23 much darker than ours. These are the 24

25

percentage that are aged and these are the raw

numbers of cases that are based on different areas, employment, housing, et cetera. So right now we have 22 education, but most, I would assume, we have in employment.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So essentially it is not different? The number is different. I'm looking in the wrong place. The numbers are the same.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Right. Well, these are this year's numbers. These are percentages. It's just coincidence that it's 22.

DIRECTOR SMITH: 22.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Right, but it is likewise in the closures?

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Yeah, these are the numbers of cases closed.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So the proportions of them really is not different?

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Right.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Okay.

DIRECTOR SMITH: I didn't hear that last part.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I said the proportion of the cases is really not essentially

different from the number that are aged.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Right.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: The closures -- for example, there are 22 closure settlements and cases -- no, I'm looking at the wrong --

DIRECTOR SMITH: No, they are, so for example, in the aged piece, what it's saying is 22 percent of all the education cases in that we have exceeded the timeframe --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: The time limits for your having closed cases?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Right, so we show six for real estate, that's actually a great number, because what it is saying is only 6 percent of the housing cases that come in have exceeded that expiration date. And so our housing investigators, our lead case investigators, are doing a really good job of getting those cases investigated and turned out.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Right.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Whereas the education cases are taking a little bit longer, and to their credit, it is not the investigators.

It's just more complex, a more cumbersome process.

2.4

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I understand.

DIRECTOR SMITH: And so if you look at the bottom --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I didn't mean to open a can of worms.

DIRECTOR SMITH: No. No.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: But I do think that for our benefit, if you could make that change --

DIRECTOR SMITH: Of course we can.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: -- in the report so we know exactly what it's talking about, that would be great.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Would it be okay -
CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And that question was really to be edified on the issue of education, to know, in fact, if that is an area that is growing in the number of complaints that are filed.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yeah, actually -
CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: And I understand your

explanation and completely concur that some of

the reasons may be just as you suggest.

DIRECTOR SMITH: If you look at the overall, we started the year with about 20

education cases open. It spiked at about 30 in July, and I think your report — it might have been dark and you can't see that — but we are back down at the 22 open cases, which means we are hovering right around where we began the year at. So the education cases aren't necessarily jumping up. They did for a minute, and I'll probably credit that to immediately following the legislative session when we had the school takeovers and things of that nature.

1.8

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: But as you track them over a longer period of time, as you have suggested that you will, you may see a slight increase in those or you think there might be?

DIRECTOR SMITH: I do. As a matter of fact, I will say this by increase, currently, looking at these numbers now. If you compared this year to last year at this time that — and I don't have those numbers with me, but I would venture to say we probably had a few open education cases on the table. But last year was when the plug gets pulled on education with all the legislation for education reform, so I think that kind of began that wave of public education related issues that then popped up,

if you will. Again, I think once those kind of even out, then the cases will kind of go back to where they were previously. That, again, is my guess. I don't know when it can possibly be, and I have no scientific data to back that

That is just kind of my guess.

COMM. RAMOS: Based on the information found in the right column, I would conclude that 63 percent of cases coming in will go past that hundred days and 37 percent of them are handled in less than that; is that a logical conclusion?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Did you just add all of these up?

COMM. RAMOS: I'm sorry?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Did you just add of all the percentages up?

COMM. RAMOS: Yeah.

DIRECTOR SMITH: I'm not really sure the math would work that way.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: No, no. I mean, generally, if you look at them all together, and you have to take an average of those, so it would be around 15 to 20 percent of the overall caseload is aged over 180 days, essentially.

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Which means we have improved over time?

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Well, that was the trend.

And I would say it's far DIRECTOR SMITH: less than 20. My guess, if I did quick math, we are looking at 15 tops or so, and in our metrics and the goals that we have accomplished, 15 is our marker. So each area has a targeted mark of 15 percent aged cases or fewer, and we're doing employment -- and, obviously, the education figure hovers around 20 because of the -- what we previously explained, but education needs work on it. It's an area we definitely need to work on. But in their defense, they deal with the biggest bulk of the cases that come in, and the caseload has to be taken into consideration as well.

COMM. RAMOS: That would be good to see. But that's a good number, and just looking at this, I wouldn't come to this conclusion.

DIRECTOR SMITH: You have to take the average overall. I mean that's a good point.

I'm glad you guys are asking these questions.

If you guys recall, a year and a half ago, we put this report together. It didn't exist, but we did it so that we could see some snapshot as to where the agency stood, not only from an investigative standpoint — outreach standpoint but an investigative standpoint as well. So asking those questions is good stuff.

Now I will have a question regarding real estate. Would it be okay if we put housing/real estate, if it can encompass both?

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I prefer you call it housing. I don't know what the stats are with regards to complaints at this agency in 25 years with regard to real estate in the sense that was described to me that covers anything other than housing.

And nationally it is not an issue, at the regional level it is not an issue, and in the state it's not an issue, but housing is.

I mean I was reading this in some of the articles that you were good enough to include in our practice this time. There is a coalition of organizations and complainants coming together to file a federal fair housing complaint. And we have those kinds of problems

1 0

here where people just haven't gotten together, say let's do it all together so we don't have a huge problem, but certainly here traditionally housing has been sometimes the most predominant area of complaints, certainly second to employment cases.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Well, we'll definitely take a good look at having that changed. I think internally we've all understood that real estate to be housing so I don't think there's any issue in terms of how we will understand the report so it's just a matter of changing the labels is fine.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: It's just a matter of communicating with the public. Whenever we have done PSAs and educational outreach, you don't go out and talk to people about real estate.

DIRECTOR SMITH: All right. I don't think it will be a big --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Unless you think real estate agents are maybe steering people or having bad practices, but that's a totally different concern. Thank you.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I'm not trying to delay your celebration.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: I was just thinking before the State changed the word handicapped to disability or disabled, could we list disability as one of the protected classes when the State statute calls it handicapped? If the statute has one language, can we substitute?

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: We can do whatever we want.

DIRECTOR SMITH: This is our report. This is not --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Not an official document in that --

DIRECTOR SMITH: This is a communication piece for us to understand where we are. If changing the language helps us better communicate not only with one another but the public, I think we should entertain that change.

COMM. GIDNEY: But when you think of disabled and housing, those two kind of go hand-in-hand, as opposed to -- I'm sorry, disabled and handicapped, those two kind of go hand-in-hand versus real estate and housing,

because it kind of implies a different class 1 dealing with completely different issues. 2 VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: We have had the 3 case of people -- of real estate agents 4 refusing to sell to blacks and so on. 5 DIRECTOR SMITH: Any other questions on 6 the reports or --7 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Could you define a 8 couple of words for me that I found in the 9 material? 10 DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am. Where are 11 12 we? CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Senorited, 13 S-E-N-O-R-I-T-E-D. 14 DIRECTOR SMITH: Where is that at? 15 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I mean it's somewhere 16 in here, but does anybody know the meaning of 17 18 it? DIRECTOR SMITH: Was that in one of the 19 articles? 2.0 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Seniorited. 21 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Seniorited. 22 Seniority? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 23 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I'll have to find it, 24 but you've never heard it. Have you ever heard 25

of diversibilities? 1 DIRECTOR SMITH: Diversibilities? 2 that I recall. Was that part of one of the 3 articles? Where can I find that? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (inaudible). 5 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: I don't know. 6 Seniorited, some case in South Bend. And then 7 diversibility is maybe just a play on names 8 of --9 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: -- a festival or 11 something? 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is that one of the 13 articles, stories? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was one of the 15 stories, yeah. 16 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Diversibility. I 17 quess somebody's made that word up. 18 CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: So they didn't make 19 up seniorited? 2.0 DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Well, I'm trying to 21 see where that senoritive is, trying to find 22 that. 23 DIRECTOR SMITH: Whoever wrote the 24

25

article, it was a play on words. I have no idea

what they're referring to.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Oh, that was a story that had to do with a teacher complaining about being let go because she had gotten too old so they just came up with that word, seniorited out of the system like as in --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aged out.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: I don't know why.

It's just a silly word somebody made up.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: It was to do with seniorities?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Whoever wrote the article, I guess it's a play on words saying they were targeting teachers who have been there longer.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Pushed out because they're too old. They were seniorited.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Trying to make a verb out of a noun, I think.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Is that it, sir?

DIRECTOR SMITH: Yes, ma'am. Unless you guys have any other questions, that's it.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Any other questions --

DIRECTOR SMITH: More than willing to

answer.

CH
announce
announce
CH
CH
CH
CH
CH
CH
CH
CH
CH

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Any other questions, announcements?

DIRECTOR SMITH: I mean outside of -- CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: MLK stuff.

DIRECTOR SMITH: -- our MLK stuff, our dearly beloved deputy director.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER: Dates for next year.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Do we have a proclamation to read or anything like that?

DIRECTOR SMITH: We have some things that we're going to do for Mr. Brewster off the record once we finish.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: I hereby proclaim this Joshua Brewster Day.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: We can call it Joshua Brewster's last meeting. And thank you for your service here and working with us. We appreciate it very much, and the citizens of the state appreciate it very much.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: Thank you. I appreciate it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I second the motion.

2.5

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: You have the meeting dates in this packet for 2013 so you might want to hold onto this sheet until you can put them into your mobile devices.

MS. CHAVEZ: And I'll email each commissioner the dates as well.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: Thank you.

DIRECTOR SMITH: Is this okay with the Commission in terms of the change of the layout, and we will remove --

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: We like it this way so much better.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You were going to say you're going to remove --

DIRECTOR SMITH: -- a table because that's unnecessary space.

DEPUTY DIR. BREWSTER: So the recorder doesn't feel pinned in.

CHAIRMAN BLACKBURN: This is just about right. I'm comfortable. I can see without my glasses. Is it okay with everyone else? Feels more inviting, more commodious.

The meeting is adjourned.

_ _ _

Thereupon, the proceedings of

November 16, 2012 were concluded

at 12:15 o'clock a.m.

- - -

CERTIFICATE I, Dabney A. Hill, the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the City of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the proceedings taken by me on Friday, November 16, 2012, in this matter and transcribed by me. Dabney A. Hill Notary Public Stenographic Reporter My Commission Expires: August 10, 2018 County of Residence: Marion