

Indiana 2023 Ambient Air Monitoring Network Plan



Indiana Department of Environmental Management
Office of Air Quality
July 1, 2022

Table of Contents

Acronyms.....	7
Introduction.....	9
Public Review and Comment.....	9
Indiana’s Air Monitoring Network.....	9
Air Quality Data.....	9
Overview of Monitored Parameters.....	9
Criteria Pollutants.....	9
Carbon Monoxide (CO).....	9
Lead (Pb).....	10
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂).....	10
Ozone (O ₃).....	10
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀).....	10
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}).....	10
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂).....	10
Non Criteria Parameters.....	10
PM _{2.5} Speciation.....	10
NCore Monitoring.....	11
Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station, PAMS (Ozone Precursors).....	11
Toxics / Carbonyls / Metals.....	11
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂).....	11
Ammonia / Radiation.....	12
Meteorological Monitoring.....	12
National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).....	12
5-Year Network Assessment.....	13
New U.S. EPA Monitoring Requirements.....	13
Network Overview.....	13
Review Summary.....	17
Network Description.....	18
Network Review Description.....	19
Monitoring Requirements.....	21
Quality Assurance.....	22
Parameter Networks.....	26
Carbon Oxides (CO, CO ₂).....	26
Monitoring Requirements.....	26
Monitoring Methodology.....	26
Monitoring Network.....	26
Network Modifications.....	26
Lead (Pb).....	29
Monitoring Requirements.....	29
Monitoring Scale.....	29
Monitoring Methodology.....	29
Monitoring Network.....	29
Network Modifications.....	29
Oxides of Nitrogen (NO, NO ₂ , NO _y).....	32
Monitoring Requirements.....	32
Monitoring Methodology.....	32
Monitoring Network.....	32
Network Modifications.....	33
Ozone (O ₃).....	36
Monitoring Requirements.....	36
Monitoring Season.....	36
Data.....	36

Monitoring Methodology	36
Monitoring Network	36
Network Modifications	37
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	42
Monitoring Requirements	42
Monitoring Methodology	42
Monitoring Network	42
Network Modifications	42
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}).....	46
Monitoring Requirements	46
Monitoring Methodology	46
Data / Design Value	47
Unplanned Changes to the Network Plan.....	50
Network Modifications	50
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂).....	56
Monitoring Requirements	56
Industrial Monitoring	56
Monitoring Methodology	57
Monitoring Network	57
Network Modifications	57
PM _{2.5} Speciation	61
Monitoring Requirements	61
Monitoring Methodology	61
Monitoring Network	61
Network Modifications	61
NCore/PAMS.....	64
Monitoring Requirements	64
Monitoring Methodology	64
Monitoring Network	65
Network Modifications	65
Near-Road.....	69
Monitoring Requirements	69
Monitoring Network	69
Network Modifications	70
Toxics (VOCs).....	71
Monitoring Requirements	71
Monitoring Methodology	71
Monitoring Network	72
Network Modifications	72
Metals.....	75
Monitoring Requirements	75
Monitoring Methodology	75
Monitoring Network	75
Network Modifications	75
Meteorological Monitoring.....	78
Monitoring Requirements	78
Monitoring Methodology	78
Monitoring Network	79
Network Modifications	79

Appendices

Appendix A - Comment Submittal Information & Comments.....	82
Appendix B - Evaluation of Indiana's Continuous PM _{2.5} Data.....	83

Cover photo of: Jeffersonville – Bates-Bowyer Ave site

List of Tables

Table 1 – State Air Monitoring Network.....	14
Table 2 – Carbon Oxides Monitoring Network	28
Table 3 – Lead Monitoring Network.....	31
Table 4 – Oxides of Nitrogen (NO, NO ₂ , NO _x , NO _y) Monitoring Network.....	35
Table 5 – SLAMS Minimum O ₃ Monitoring Requirement.....	37
Table 6 – SLAMS O ₃ Sites Required for Indiana	37
Table 7 – Ozone Monitoring Network.....	40
Table 8 – PM ₁₀ Site Requirements.....	43
Table 9 – PM ₁₀ Monitoring Network.....	45
Table 10 – SLAMS Minimum PM _{2.5} Monitoring Site Requirements	46
Table 11 – Number of SLAMS PM _{2.5} Monitoring Sites Required for Indiana	47
Table 12 – PM _{2.5} Monitoring Network.....	53
Table 13 – Number of SO ₂ Sites Required by CFR.....	57
Table 14 – SO ₂ Monitoring Network	60
Table 15 – PM _{2.5} Speciation Monitoring Network	63
Table 16 – PAMS Target Compounds	65
Table 17 – NCore/PAMS Required Parameters.....	66
Table 18 – Ozone Precursor Monitoring Network	68
Table 19 – VOCs	71
Table 20 – Toxics Monitoring Network	74
Table 21 – Metals Monitoring Network.....	77
Table 22 – Meteorological Monitoring Network.....	81

List of Figures

Figure 1 – State Air Monitoring Network 2022	16
Figure 2 – Indiana CBSAs	25
Figure 3 – Carbon Oxides Monitoring Network	27
Figure 4 – Lead Monitoring Network	30
Figure 5 – Oxides of Nitrogen Monitoring Network	34
Figure 6 – O ₃ Design Values (2018 – 2020).....	38
Figure 7 – O ₃ Monitoring Network	39
Figure 8 – PM ₁₀ Monitoring Network	44
Figure 9 – PM _{2.5} Site Design Values (2018 – 2020).....	49
Figure 10 – PM _{2.5} Monitoring Network.....	52
Figure 11 – SO ₂ Monitoring Network.....	57
Figure 12 – Speciation Monitoring Network	62
Figure 13 – NCore / Ozone Precursors Network.....	67
Figure 14 – Near-Road Monitoring Network.....	70
Figure 15 – Toxics Monitoring Network.....	73
Figure 16 – Metals Monitoring Network.....	75
Figure 17 – Meteorological Monitoring Network.....	80

Acronyms

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AERMET	American Meteorological Society / Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Meteorology
AERMOD	American Meteorological Society / Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model
ANP	Annual Network Plan (this document)
AMoN	Ammonia Monitoring Network
APCD	Louisville Metropolitan Air Pollution Control District
AQI	Air Quality Index
AQS	Air Quality System
ARM	Approved Regional Method
BAM	Beta Attenuation Monitor
BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace
CAPS	Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift
CASTNET	Clean Air Status and Trends Network
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CSA	Combined Statistical Area
CSN	Chemical Speciation Network
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
DNPH	2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine
DRR	Data Requirement Rule
DV	Design Value
EJ	Environmental Justice
EMITS	Emission Inventory Tracking System
EMP	Enhanced Monitoring Plan
ESAT	Environmental Services Assistance Team
FEM	Federal Equivalent Method
FID	Flame Ionization Detector
FR	Federal Regulations
FRM	Federal Reference Method
GC	Gas Chromatograph
GC/MS	Gas Chromatograph / Mass Spectrometry
HPLC	High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
HVAC	Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning
ICP/MS	Inductive Coupled Plasma / Mass Spectrometry
IDEM	Indiana Department of Environmental Management
INDOT	Indiana Department of Transportation
KDEP	Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection
LADCO	Lake Michigan Air Directors Consortium
mm	Millimeter
mmBTU	One million British Thermal Units
LEADS	Leading Environmental Analysis and Display System
mb	millibar
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standard
NADP	National Atmospheric Deposition Program
NATTS	National Air Toxics Trends Station
NCore	National Core multi-pollutant monitoring stations

NEI	National Emissions Inventory
NH ₃	Ammonia
NIPSCO	Northern Indiana Public Services Company
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
nm	Nanometer
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO _x	Oxides of Nitrogen
NO _y	Total Reactive Nitrogen Oxides
NPAP	National Performance Audit Program
NWS	National Weather Service
O ₃	Ozone
OAQPS	Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
PAMS	Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station
Pb	Lead
PEP	Performance Evaluation Program
PM	Particulate matter
PM _{2.5}	Particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers
PM _{10-2.5}	Particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers, and greater than or equal to 2.5 micrometers
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PQAO	Primary Quality Assurance Organization
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PWEI	Population Weighted Emissions Index
QA	Quality Assurance
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QC	Quality Control
RTD	Resistance Temperature Detector
SWOQA	Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency
SASS	Speciation Air Sampling System
SHARP	Synchronized Hybrid Ambient Real-time Particulate
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SLAMS	State or Local Air Monitoring Stations
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SPM	Special Purpose Monitor
STN PM _{2.5}	Speciation Trends Network
S/V	Susceptible and Vulnerable Populations
TAD	Technical Assistance Document
TO-11A	Toxic Organic Compound sampling and analysis method
TO-15	Toxic Organic Compound sampling and analysis method
TPY	Tons Per Year
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSA	Technical Systems Audit
TSP	Total Suspended Particulate
TEOM	Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance
µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
U.S. EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UV	Ultraviolet
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
VSCC	Very Sharp Cut Cyclone
XRF	X-Ray Fluorescence

Introduction

In October 2006, United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) issued final regulations concerning state and local agency ambient air monitoring networks. These regulations in 40 CFR Part 58.10 require states to submit an annual monitoring network review to U.S. EPA. This network plan is required to provide the framework for establishment and maintenance of an air quality surveillance system and to list any changes that are proposed to take place to the current network in 2023.

Public Review and Comment

The annual monitoring network plan must be made available for public inspection for 30 days prior to submission to U.S. EPA. Information on how to comment on the plan and any comments received are listed in Appendix A (page 82).

Indiana's Air Monitoring Network

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) regulates air quality to protect public health and the environment in the State of Indiana. Air monitoring data are required by regulation and are used to determine compliance with U.S. EPA's National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Other important uses of the air monitoring data include, the production of a daily AQI report, daily air quality forecast report, support of short and long-term health risk assessments, identification of a localized health concern, and tracking long-term trends in air quality. Indiana monitors the six criteria pollutants which have NAAQS identified for them; CO, Pb, NO₂, O₃, particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}), and SO₂. Other pollutants which do not have ambient standards established for them are also monitored: toxics (volatile organic compounds, VOCs), metals, carbonyls, PM_{2.5} speciated compounds, ultrafine particles, ozone precursors, CO₂, ammonia, and radiation. In addition, meteorological data are also collected to support the monitoring and aid in analysis of the data.

Air Quality Data

IDEM presents two different types of air quality data, noncontinuous and continuous, on IDEM's Internet website <https://www.in.gov/idem/airmonitoring/>. Monthly and annual summary reports of pollutants collected by manual methods are available as well as hourly values from continuous monitors. The **Data Management and Display System (DMDS)** provides on-line access to Indiana's air quality monitoring data. It has been available to the public since July 2007. The DMDS offers access to near real-time data from active air monitoring sites across Indiana. This allows anyone to track pollutant and meteorological values throughout the day. In addition, past data back to 1998 are available as raw data and canned summary reports or user specified retrievals. Noncontinuous PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} data are available on IDEM's Internet website. Site information with site photographs can also be found at IDEM's Internet website linked above.

Overview of Monitored Parameters

Criteria Pollutants

Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a poisonous gas that, when introduced into the bloodstream, inhibits the delivery of oxygen to body tissue. The health risk is greatest for individuals with cardiovascular disease.

Lead (Pb)

Lead (Pb) is a metal that is highly toxic when ingested or inhaled. It is a suspected carcinogen of the lungs and kidneys and has adverse effects on cardiovascular, nervous, and renal systems.

Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) is a highly toxic, reddish-brown gas that is created primarily from fuel combustion in industrial sources and vehicles. It creates an odorous haze that causes eye and sinus irritation, blocks natural sunlight, and reduces visibility.

Ozone (O₃)

Ground-level ozone (O₃), or photochemical smog, is not emitted into the atmosphere as ozone, but rather is formed by the reactions of other pollutants. The primary pollutants entering into this reaction, VOCs and oxides of nitrogen, create ozone in the presence of sunlight. Ozone is a strong irritant of the upper respiratory system and also causes damage to crops.

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

Particulate matter with a mean diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) is emitted from transportation and industrial sources. Exposure to particle pollution is linked to a variety of significant health problems ranging from aggravated asthma to premature death in people with heart and lung disease.

Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

Fine particulate matter with a diameter of 2.5 microns or less (PM_{2.5}) is created primarily from industrial processes and fuel combustion. These particles are breathed deeply into the lungs. Exposure to particle pollution is linked to a variety of significant health problems ranging from aggravated asthma to premature death in people with heart and lung disease.

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂)

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is a gaseous pollutant that is emitted primarily by industrial furnaces or power plants burning coal or oil containing sulfur. At high concentrations, breathing can be impaired. Damage to vegetation can also result.

Non Criteria Parameters

PM_{2.5} Speciation

The chemical speciation monitoring program provides data regarding the makeup of the PM_{2.5} fraction. Knowing the chemical composition of the PM_{2.5} mix is important for determining sources of pollution and links between observed health effects. The basic objective of speciation analysis is to develop seasonal and annual chemical characterizations of ambient particulates across the nation. This speciation data will be used to perform source attribution analyses, evaluate emission inventories and air quality models, and support health related research studies and regional haze assessments.

The speciation samplers use different inlet tubes and filters to collect the components of the PM_{2.5} mixture. The process consists of using three different types of filters to separate out such specific compounds: sulfate, nitrate, organic and elemental carbon, ammonium, metals, and certain ions. A continuous monitor is also used to measure black carbon and organic carbon.

NCore Monitoring

NCore is a multi-pollutant approach to monitoring. NCore sites are intended to support multiple objectives with a greater emphasis on assessment, research support, and accountability than the traditional SLAMS networks. NCore provides an opportunity to address new directions in monitoring and begin to fill measurement and technological gaps that have accumulated in the networks. Indiana is required to establish and operate one urban NCore site. These sites are required to measure PM_{2.5}, speciated PM_{2.5}, PM_{10-2.5}, O₃, SO₂, CO, Nitrogen Oxides (NO/NO₂), Total Reactive Nitrogen Oxides (NO_y), and meteorology.

Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station, PAMS (Ozone Precursors)

Of the six criteria pollutants, O₃ is the most encompassing. The most prevalent photochemical oxidant and an important contributor to “smog”, O₃ is not emitted directly into the air. Instead, it results from complex chemical reactions in the atmosphere between VOCs and NO_x in the presence of sunlight. There are thousands of sources of VOCs and NO_x located across the country. To track and control O₃, U.S. EPA is trying to create an understanding of not only the pollutant itself, but the chemicals, reactions, and conditions that contribute to its formation as well. Because of this, U.S. EPA called for improved monitoring of O₃ and its precursors, VOCs and NO_x, to obtain more comprehensive and representative data on O₃ air pollution. U.S. EPA initiated the PAMS program in February 1993. The PAMS program required the establishment of an enhanced monitoring network in all O₃ nonattainment areas classified as serious, severe, or extreme. PAMS are now required at each NCore site located in a CBSA with a population of 1,000,000 or more. Details of the compounds sampled are found in the Parameter Networks section.

Toxics / Carbonyls / Metals

Toxic air pollutants, also known as hazardous air pollutants, are those pollutants that are known or suspected to cause cancer, other serious health effects, or adverse environmental conditions. Air toxics include semi-volatile and volatile organic compounds (VOC), metals, and carbonyls.

Air toxic compounds are released from many different sources, including mobile sources (vehicles), stationary industrial sources, small area sources, indoor sources (cleaning materials, etc.), and other environmental sources (wildfires, etc.). The lifetime, transportation, and make-up of these pollutants are affected by weather and landscape. They can be transported far away from the original source, or be caught in rain and deposited to waterways or land.

The air toxics, carbonyls, and metals are divided into separate categories due to different sampling and analytical methodologies used for each. With all three categories combined, more than eighty different pollutants are analyzed.

Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)

In 2009 the U.S. EPA declared CO₂ a pollutant. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the primary greenhouse gas emitted through human activities. Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are called greenhouse gases. CO₂ is naturally present in the atmosphere as part of the earth's carbon cycle. The carbon cycle is the natural circulation of carbon among the atmosphere, oceans, soil, plants, and animals. CO₂ emissions come from a variety of natural sources. Human activities can influence the carbon cycle by adding more CO₂ to the atmosphere and by influencing the ability of natural sinks, like forests, to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere. The main human activity that emits CO₂ is the combustion of fossil fuels like coal, natural gas, and oil used for energy and transportation.

Ammonia / Radiation

Ammonia (NH₃) is a gas that is prevalent in the air and comes from a variety of agricultural activities, industrial sources, combustion processes, and biological sources. The Ammonia Monitoring Network, AMoN measures NH₃ concentrations in a spatially dense, cost-efficient network to collect high quality data using a passive monitoring method. The AMoN began as a special study in the fall of 2007. In October 2010 the AMoN became part of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program, NADP. There are approximately 100 sites nationwide. There are three sites in Indiana. One site operates at Indpls – Washington Park. The other two sites are operated by other entities. For more information and access to data visit <http://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/AMoN/>.

The normal background level of gamma radiation in the air is measured by RadNet, a U.S. EPA nationwide network. There are 140 stationary air monitors across all 50 states continuously sampling the air for radiation. The data are used to determine background levels and to detect any fluctuations. There are two sites in Indiana. One site operates at Indpls – Washington Park. The other site is operated in Fort Wayne by local authorities. For more information and access to data visit <https://www.epa.gov/radnet>.

Meteorological Monitoring

Any study of air pollution should include an analysis of the weather patterns (meteorology) of the local area because the fate of air pollutants is influenced by the movement and characteristics of the air mass into which they are emitted.

If the air is calm and pollutants cannot disperse, then the concentration of these pollutants will build up. Conversely, if a strong and turbulent wind is blowing, the pollutant will rapidly disperse into the atmosphere and will result in lower concentrations near the pollution source.

The measurements of wind speed and direction, temperature, humidity, rainfall, barometric pressure, ultraviolet radiation, solar radiation, and mixing height are important parameters used in the study of air quality monitoring results and to further understand the chemical reactions that occur in the atmosphere. Meteorological monitoring is used to predict air pollution events, high pollutant concentration days, and to simulate and predict air quality using computer models.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

NAAQS are identified for the criteria pollutants; CO, Pb, NO₂, O₃, particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}), and SO₂. Measuring pollutant concentrations in outdoor air and comparing the measured concentrations to corresponding standards determines the ambient air quality status of an area as attaining or not attaining the standards.

The NAAQS are separated into primary and secondary standards. Primary standards are those established to protect public health. Secondary standards are those established to protect the public welfare from adverse pollution effects on soils, water, vegetation, manmade materials, animals, weather, visibility, property, and economy.

The scientific criteria upon which the standards are based are reviewed periodically by U.S. EPA, which may retain or change the standards according to its findings. Note that there are hundreds of compounds that are generally considered pollutants when found in ambient air but whose health and welfare effects are not well enough understood for ambient standards to be defined.

A pollutant measurement that is greater than the ambient air quality standard for its specific averaging time is called an exceedance. An exceedance is not necessarily a synonym for a violation. For each pollutant there are specific rules about how many exceedances are allowed in a given time period before a pattern of exceedances is considered a violation of the NAAQS that may result in regulatory actions to

further clean up the area's air. This distinction is made to allow for certain limited exceedances of the standard that may occur during an unusual weather pattern, for example, reserving regulatory action for instances where the exceedances are too frequent.

The design value for a site is the level of pollutant concentration when the rules of the NAAQS calculations are applied to that specific pollutant. For example, the O₃ design value is calculated by taking the three-year average of the annual fourth highest daily 8-hour maximums. If this number is above the NAAQS for O₃, then it is a violation or 'nonattainment' of the NAAQS. If the design value is below the NAAQS, then the area is in 'attainment' of the standard. Generally, nonattainment is based on the highest design value reported for a specific geographic area (usually a CBSA), and the entire area would be defined by that monitor and classified accordingly. This number basically tells you how polluted an area would be in relation to a NAAQS. A listing of the NAAQS can be found at:

<https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naqs-table>

5-Year Network Assessment

U.S. EPA requires a Network Assessment be performed every five (5) years, as per 40 CFR Part 58.10(d). The third Regional Network Assessment was published in 2020 by the Lake Michigan Air Directors Consortium (LADCO) for the states of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin. It is available at: <https://www.ladco.org/reports/monitoring-reports/>

The second Regional Network Assessment published in 2015, and the first Regional Network Assessment, published July 1, 2010, by LADCO are also available on this website. Indiana uses the recommendations from the Regional Assessment as input into the Annual Network Review.

New U.S. EPA Monitoring Requirements

When the NAAQS and monitoring requirements for the various pollutants are undergoing revision, even though IDEM is aware of the proposals and how they could possibly affect Indiana's monitoring network, only those requirements which have been approved and are in effect at this time are considered when modifying Indiana's current network.

EJScreen

Indiana has reviewed its network using U.S. EPA's online EJScreen tool which looks at 12 metrics of Environmental Justice (EJ) concern. When planning changes to its network, Indiana considers EJ as one part of the decision-making process.

Network Overview

Indiana has reviewed its current ambient air quality network and has developed a proposed network to be implemented during 2023. Current NAAQS, data trends, site redundancy, siting problems, site access concerns and other identified monitoring issues all contribute to any proposed network revisions.

Indiana's air monitoring network for 2023 consists of the sites and monitors listed in Table 1. All site changes which have occurred or plan to take place in 2022 are included along with the planned network modifications for 2023. Figure 1 is an overview of Indiana's current monitoring network with population density showing the locations where monitoring takes place in 2023.


The number of monitoring locations operated by the State will decrease by one to 71 sites. However, the number of monitored pollutant parameters will increase by 3 to 150.

Table 1 – State Air Monitoring Network

Indiana Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network 2023

AQS#	COUNTY	CITY	SITE NAME	SITE ADDRESS	O ₃	SO ₂	CO / CO ₂	NO ₂ / NO _y	PM ₁₀	PM _{10-2.5}	PM _{2.5} (FRM)	PM _{2.5} (Cont)	PM _{2.5} (Spec)	PM _{2.5} (Spec Cont)	LEAD	TOXICS (VOCs)	O ₃ PREC	CARBONYLS	METALS	MET
170230001	Clark, IL	West Union, IL	West Union	416 S. Hwy 1	X															X
180030002	Allen	Leo	Leo HS	Leo HS, 14600 Amstutz Rd.	X															
18003	Allen	Fort Wayne	Fl Wayne - Coliseum	707 N Coliseum Blvd	Relocation							Relocation								Relocation
180050007	Bartholomew		Hope	Hauser Jr-Sr HS, 9404 N775 E.	X		Discontinue													
180050008	Bartholomew	Columbus	Columbus - Rocky Ford Rd.	3475 Trestle Dr.								X								
180110001	Boone		Whitestown	Perry-Worth Elem Sch., 3900 E. 300 S, Lebanon	X															
180130001	Brown		Helmsburg	Jackson Twp Fire Dept., 4831 Helmsburg Road, Nashville	X															
180150002	Carroll		Flora	Flora Airport, 481 S. 150 W, Flora	X															X
180190010	Clark	Jeffersonville	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Ave.	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.					X		X	X	X	B. Carbon						
180190008	Clark		Charlestown State Park	Charlestown State Park, 12500 Highway 62, Charlestown	X						Discontinue									X
180190009	Clark	Clarksville	Clarksville	Falls of the Ohio State Park, 201 W. Riverside Dr.												X				
180350006	Delaware	Muncie	Muncie - Central HS	801 N. Walnut St.							Discontinue	Add								
180350009	Delaware	Muncie	Muncie - Mt. Pleasant Blvd.	2601 W. Mt. Pleasant Blvd.											X					
180350010	Delaware	Albany	Albany	Albany Elem. Sch., 700 W. State St.	X															
180372001	Dubois	Jasper	Jasper - Post Office	Post Office, 206 E. 6th St.					X		Discontinue	Add	X							
180390007	Elkhart	Bristol	Bristol	Bristol Elem. Sch. 705 Indiana Ave.	X															
180390008	Elkhart	Elkhart	Elkhart - Prairie St.	2745 Prairie St.								X		B. Carbon						
180431004	Floyd	New Albany	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Rd.	Relocate	Relocate					Relocate	Relocate								
18043	Floyd	New Albany		4-H Floyd County Fairgrounds	Relocation	Relocation					Relocation	Relocation								
180550001	Greene		Plummer	2500 S. 275 W	X						X	Add								X
180570006	Hamilton	Noblesville	Noblesville - 191st St.	Our Lady of Grace Catholic Church, 9900 E. 191st St.	X															
180570007	Hamilton	Fishers	Fishers	11775 Brooks School Road								X								
180570008	Hamilton	Carmel	Carmel - Hazel Dell Pkwy	9609 Hazel Dell Parkway							X									
180630004	Hendricks	Avon	Avon	7203 E. US Highway 36	X															
180650003	Henry		Mechanicsburg	Shenandoah HS, 7354 W. Hwy. 36, Middletown							X	Add	X							X
180670004	Howard	Kokomo	Kokomo - E. Vaile Ave.	1802 E. Vaile Ave.	X							X								
180890006	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Washington (formerly Franklin) Elem. Sch, 2400 Cardinal Dr.					X		X	Add				Relocation				
180890022	Lake	Gary	Gary - IITRI	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	B. Carbon		X				X
180890026	Lake	Gary	Gary - Burr St.	25th Ave. and Burr St.							X									
180890031	Lake	Gary	Gary - Madison St.	Indiana American Water Co. 650 Madison St.					X		X									
180890032	Lake	Gary	Gary - 4th Ave.	Gary SouthShore RailCats, One Stadium Plaza											X				X	
180890034	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago - Marina	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.		X			X		X	X			X	X			X	X
180890035	Lake	Whiting	Whiting - Center St.	1500 Center St. (H.S. Admin. Bldg.)												X				
180890036	Lake	Hammond	Hammond - 167th St.	NIPSCO district office and maintenance facility 1313 167th St.								X								
180890037	Lake	Hammond	Hammond - E. Lakeview St.	2141 E. Lakeview St.											Discontinue				Discontinue	
180892008	Lake	Hammond	Hammond - 141st St.	1300 E. 141st St.	X	X										X				X

Figure 1 – State Air Monitoring Network 2023



Review Summary

The changes proposed for the 2023 Monitoring Network are:

- Discontinuing SO₂ at Indpls – Harding St.
- Relocating CO₂ from Hope to Indpls – Harding St
- Relocating Toxics from Terre Haute – Ft. Harrison to East Chicago – Franklin
- Discontinue Pb and Metals from Hammond – E Lakeview St
- American Rescue Plan updates:
 - Michigan City – Marsh Elementary School (180910011) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236)
 - The continuous PM_{2.5} monitoring method at Bloomington (181050003) will change from a Met One BAM 1020 PM_{2.5} (FEM) (EQPM-0308-170) to a Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236)
 - The Mechanicsburg site (180650003) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236).
 - The Muncie Central High School (180350006) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640 is installed because of spacing issues.
 - The Jasper Post Office (180372001) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640X PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-238). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640X is installed because of spacing issues.
 - The East Chicago Franklin School (180890006) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640X PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-238). The goal is to continue sampling the non-continuous FRM to allow data comparison, but the site may be subjected to spacing issues.
 - The Plummer site (180550001) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236).
 - The Dale site (181470009) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) with the approval of the school
 - The University of Evansville site (181630016) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) with the approval of the school.
 - The Indianapolis School 21 site (180970084) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640 is installed because of a site relocation.

Updates on the changes made to the 2021 Monitoring Network:

- Discontinuation of the PM_{2.5} FRM filter-based method at Bloomington-Binford was delayed due to poor data comparison in 2021. A new monitoring method has been budgeted to replace the current continuous method at the site.
- Ongoing from 2018. The New Albany SO₂ and O₃ monitors were in an air monitoring shelter located on school grounds. The shelter needed to be moved to allow construction of a new school

building. During construction the monitors were allowed to operate in the old school building while a new air monitoring shelter location was being prepared. As the construction was being completed the area allotted for the new shelter did not meet siting criteria. IDEM began negotiations with a neighboring property owner to locate the shelter on their property. Negotiations were not successful and another location has been obtained. The monitoring equipment is currently operating in the new school building since the old school building was demolished. The site is expected to be operational in the 3rd quarter 2022. The continuous and 3-day noncontinuous PM_{2.5} have been suspended until the new site is installed. The collocated PM_{2.5} monitor was relocated to Charlestown on April 4, 2018.

- PM_{2.5} 3-day noncontinuous monitoring at Charlestown continues until a new shelter site can be established in New Albany.
- The Terre Haute – Fort Harrison Rd Toxics-VOC to Noblesville relocation was cancelled due to change in the need of a Noblesville site. The Toxics site will now be relocated to East Chicago.

Unplanned changes to the 2022 Monitoring Network:

- The Ft. Wayne – Beacon St site had to be vacated due to changes in property owners. A new site has been identified and progress is being made on establishing the site there.

Network Description

As per 40 CFR Part 58.10, an annual monitoring network plan which provides for the establishment and maintenance of an air quality surveillance system consisting of the air quality monitors in the state, is required to be submitted by all states to U.S. EPA.

Specifically §58.10 (a) requires for each existing and proposed monitoring site:

1. A statement of whether operation of each monitor meets the requirements of appendices A, B, C, D, and E of 40 CFR Part 58, where applicable.
2. Proposals for any State and Local Air Monitoring Station (SLAMS) network modifications.
3. A detailed description of the PAMS network being operated in accordance with the requirements of appendix D to 40 CFR Part 58.

§58.10 (b) requires the plan must contain the following information for each existing and proposed site:

1. The Air Quality System (AQS) site identification number.
2. The location, including street address and geographical coordinates.
3. The sampling and analysis method(s) for each measured parameter.
4. The operating schedules for each monitor.
5. Any proposals to remove or move a monitoring station within a period of 18 months following plan submittal.
6. The monitoring objective and spatial scale of representativeness for each monitor.
7. The identification of any sites that are suitable and sites that are not suitable for comparison against the annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS as described in §58.30.
8. The Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA), Combined Statistical Area (CSA) or other area represented by the monitor.
9. The designation of any Pb monitors as either source-oriented or non-source-oriented according to Appendix D to 40 CFR part 58.
10. Any source-oriented monitors for which a waiver has been requested or granted by the U.S. EPA Regional Administrator as allowed for under paragraph 4.5(a)(ii) of Appendix D to 40 CFR part 58.
11. Any source-oriented or non-source-oriented site for which a waiver has been requested or granted by the U.S. EPA Regional Administrator for the use of Pb-PM₁₀ monitoring in lieu of Pb-TSP monitoring as allowed for under paragraph 2.10 of Appendix C to 40 CFR part 58.

12. The identification of required NO₂ monitors as near-road, area-wide, or vulnerable and susceptible population monitors in accordance with Appendix D, section 4.3 of this part.
13. The identification of any PM_{2.5} FEMs and/or ARMs used in the monitoring agency's network where the data are not of sufficient quality such that data are not to be compared to the NAAQS. For required SLAMS where the agency identifies that the PM_{2.5} Class III FEM or ARM does not produce data of sufficient quality for comparison to the NAAQS, the monitoring agency must ensure that an operating FRM or filter-based FEM meeting the sample frequency requirements described in §58.12 or other Class III PM_{2.5} FEM or ARM with data of sufficient quality is operating and reporting data to meet the network design criteria described in appendix D to this part.

Network Review Description

The following definitions represent the categories found in the Network Review. Over the years, the list of Monitor Type designations has changed. This is the current list.

Monitor Type – Indicates the “Administrative classification of a monitor.” Each monitor can only have one monitor type at a time. The complete list allowed is listed below with those used in Indiana’s network **underlined and in bold**:

- **SLAMS** – State or Local air monitoring station for parameters (pollutants and/or meteorological data) addressed by 40 CFR Part 58. The SLAMS make up the ambient air quality sites that are primarily needed for NAAQS comparison, but may serve other data purposes. U.S. EPA must approve all SLAMS sites.
- **TRIBAL** – Air monitoring stations operating under the authority of a federally recognized tribal agency for parameters addressed by 40 CFR Part 58.
- **SPECIAL PURPOSE (SPM)** – A monitor that an agency has designated as “Special Purpose” in its annual monitoring network plan for parameters addressed by 40 CFR Part 58. SPMs are not counted by the agency when showing compliance with the minimum network requirements for the number and siting of monitors. SPMs generally indicate a shorter term monitoring project. Or monitors are designated SPM for the first 24-months of monitoring to allow for ease of site movement due to unforeseen circumstances.
- **INDUSTRIAL** – A monitor that is operated by a private industry entity rather than under control of a State, Local, or Tribal government.
- **EPA** – A monitor that is operated by EPA or an EPA contractor for parameters addressed by 40 CFR Part 58.
- **NON-EPA FEDERAL** – A monitor operated by another Federal agency for parameters addressed by 40 CFR Part 58.
- **OTHER** – A monitor for a parameter not addressed by 40 CFR Part 58. It is not allowed for criteria pollutants or other parameters associated with a monitoring network such as NCORE, PAMS, NATTS, etc.

Network – The Monitor Network or Program affiliation of the monitor. A monitor may have more than one at a time or no value. Those networks in Indiana’s plan are listed:

- **NCore** – *National Core (NCORE) Multi-pollutant Monitoring Station*: Sites that measure multiple pollutants at trace levels in order to provide support to integrated air quality management data needs. There is currently one NCore site for Indiana located in Indianapolis.
- **Near-Road** – Monitors at sites meeting the near road design as per 40 CFR Part 58. Typically measure near road peak hourly NO₂ or CO concentrations in larger urban areas. There is currently one Near-Road site for Indiana located in Indianapolis.
- **CSN Supplemental** – *Supplemental Speciation Station*: Any PM_{2.5} speciation station that is used to gain supplemental data and is not dedicated as part of the speciation trends network.

- CSN STN – *Trends Speciation Station*: A PM_{2.5} speciation station designated to be part of the speciation trends network. This network provides chemical species data of fine particulates.
- PAMS – *Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Station*: Sites established in serious and severe O₃ nonattainment areas in the 1990s to obtain more comprehensive data of areas with ozone pollution by also monitoring NO_x and VOCs.
- CASTNET – Clean Air Status and Trends Network is a national monitoring network established to assess trends in pollutant concentrations, atmospheric deposition, and ecological effects due to changes in air pollutant emissions.

NO₂ Design Criteria – operation of a minimum number of required NO₂ monitoring sites.

- Near-Road - Within the NO₂ network, there must be one microscale near-road NO₂ monitoring station in each CBSA with a population of 500,000 or more persons to monitor a location of expected maximum hourly concentrations sited near a major road with high AADT counts.
- Area-Wide - Within the NO₂ network, there must be one monitoring station in each CBSA with a population of 1,000,000 or more persons to monitor a location of expected highest NO₂ concentrations representing the neighborhood or larger spatial scales.
- Regional Administrator Required Monitoring – *Susceptible and vulnerable populations (S/V)*. The U.S. EPA Regional Administrators, in collaboration with States, must require additional NO₂ monitoring stations nationwide in any area, inside or outside of CBSAs, above the minimum monitoring requirements, with a primary focus on siting these monitors in locations to protect susceptible and vulnerable populations.

Operating Schedule – specifies how often a sample is taken.

- Continuous - operates 24 hours per day, 7 days per week; applies mainly to gaseous analyzers and meteorological instruments, although some particulate samplers (TEOM, SHARP, BAMs, and T640) operate continuously.
- Daily - a sample is taken every day; applies to manual method particulate samplers.
- 3 - Day - Manual method particulate samplers that run every third day.
- 6 - Day - Manual method particulate, toxics, or carbonyl samplers that run every sixth day.

Sampling Method – Each ambient air monitor is classified by a specific method number. This method combines both the collection procedure along with the analysis performed on the sample. These numbers can be found in the U.S. EPA “List of Designated Reference and Equivalent Methods” (see U.S. EPA Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center web page at: <https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/criteria.html>)

Scale – The specific “spatial scales of representation” describes the physical dimensions of the air parcel around the monitoring station throughout which actual pollutant concentrations are reasonably similar.

- Microscale - Areas ranging from several meters to about 100 meters,
- Middle scale - Areas ranging from 100 meters to 0.5 kilometers,
- Neighborhood - 0.5 to 4.0 kilometers, and uniform land use,
- Urban scale - 4 to 50 kilometers,
- Regional - 50 to hundreds of kilometers.

Monitoring Objective – Describes the purpose/objective for monitoring at a site.

- General/Background concentration – sites located to determine general background concentration levels.
- Highest concentration – sites located to determine the highest concentrations expected to occur in the area covered by the network.
- Maximum Precursor Emissions Impact – sites where the magnitude and type of precursor emissions in the area are expected to impact. These sites are suited for the monitoring of urban air toxic pollutants.

- Population exposure – sites located to measure typical concentrations in areas of high population density.
- Quality assurance – sites where two monitors of the same type are located; one used to report air quality for the site, and the other dedicated as an audit monitor.
- Regional transport – sites located to determine the extent of regional pollutant transport among populated areas, and in support of secondary standards.
- Source-oriented – sites located to determine the impact of significant sources or source categories on air quality.
- Upwind background – sites established to characterize upwind background and transported ozone and its precursor concentrations into an area.

Waiver Required – 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix E Section 10 allows for waiver provisions. Most sampling probes or monitors can be located so they meet the requirements of Appendix E. There may be existing sites that may not meet these requirements. The U.S. EPA will consider a written request from the State agency to waive one or more siting criteria for some monitoring sites providing that the State can adequately demonstrate the need (purpose) for monitoring or establishing a monitoring site at that location.

NAAQS Comparable – 40 CFR Part 58 Subpart B requires the identification of any sites that are suitable or not suitable for comparison against the PM_{2.5} NAAQS as described in § 58.11 and §58.30. If a ‘No’ is present in this category the data should not be used in comparison to the NAAQS.

Primary Monitor – The monitor identified by the monitoring organization that provides concentration data used for comparison to the NAAQS. For any specific site, only one monitor for each pollutant can be designated in AQS as primary monitor for a given period of time. The primary monitor identifies the default data source for creating a combined site record for purposes of NAAQS comparisons.

CBSA – Core-Based Statistical Area is defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as a statistical geographic entity consisting of the county or counties associated with at least one urbanized area/urban cluster of at least 10,000 population, plus adjacent counties having a high degree of social and economic integration. CBSA replaces the term Metropolitan Statistical Area, MSA. The term MSA continues to be used in the CFR. Several border areas are included with other counties in bordering states. Figure 2 is a map of the CBSAs in Indiana.

CSA – Combined Statistical Area (CSA) is defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as a geographical area consisting of two or more adjacent Core Based Statistical Areas (CBSA) with employment interchange of at least 15 percent.

Site Change Proposed – Designates whether this particular site is being considered for some type of modification during 2022 or 2023: relocation, discontinuation, or addition.

Monitoring Requirements

Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 outlines the Quality Assurance Requirements for SLAMS, and other monitor types whose data are intended to be used to determine compliance with the NAAQS. It details the calibration and auditing procedures used to collect valid air quality data, the minimum number of collocated monitoring sites, the calculation used for data quality assessments and the reporting requirements. All sites in Indiana operate following the requirements set forth in this appendix.

Appendix B of 40 CFR Part 58 specifies the Quality Assurance Requirements for the control and assessment of the quality of the ambient air monitoring data submitted to a PSD reviewing authority or the EPA by an organization operating an air monitoring station, or network of stations, operated in order to comply with Part 51 New Source Review—Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD).

Appendix C of 40 CFR Part 58 specifies the criteria pollutant monitoring methods which must be used in SLAMS and NCore stations. All criteria pollutant monitoring in Indiana follows the methods specified in this appendix.

Appendix D of 40 CFR Part 58 deals with the network design criteria for ambient air quality monitoring. The overall design criteria, the minimum number of sites for each parameter, the type of sites, the spatial scale of the sites, and the monitoring objectives of the sites are detailed in this appendix. In designing the air monitoring network for Indiana, the requirements of this appendix were followed. The specifics for each pollutant network are in the individual parameter chapters.

O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} have minimum monitoring requirements based upon the population of an MSA. Estimated 2019 population data from the U.S. Census Bureau are used in this report unless otherwise specified.

According to §2(e) of Appendix D, “The EPA recognizes that State and local agencies must consider MSA/CSA boundaries and their own political boundaries and geographical characteristics in designing their air monitoring networks. The EPA recognizes that there may be situations where the EPA Regional Administrator and the affected State or local agencies may need to augment or to divide the overall MSA/CSA monitoring responsibilities and requirements among these various agencies to achieve an effective network design. Full monitoring requirements apply separately to each affected State or local agency in the absence of an agreement between the affected agencies and the EPA Regional Administrator.” The individual tables list the data, the requirements, and the current sites for the full multi-agency MSAs or CBSAs. In instances where it is more logical or desirable to divide the monitoring requirements, Indiana has entered into an MOA, Memorandum of Agreement with some of the neighboring agencies to ensure that the minimum requirements for the MSA continue to be met and the resulting network provides adequate coverage. MOAs have been signed with the Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency (SWOQA), the Louisville Metropolitan Air Pollution Control District (APCD), and the Illinois EPA. In the past, IDEM has verified with these agencies that nothing has changed and the MOA is current and will pursue renewals when they are due.

Appendix E of 40 CFR Part 58, which deals with the placement of the monitoring probe, its spacing from obstructions and what materials the probe can be made of. All monitors operated in Indiana meet Appendix E criteria.

Most monitoring sites are visited weekly by site operators who confirm and document operations and note any potential siting problems that could cause noncompliance with Part 58.

Quality Assurance

Quality assurance (QA) actions fall under two categories:

- **quality control** – procedures built into the sampling and analysis methodologies to ensure data quality, and
- **quality assessment** – periodic outside evaluations of the analysis methodology and data quality.

The requirement for establishing a QA program is set forth in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A and achieved through guidance found within the Air Monitoring Branch’s Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs).

Five QAPPs have been approved by EPA Region V:

- Particulates - Volume I,
- Gases - Volume II,
- Meteorological - Volume III,
- Toxics – Volume IV, and
- Calibration, Certification, and Verification Methods of Transfer Standards – Volume V.

The technical framework for the QAPPs is based on the requirements and guidance outlined in the following documents:

- *40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A*, which specifies the minimum quality system requirements applicable to SLAMS and other monitor networks (e.g., SPMs, NCore) whose data are used to determine compliance with the NAAQS;
- *40 CFR Part 58 Appendix C*, which specifies the criteria pollutant monitoring methods (manual methods or automated analyzers) which must be used in SLAMS and NCore stations.
- *40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D*, which describes monitoring objectives, spatial scales, and general criteria to be applied in establishing the required SLAMS ambient air quality monitoring stations and for choosing general locations for additional monitoring sites.
- *40 CFR Part 58 Appendix E*, which has specific location criteria applicable to SLAMS, NCore, and PAMS ambient air quality monitoring probes, inlets, and optical paths for established stations to ensure the uniform collection of compatible and comparable air quality data.
- *U.S. EPA guidance documents*, primarily the *QA Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems: Volume II: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program* and *QA Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems: Volume IV: Meteorological Measurements*.

To further elaborate and define the information in the IDEM QAPPs, standard operating procedures (SOPs) are developed as guidance in achieving consistent and accurate quality assurance of environmental data operations.

An integral part of the monitoring network design is ensuring the EPA-approved air monitoring equipment is collecting ambient air and not affected by environmental and anthropogenic influences. Siting requirements are outlined in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendices D and E, the IDEM QAPPs, and the U.S. EPA QA Handbooks. Over time, monitoring sites that initially met siting requirements may no longer conform to those requirements due to changes in the surrounding physical environment, the shelter conditions, and/or property land-use. During site visits any potential siting or safety problems are noted and recommendations for corrections are made. On a triennial schedule, the Quality Assurance Section evaluates the site conditions collecting physical measurements, site photographs and other observations to verify that each monitoring site continues to meet the siting requirements. If issues are uncovered or if a site no longer meets siting requirements, the Ambient Monitoring Sections are notified of the problem.

Quality control (QC) procedures are necessary to ensure that the instruments are operating as intended and that the quality of the collected data meet acceptable limits for measurement uncertainty. For gas analyzers, automated systems for calibrations, daily span/zero checks, and weekly span/1-point quality control (QC)/zero checks ensure the QC of the collected data. For particulate matter (PM) instruments, QC checks are performed during monthly flow verification procedures. The Data Management System administrator and the Ambient Monitoring Section parameter specialists review these results to find any issues that may affect instrument performance and data validity. In addition, the parameter specialist can remotely review the operating performance of gas analyzers and continuous PM monitors, allowing them to identify potential issues with the instrument. Corrective action is undertaken when the one-point QC checks or the PM flow rate verifications exceed the limits prescribed in the IDEM QAPPs and Appendix D of the U.S. EPA *Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement System; Volume II*.


Data review is an examination of the collected data to ensure that it has been recorded and processed correctly, verified, and validated. Criteria gas data is initially reviewed by the data acquisition system to invalidate data based on the results of calibration, QC checks, or other anomalies (power failures, communication errors). The ambient monitoring data (gas and PM) are verified by the Ambient Monitoring parameter specialists using associated audit results and other records (e.g., logbook entries, filter log sheets) to ensure the data is accurate and complete. The review process is repeated by the QA Section staff to ensure correctness and that other operational and systematic requirements are met. The validated environmental data and the associated QC/QA results are submitted to the U.S. EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) within 90 days after the end of the quarter. Annually, IDEM certifies the CO, NO₂, SO₂ (hourly and 5-minute average data), ozone, lead, PM₁₀, PM_{10-2.5}, and PM_{2.5} monitoring data collected by FRM and FEM monitors at its SLAMS and SPM monitoring stations. Certification signals that (1) all the ambient

data and all the quality assurance data that were collected, and that have completed and passed the data verification and validation process have been submitted to AQS, and (2) based on the results of all quality control checks and performance assessments, the ambient data, quality assessment and quality control data meet EPA regulatory requirements and the data quality requirements specified in the IDEM QAPPs.

Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 58 requires an independent assessment of the ambient data collection activities, which is provided by the Air Monitoring Branch's Quality Assurance Section. Audit equipment used by the QA Section staff is independent of that equipment used by the Ambient Monitoring sections for calibrations, QC checks, and flow verifications. The QA Section performs semi-annual audits of gas analyzers using select audit concentration levels outlined in Appendix A. For filter-based and continuous PM samplers and the CSN PM_{2.5} monitoring network, the QA Section audits the flow rate, temperature, barometric pressure, and leak checks of those units quarterly. The QA Section has programs to compare the output of zero air generators against zero air cylinders, provide field zero air checks of the Summa canister collection systems used in the Air Toxics program, and to annually audit meteorological sensors. In addition, the Quality Assurance Section maintains and operates the Air Monitoring Branch Quality Assurance laboratory. This laboratory certifies that the operation of calibration and test equipment and the concentration of test gas cylinders by comparison to equipment or test gas cylinders certified to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards.

U.S. EPA Region V staff and its Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT) contractor, TechLaw, Inc., provide additional reviews of IDEM's monitoring operations and procedures. Each year the ESAT contractor conducts Performance Evaluation Program (PEP) audits on PM instruments and National Performance Audit Program (NPAP) audits on gas analyzers located at a select number of sites within the State. Every three years U.S. EPA Region V staff conducts a Technical Systems Audit (TSA) to review and evaluate the monitoring program, QA procedures, laboratory operations, and project documentation; IDEM's most recent TSA was performed April 2021. These reviews ensure that Indiana has a monitoring program capable of collecting ambient air data that can be compared to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and is representative of the air that Hoosiers breathe.

Figure 2 – Indiana CBSAs



Parameter Networks

Carbon Oxides (CO, CO₂)

Monitoring Requirements

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.2 details the requirements for CO monitoring. One CO monitor is required to operate collocated with one required near-road NO₂ monitor in CBSAs having a population of 1,000,000 or more persons. Other CO monitors may be required if deemed necessary by the Regional Administrator. In addition, 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §3(b) states that CO measurements will be included at the NCore multi-pollutant monitoring sites.

Microscale and middle scale measurements are useful classifications for SLAMS CO sites since most people have the potential for exposure on these scales. Maximum CO concentrations primarily occur in areas near major roadways and intersections with high traffic density and often poor atmospheric ventilation.

Middle scale CO monitoring is intended to represent areas with dimensions from 100 meters to 0.5 kilometers. In some cases, middle scale measurements may apply to areas that have a total length of several kilometers such as “Line Emission Sources.” This type of emission source area would include air quality along a commercially developed street, a shopping plaza, a freeway corridor, parking lots and feeder streets.

Microscale CO monitoring applies when air quality measurements are to be used to represent distributions within street canyons, over sidewalks and near major roadways. Microscale measurements in one location can often be considered as representative of similar locations throughout a city.

There are no requirements to monitor the greenhouse gas CO₂. One regional scale site, Larwill (181830003), will measure background CO₂ concentrations in Indiana while one neighborhood scale site, Indpls. – Harding St. (180970056), will measure CO₂ concentrations in a high population site.

Monitoring Methodology

Indiana’s carbon oxides monitoring network collects data with Teledyne Advanced Pollution Instrumentation (API) T300 (CO) and T360 (CO₂) analyzers using nondispersive infrared monitoring methodology. The API Model T300U Trace level/Ultra-sensitive analyzers is used to collect trace level CO data at the NCore Indpls – Washington Park site.

Monitoring Network

On January 1, 2023, Indiana proposes operating three CO and two CO₂ monitors, as displayed in Figure 3. The details of the current network are listed in Table 2.

Network Modifications

On December 31, 2022, Indiana proposes the discontinuation of the Hope CO₂ background site (180050007). Indiana has operated this CO₂ background site since September 28, 2016. Indiana proposes relocating this CO₂ monitor on January 1, 2023, to the Indy-Harding St. site (180970056) for the purpose of changing the monitoring objectives and spatial scales. The monitoring objective would be to measure CO₂ concentrations in a high population environmental justice site at a neighborhood scale. The Indy-Harding St. site exceeds 80% in all 12 EJ Indexes in EJScreen. Indiana would still maintain the Larwill CO₂ background site (181830003) as a regional background site.

Figure 3 – Carbon Oxides Monitoring Network




Table 2 – Carbon Oxides Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 42101, 42102 CO, CO ₂ - Carbon Oxides														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
0050007	Hope	Bartholomew		Hauser Jr-Sr HS, 9404 N775 E.	OTHER	09/28/16	Continuous	012	Regional	Background	39.294322	-85.766846	Columbus	Relocate
0970057	Indpls - Harding St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1321 S. Harding St.	OTHER	01/01/23	Continuous	012	Neigh	Background	39.749027	-86.186269	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	Relocation
0970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE)	01/01/10	Continuous	593	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
0970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	SLAMS (NEAR ROAD)	05/02/14	Continuous	093	Micro	Highest Conc	39.787933	-86.130880	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
130022	Evansville - Lloyd	Vanderburgh	Evansville	10 S. 11th Ave	SLAMS	09/10/09	Continuous	093	Micro	Highest Conc	37.977680	-87.596836	Evansville, IN-KY	No
130003	Larwill	Whitley	Larwill	Whitko Middle School, 710 N. State Rd. 5	OTHER	01/01/19	Continuous	012	Regional	Background	41.69722	-85.629444	Fort Wayne	No
<p><i>CO MONITORING METHOD: 093 - TELEDYNE API T300 593 - TELEDYNE API 300EU, T300EU TRACE-LEVEL 012 - TELEDYNE API T360 - CO2</i></p>														

Lead (Pb)

Monitoring Requirements

The lead NAAQS final rule of November 12, 2008, states that the primary and secondary standards for lead are not to exceed 0.15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ averaged over a rolling 3-month time period. 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.5 specifies that Pb monitoring must be conducted taking into account Pb sources which are expected to or have been shown to contribute to a maximum Pb concentration in ambient air in excess of the NAAQS, taking into account the logistics and potential for population exposure. At a minimum there must be one source-oriented SLAMS site located to measure the maximum Pb concentration in ambient air resulting from each Pb source which emits 0.5 or more tons per year (TPY). Annually IDEM works with U.S. EPA in reviewing the latest emissions inventories to determine if additional sources warrant monitoring. These emissions inventories include the most recent versions of the National Emissions Inventory (NEI), Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), and Indiana's Emission Inventory Tracking System (EMITS). IDEM reviewed the current emissions inventories and determined no new sources exceed the 0.5 TPY threshold, so no new Pb monitoring is required.

Waivers may be granted if the state can demonstrate the Pb source will not contribute to a maximum Pb concentration in ambient air in excess of 50% of the NAAQS, per 40 CFR Part 58 App. D Section 4.5. The waivers must be renewed once every 5 years as part of this ANP. At this time, IDEM requests a renewal of the Crane Pb monitoring waiver as no change in releases from this source has been documented.

Collocated samplers are required at 15% of the sites operated by a Primary Quality Assurance Organization (PQAO) or a minimum of one per network. Indiana is required to operate one collocated site.

Monitoring Scale

The appropriate scales for the source-oriented sites are either microscale (up to 100 meters) or middle scale (100 to 500 meters). The neighborhood scale (0.5 – 4.0 kilometers) is the appropriate scale for population-oriented monitoring.

Monitoring Methodology

Indiana utilizes TSP filter sampling with flameless atomic absorption analysis to generate ambient Pb concentrations from the monitoring sites.

Monitoring Network

A waiver renewal was granted for ALCOA Warrick Power Plant AGC Div of AI in Newburgh, IN in 2020.

Waivers were also granted in 2018 for the Belmont Wastewater Treatment facility in Indianapolis, Marion County, Crane Army Ammunition Activity Area in Martin County, and Lehigh Cement in Cass County.

The Pb monitoring network in Indiana in 2023 will consist of four sites. These sites are displayed in Figure 4 and detailed in Table 3.

Network Modifications

Due to the shutdown of the nearby source and the presence of clean data before and after that shutdown, Indiana proposes to discontinue Hammond – E Lakeview St lead. The 2021 Max 3-month Average was 0.04 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ which is well below the NAAQS of 0.15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

Figure 4 – Lead Monitoring Network




Table 3 – Lead Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 14129 Pb - Lead															
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Source Oriented?	Site Change Proposed?
10350009	Muncie - Mt. Pleasant Blvd.	Delaware	Muncie	2601W. Mt. Pleasant Blvd.	SLAMS	01/02/10	6-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	40.158417	-85.415021	Muncie	Yes Exide	No
10350009	Muncie - Mt. Pleasant Blvd.	Delaware	Muncie	2601W. Mt. Pleasant Blvd.	SLAMS	01/01/10	6-Day	107	Middle	Quality Assurance	40.158417	-85.415021	Muncie	Yes Exide	No
10890032	Gary - 4th. Ave	Lake	Gary	Gary SouthShore RailCats, One Stadium Plaza	SLAMS	01/02/10	6-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41603582	-87.332658	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Yes US Steel	No
10890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301Aldis St.	SLAMS	10/30/12	3-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41653446	-87.435435	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Yes Cleveland Cliffs - Indiana Harbor	No
10890037	Hammond - E. Lakeview St.	Lake	Hammond	241E. Lakeview St.	SLAMS	08/03/10	3-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41674189	-87.495024	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Yes Whiting Metals	Discontinue
10890037	Hammond - E. Lakeview St.	Lake	Hammond	241E. Lakeview St.	SLAMS	01/01/20	6-Day	107	Middle	Quality Assurance	41674189	-87.495024	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Yes Whiting Metals	Discontinue
11270027	Burns Harbor-Port of Indiana	Porter		E. Boundary Rd	SLAMS	08/18/11	6-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41635161	-87.150376	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Yes Cleveland Cliffs - Burns Harbor	No
MONITORING METHOD: 107 - HI-VOL SAMPLER/FLAMELESS ATOMIC ABSORPTION (GFAA)															

Oxides of Nitrogen (NO, NO₂, NO_y)

Monitoring Requirements

On February 9, 2010, the Federal Register amended 40 CFR Parts 50 and 58 establishing a new NO₂ NAAQS for 1-hour concentrations and new monitoring requirements to be implemented by January 1, 2014.

One near-road NO₂ monitor is required for CBSAs with a population of 1,000,000 or more persons and were to be operational by January 1, 2014. An additional near-road NO₂ monitoring station is required for any CBSA with a population of 2,500,000 or more persons or with a population of 1,000,000 or more persons plus one or more roadway segments having annual average daily traffic counts of 250,000 or more. These were to be operational by January 1, 2015. For Indiana, only one near-road site is required for the Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson CBSA. Additionally, sites are required for the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, the Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN CBSA, and the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IN-IL-WI CBSA. These cross-state requirements are addressed in agreements signed with the appropriate neighboring agencies.

One area-wide NO₂ monitoring station must also be located in each CBSA with a population greater than 1,000,000 people and was required to be installed by January 1, 2013. Each area listed above also requires an area-wide monitor.

Gary – IITRI (180890022) has been designated a Regional Administrator Required Monitor by the U.S. EPA. 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.3.4(a) states: "The Regional Administrators, in collaboration with States, must require a minimum of forty additional NO₂ monitoring stations nationwide in any area, inside or outside of CBSAs, above the minimum monitoring requirements, with a primary focus on siting these monitors in locations to protect susceptible and vulnerable populations." Susceptible and vulnerable populations include asthmatics and disproportionately exposed groups at particular risk of NO₂-related health effects, both because of increased exposure and because these groups have a higher prevalence of asthma and higher hospitalization rates for asthma. These monitors were to be designated by January 1, 2013.

Indpls - Washington Park serves as the NCore/PAMS monitoring site for Indiana. 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §3(b) and 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.3 state that direct NO₂ and NO/NO_y measurements should be included at the NCore multi-pollutant monitoring sites and in the PAMS program. NO/NO_y monitors are used at these sites because it is important to collect data on total reactive nitrogen species in order to better understand O₃ photochemistry.

Monitoring Methodology

The NO₂ network uses Teledyne Model T500U Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift (CAPS) NO₂ analyzers to collect data. The CAPS NO₂ analyzer measures NO₂ directly unlike the traditional chemiluminescence monitors that measure NO₂ by subtracting NO from NO_x. The API Model T200U NO_y Trace level/Ultra-sensitive analyzer is used to collect NO and NO_y data at the Indpls – Washington Park NCore/PAMS site (180970078).

Monitoring Network

Indiana operates five CAPS NO₂ monitors and one trace level NO_y monitor as displayed in Figure 5. The current network, along with any changes planned in 2023, is listed in Table 4.

Network Modifications

There are no network modifications planned for 2023.

Figure 5 – Oxides of Nitrogen Monitoring Network




Table 4 – Oxides of Nitrogen (NO, NO₂, NO_y) Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 42601, 42602, 42600		NO, NO ₂ , NO _y - Oxides of Nitrogen													
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	NO _x Design Criteria	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
180890022	Gary - ITRI	Lake	Gary	ITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS	S/V	06/27/95	Continuous	212	Neigh	Highest Conc	41606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE/PAMS)	Area-Wide	01/01/13	Continuous	212	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE/PAMS)		01/01/11	Continuous	699	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	SLAMS (NEAR ROAD)	Near-Road	02/07/14	Continuous	212	Micro	Pop Exp	39.787933	-86.130880	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
181410015	South Bend - Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	SLAMS		06/06/06	Continuous	212	Neigh	Pop Exp	41696667	-86.214722	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
181630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS		07/08/09	Continuous	212	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No
<p><i>NO₂ MONITORING METHOD: 212-TELEDYNE API T500U CAPS</i> <i>NO_y MONITORING METHOD: 699-TELEDYNE API 200EU NO_y TRACE-LEVEL</i></p>															

Ozone (O₃)

Monitoring Requirements

Table D-2 in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D details the number of O₃ sites required in each CBSA. The number of sites is based on the population of a CBSA and if the design value exceeds 85% of the standard, or 0.060 ppm, for that area. Table 5 lists the requirements stated in Part 58. Table 6 lists the requirements as they relate to Indiana. There are five CBSAs which cross state lines. Except for the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, Indiana meets the requirement for all CBSAs, including multi-agency CBSAs. A past multi-agency agreement between the Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency (Cincinnati, OH) and IDEM specified that the Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency will fulfill all the O₃ monitoring requirements in this CBSA. In the absence of an agreement, Indiana would be required to operate two sites in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA. A renewal of this agreement is planned.

Monitoring Season

Table D-3 of Appendix D of Part 58 defines the O₃ monitoring season for all of the states. Indiana's monitoring season is from March 1 to October 31 which is the same as all surrounding states.

Indpls – Washington Park (180970078) is Indiana's NCore site and Indpls – I-70 E (180970087) is Indiana's near-road site. They are both required to collect data all year. Indiana elected to conduct year-round O₃ monitoring at all sites starting on January 1, 2018 due to the extension of the O₃ season by two months in 2017. The previous benefits of being able to turn equipment off for six months to save wear and expendables was lessened with the longer season.

Data

The design value for an area, usually a county or a CBSA, is determined by the 3-year average of the 4th highest daily 8-hour maximum from the highest site in the area. If this value is greater than 0.070 ppm, the area is considered to be in violation of the NAAQS and could potentially be designated as a nonattainment area. If the air quality improves and the design value is 0.070 ppm or less, the area may be reclassified as a maintenance area.

The design values for all sites for the most recent sampling period (2019 – 2021) along with the 2008 and 2015 8-hour nonattainment areas are illustrated in Figure 6. The following sites design values exceeded the 2015 NAAQS of 0.070 ppm: Michigan City – W. Michigan Blvd. (180910005) 0.074 ppm and Ogden Dunes (181270024) 0.072 ppm. All other sites had design values for the most recent sampling period (2019 – 2021) meet the current 2015 NAAQS of 0.070 ppm.

Monitoring Methodology

Monitoring sites in Indiana use Federal Equivalent Method O₃ analyzers from Thermo Scientific; Models 49c, or 49i (Method Code 047), or monitors from API, Model T400 (Method Code 087). These monitors use ultraviolet absorption photometry. Ambient air is drawn through a sample cell through which ultraviolet light (254 nm wavelength) passes. Any O₃ in the ambient air absorbed by the UV light is directly related to the O₃ concentration as described by the Beer-Lambert Law.

Monitoring Network

In 2023 there will be 38 monitoring sites in Indiana's O₃ monitoring network as displayed in Figure 7. In addition, CASTNET operates two O₃ analyzers in Indiana at Vincennes (180839991) and Salamonie Reservoir (181699991). CASTNET's Annual Network Plan can be found at:

<https://java.epa.gov/castnet/documents.do>

Indiana's O₃ monitoring network with proposed changes for 2023 is in Table 7.

Network Modifications

There are no changes planned for 2023.

Table 5 – SLAMS Minimum O₃ Monitoring Requirement

# of Sites Required per Population and Design Value		
MSA Population	3 yr. Design Value ≥ 85% of NAAQS (0.060 ppm)	3 yr. Design Value < 85% of NAAQS (0.060 ppm)
>10 million	4	2
4-10 million	3	1
350,000 - 4 million	2	1
50,000 - 350,000	1	0

Table 6 – SLAMS O₃ Sites Required for Indiana

Indiana MSAs	MSA Population (2020) ¹	Design Value (ppm) (2019-2021)	# of Sites Required per CFR	2022 No. of Sites	2023 No. of Sites
Bloomington	161,039	0.061	1	1 ⁴	1 ⁴
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI (total MSA)	9,618,502	0.075 ²	3	21 ²	-
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI (IN only)	9,618,502	0.072 ³	3	4 ³	4
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (total MSA)	2,256,884	0.070 ²	2	11 ²	-
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (IN only)	2,256,884	No Data ³	2	0 ³	0
Columbus	83,779	0.063	1	1	1
Elkhart-Goshen	207,047	0.061	1	1	1
Evansville, IN-KY (total MSA)	314,049	0.065 ²	1	5 ²	-
Evansville, IN-KY (IN only)	314,049	0.065 ³	1	5 ³	5
Fort Wayne	419,601	0.061	2	2	2
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	2,111,040	0.066	2	9 ⁴	9 ⁴
Kokomo	83,658	0.063	1	1	1
Lafayette-West Lafayette	223,716	0.063	1	1	1
Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN (total MSA)	1,285,439	0.069 ²	2	7 ²	-
Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN (IN only)	1,285,439	0.064 ³	2	2 ³	2
Michigan City-LaPorte	112,417	0.074	1	2	2
Muncie	111,903	0.061	1	1	1
South Bend-Mishaw aka, IN-MI (total MSA)	324,501	0.065 ²	1	4 ²	-
South Bend-Mishaw aka, IN-MI (IN only)	324,501	0.065 ³	1	3 ³	3
Terre Haute	185,031	0.063	1	2	2
Non MSA					
West Union - Clark Co., IL		0.060		1	1
Plummer - Greene Co. ³		0.064		1	1
Leopold - Perry Co.		0.064		1	1
		DV exceeds NAAQS			
		DV ≥ 85% of NAAQS			
# of sites needed if Indiana meets all multi-state MSA requirements			21		
		Sites in Indiana Network		38	38
¹ Population from 2020 US Census Bureau					
² Information for full MSA.					
³ Information for Indiana's portion of MSA.					
⁴ Bloomington MSA impact site is located in Brown County, part of Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson MSA.					

Figure 6 – O₃ Design Values (2019 – 2021)




Figure 7 – O₃ Monitoring Network




Table 7 – Ozone Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 44201		O ₃ - Ozone												
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
170230001	West Union	Clark, IL		416 S. Hwy 1, West Union, IL	SLAM S	04/01/01	Continuous	087	Urban	General Bkgrd	39.210857	-87.668297	Non-M SA County	No
180030002	Leo HS	Allen	Leo	Leo HS, 14600 Amstutz Rd.	SLAM S	04/01/86	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	41221418	-85.016821	Ft. Wayne	No
18003____	Fort Wayne - Coliseum	Allen	Fort Wayne	707 N. Coliseum	SLAM S	07/01/22	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp			Ft. Wayne	Relocation
180050007	Hope	Bartholomew		Hauser Jr-Sr HS, 9404 N775 E.	SLAM S	05/28/13	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	39.294322	-85.766846	Columbus	No
18010001	Whitestown	Boone		Perry - Worth Elem Sch., 3900 E. 300 S, Lebanon	SLAM S	04/01/01	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	39.997773	-86.395394	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180130001	Helmsburg	Brown		Jackson Twp Fire Dept. 4831 Helmsburg Road, Nashville	SLAM S	05/16/14	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	39.263914	-86.292261	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180150002	Flora	Carroll		Flora Airport, 481S. 150 W., Flora	SLAM S	04/01/01	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	40.540455	-86.553035	Lafayette-West Lafayette	No
180190008	Charlestown State Park	Clark		Charlestown State Park, 12500 Hwy 62, Charlestown	SLAM S	05/04/07	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	38.393823	-85.664118	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
180350010	Albany	Delaware	Albany	Albany Elem. Sch., 700 W. State St.	SLAM S	04/01/01	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	40.300385	-85.245862	Muncie	No
180390007	Bristol	Elkhart	Bristol	Bristol Elem Sch., 705 Indiana Ave.	SLAM S	04/01/02	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	41.71959	-85.824696	Elkhart-Goshen	No
180431004	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Road	SLAM S	01/01/77	Continuous	047	Neigh	Highest Conc	38.307913	-85.834313	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocate
18043____	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Floyd County 4-H Fairgrounds	SLAM S	10/01/22	Continuous	087/047	Neigh	Highest Conc			Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocation
180550001	Plummer	Greene		2500 S. 275 W Our Lady of Grace Catholic Church,	SLAM S	04/03/00	Continuous	047	Regional	Upwind Bkgrd	38.985556	-86.990000	Non-M SA County	No
180570006	Noblesville - 191st St.	Hamilton	Noblesville	9900 E. 191st St.	SLAM S	05/13/10	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	40.068297	-85.992451	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180630004	Avon	Hendricks	Avon	7203 E. US 36, Avon	SLAM S	04/01/00	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	39.758889	-86.398611	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180670004	Kokomo - E. Vaile Ave.	Howard	Kokomo	1802 E. Vaile Ave.	SLAM S	01/01/18	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	40.481347	-86.109688	Kokomo	No
180839991	Vincennes	Knox		Southwest Purdue Agricultural Center	EPA (CASTNET)	04/04/11	Continuous	047	Regional	Highest Conc	38.740792	-87.484923	Non-M SA County	No
180890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAM S	07/01/95	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180892008	Hammond - 141st St.	Lake	Hammond	1300 E. 141st St.	SLAM S	01/01/76	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.639444	-87.493611	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180910005	Michigan City - W. Michigan Blvd.	La Porte	Michigan City	NIPSCO Gas Station, 490 W. Michigan Blvd.	SLAM S	05/24/90	Continuous	087	Urban	Pop Exp	41.717662	-86.907786	Michigan City-LaPorte	No
180910010	LaPorte - E. Lincolnway	La Porte	La Porte	2011 E. Lincolnway	SLAM S	05/07/97	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	41.629167	-86.684444	Michigan City-LaPorte	No

Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
180950011	Anderson - Eastside Elem.	Madison	Anderson	Eastside Elementary Sch., 844 N. Scatterfield Rd.	SLAM S	01/01/21	Continuous	087	Urban	Pop Exp	40.125690	-85.652127	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970050	Indpls - Ft Harrison	Marion	Indianapolis	5753 Glenn Rd	SLAM S	12/01/79	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	39.858889	-86.021389	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970057	Indpls - Harding St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1321S. Harding St.	SLAM S	03/01/82	Continuous	087	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.749027	-86.186269	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St	SLAM S (NCORE/PAMS)	04/01/09	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.14444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	SLAM S (NEAR ROAD)	05/14/14	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.787933	-86.130880	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
181230009	Leopold	Perry		Perry Central HS, 18797 Old St Rd 37, Leopold	SLAM S	04/01/04	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	38.115152	-86.603250	Non-M SA County	No
181270024	Ogden Dunes	Porter	Ogden Dunes	Water Treatment Plant, 84 Diana Rd	SLAM S	11/01/83	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	41.617500	-87.199167	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
181270026	Valparaiso	Porter	Valparaiso	Valpo Water Department, 1000 Wesley St.	SLAM S	04/01/98	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	41.512118	-87.036236	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
181290003	St Phillips	Posey		2027 South St. Phillips Rd., Evansville	SLAM S	07/01/96	Continuous	047	Urban	Upwind Bkgrd	38.006414	-87.718414	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181410010	Potato Creek State Park	St Joseph		Potato Creek St. Park, 25601St. Rd 4, North Liberty	SLAM S	04/24/91	Continuous	047	Urban	Upwind Bkgrd	41.551667	-86.370556	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
181410015	South Bend-Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	SLAM S	06/06/06	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.696667	-86.214722	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
181410016	Granger-Beckley St.	St Joseph	Granger	12441Beckley St., Granger	SLAM S	04/01/12	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	41.754722	-86.10000	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
181450001	Fairland	Shelby		Triton Central MS, 4740 W. 600N , Fairland	SLAM S	04/01/00	Continuous	047	Urban	General Bkgrd	39.613367	-85.870669	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
181630013	Inglefield	Vanderburgh		Scott School, 14940 Old State Road	SLAM S	05/01/80	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	38.113889	-87.536667	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	1110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAM S	07/08/09	Continuous	047	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181670018	Terre Haute - Lafayette Ave.	Vigo	Terre Haute	961N. Lafayette Ave.	SLAM S	07/01/83	Continuous	087	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.485987	-87.401312	Terre Haute	No
181670024	Sandcut	Vigo		7597 N. Stevenson Rd., Terre Haute	SLAM S	04/01/01	Continuous	047	Urban	Pop Exp	39.558525	-87.312883	Terre Haute	No
181699991	Salamonie Reservoir	Wabash		Hamilton Rd., Largo	EPA (CASTNET)	06/01/11	Continuous	047	Regional	Highest Conc	40.816038	-85.661407	Non-M SA County	No
181730008	Boonville	Warrick	Boonville	Boonville HS, 300 N. 1st St.	SLAM S	04/16/91	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	38.052416	-87.281502	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181730011	Dayville	Warrick		3488 Eble Rd., Newburgh	SLAM S	04/01/07	Continuous	047	Urban	Highest Conc	37.954444	-87.321667	Evansville, IN-KY	No
O3 MONITORING METHOD: 047 - THERMO ELECTRON 49C, 49i 087 - TELEDYNE API T400														

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

Monitoring Requirements

The requirements for the design of the PM₁₀ monitoring network are listed in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.6. Indiana must operate the minimum number of sites as defined by the CBSA population and the ambient PM₁₀ data of the area. Table 8 lists the sites required per CBSA along with the highest monitored PM₁₀ value in the proper category for each CBSA. The current and proposed networks are also listed. There are five CBSAs which cross state lines. Indiana meets the requirement for the number of sites for the full CBSA, in the multi-agency CBSAs, except for the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN and Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN CBSAs. IDEM has multi-agency agreements with the Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency (Cincinnati, OH) and the Louisville Metropolitan Air Pollution Control District (APCD) specifying the sites which will operate in each district to fulfill the PM₁₀ monitoring requirements in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN and Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN CBSAs.

Collocated samplers are required at 15% of the sites in the network to determine monitoring precision. IDEM is required to operate two collocated samplers.

Monitoring Methodology

Noncontinuous PM₁₀ samples are collected on a pre-weighed 46.2 mm diameter Teflon™ filter. Air is drawn through an inlet designed to pass only particles smaller than 10 microns in diameter and across the filter for 24 hours. The filter is then removed and weighed again. Concentrations are calculated by dividing the weight gain by the volume of air that passed through the filter.

Continuous PM₁₀ concentrations are obtained by using two different methods. A Thermo Scientific Model 1405 (EQPM-1090-079) collects the particulate on a filter attached to an oscillating glass rod. The concentration of the particulate is proportional to the change in oscillating frequency. A second monitor method used is the Teledyne API T640X (EQPM-0516-239), which is a real-time, continuous PM mass monitor that uses scattered light spectrometry for measurement.

Monitoring Network

Indiana currently operates 10 monitoring sites in the State. The 2023 network is displayed in Figure 8. Concentrations at all sites except for two source-oriented sites in Northwest Indiana, Gary – IITRI (180890022) and Portage – Hwy 12 (181270023), are well under 50% of the 24-hour NAAQS of 150 µg/m³. Table 9 details the current PM₁₀ network.

Network Modifications

Indiana will discontinue the Jasper - Post Office (180372001) noncontinuous PM₁₀ method in favor of the continuous method by using a Teledyne API T640X. In addition, the state may discontinue the East Chicago Franklin (180890006) noncontinuous PM₁₀ method and replace it with a Teledyne API T640X for real-time data after a period of data comparison. The purpose of these network modifications is to reduce data loss caused by site access issues during business and school closures. These will be funded through the American Rescue Plan grant and are all contingent upon when IDEM receives the grant money and/or the new monitoring equipment

Table 8 – PM₁₀ Site Requirements

CFR Requirement	MSA Population		High Conc. ¹	Medium Conc. ²	Low Conc. ³		
	> 1,000,000	# of Required Sites =>	6-10	4-8	2-4		
	MSA	Population	MSA Highest Value			# of Sites 2022	# of Sites 2023
	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	9,618,502	188 ^{4,6}			10	-
	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	9,618,502	188 ^{4,7}		55 ^{5,7}	5	5
	Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	2,256,884	186 ^{4,6}		50 ^{5,6}	5	-
	Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	2,256,884			No Data ⁷	0	0
	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	2,111,040			74	2	2
	Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN	1,285,439			50 ⁵	3	-
	Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN	1,285,439			48 ¹	1	1
	Non MSA						
	Jasper - Dubois Co.	55,887			43	1	1
Sites in Indiana Network						10	10

CFR Requirement	MSA Population		High Conc. ¹	Medium Conc. ²	Low Conc. ³		
	500,000 - 1,000,000	# of Required Sites =>	4-8	2-4	1-2		
	MSA	Population	MSA Highest Value			# of Sites 2022	# of Sites 2023
	No MSAs in this category						

CFR Requirement	MSA Population		High Conc. ¹	Medium Conc. ²	Low Conc. ³		
	250,000 - 500,000	# of Required Sites =>	3-4	1-2	0-1		
	MSA	Population	MSA Highest Value			# of Sites 2022	# of Sites 2023
	Evansville, IN-KY	314,049			53 ⁵	1	-
	Evansville, IN-KY	314,049			53 ⁷	1	1
	Fort Wayne	419,601			No Data	0	0
	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	324,501			No Data	0	0
	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	324,501			No Data	0	0

CFR Requirement	MSA Population		High Conc. ¹	Medium Conc. ²	Low Conc. ³		
	100,000 - 250,000	# of Required Sites =>	1-2	0-1	0		
	MSA	Population	MSA Highest Value			# of Sites 2022	# of Sites 2023
	Bloomington	161,039			No Data	0	0
	Elkhart-Goshen	207,047			No Data	0	0
	Kokomo	83,658			No Data	0	0
	Lafayette-West Lafayette	223,716			No Data	0	0
	Michigan City-LaPorte	112,417			No Data	0	0
	Muncie	111,903			No Data	0	0
	Terre Haute	185,031			No Data	0	0

¹ Exceeds NAAQS by 20% (180 µg/m³),
² Exceeds 80% of NAAQS (120 µg/m³),
³ <80% of NAAQS (120 µg/m³),
⁴ Highest value from source oriented site (not indicative of entire MSA),
⁵ Highest value from population oriented sites,
⁶ Information for full MSA,
⁷ Information for Indiana's portion of MSA
 Population from 2020 US Census Bureau dataset

Figure 8 – PM₁₀ Monitoring Network




Table 9 – PM₁₀ Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 81102 PM ₁₀ - Particulate Matter														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
10190010	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Ave.	Clark	Jeffersonville	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.	SLAMS	05/21/19	6-Day	127	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.288191	-85.741337	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
10372001	Jasper - Post Office	Dubois	Jasper	Jasper Post Office, 206 E. 6th St.	SLAMS	07/01/87	6-Day	127	Neigh	Highest Conc	38.391389	-86.929167	No n-MSA County	Discontinue
10372001	Jasper - Post Office	Dubois	Jasper	Jasper Post Office, 206 E. 6th St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	239	Neigh	Highest Conc	38.391389	-86.929167	No n-MSA County	Add
10890006	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Lake	East Chicago	Washington (formerly Franklin) School, Alder & 42nd St.	SLAMS	10/01/87	6-Day	127	Middle	Highest Conc	41.636111	-87.440833	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890006	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Lake	East Chicago	Washington (formerly Franklin) School, Alder & 42nd St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	239	Middle	Highest Conc	41.636111	-87.440833	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Add
10890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201M Mississippi St.	SLAMS	03/01/97	Continuous	079	Middle	Source Oriented	41.606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890031	Gary - Madison St.	Lake	Gary	Indiana American Water Co., 650 Madison St.	SLAMS	07/01/05	6-Day	127	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.598456	-87.342972	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890031	Gary - Madison St.	Lake	Gary	Indiana American Water Co., 650 Madison St.	SLAMS	07/01/05	6-Day	127	Neigh	Quality Assurance	41.598456	-87.342972	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301Aldis St.	SLAMS	10/30/12	6-Day	127	Middle	Source Oriented	41.653501	-87.435561	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10970043	Indpls - West St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1735 S. West St.	SLAMS	10/29/86	6-Day	127	Middle	Highest Conc	39.744957	-86.166496	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
10970043	Indpls - West St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1735 S. West St.	SLAMS	01/01/13	6-Day	127	Middle	Quality Assurance	39.744957	-86.166496	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St.	SLAMS	07/01/10	3-Day	127	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St.	SLAMS	08/02/11	Continuous	239	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
11270023	Portage - Hwy 12	Porter	Portage	Bethlehem Steel Waste Lagoon, Hwy 12	SLAMS	10/01/95	Continuous	079	Neigh	Highest Conc	41.616561	-87.146921	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
11630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS	08/01/16	Continuous	239	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No

*PM10 MONITORING METHODS: 079 - THERMO SCIENTIFIC 1405
127 - R&P 2025A or 2025B SEQUENTIAL
239 - TELEDYNE T640X*

Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

Monitoring Requirements

40 CFR Part 58, Appendix D §4.7 details the number of PM_{2.5} sites required in each CBSA. The number of sites is based on the population of a CBSA and if the design value for that area is greater or less than 85% of either NAAQS. Table 10 (Table D-5 of Appendix D) lists the minimum requirements as stated in Part 58. Table 11 lists the requirements as they relate to Indiana.

In addition, 40 CFR, Appendix D §4.7.2 states that “The State, or where appropriate, local agencies must operate continuous PM_{2.5} analyzers equal to at least one-half (round up) the minimum required sites listed in Table D-5 of Appendix D. At least one required continuous analyzer in each MSA must be collocated with one of the required FRM/FEM/ARM monitors, unless at least one of the required FRM/FEM/ARM monitors is itself a continuous FEM or ARM monitor in which case no collocation requirement applies.”

Indiana meets the minimum number of sites for each CBSA within Indiana’s boundaries. There are five CBSAs which cross state lines. Except for the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, Indiana meets the requirement for the number of sites for the full CBSA in the multi-agency CBSAs. An agreement between the SWOAQA and IDEM specifies that the SWOAQA will fulfill the PM_{2.5} monitoring requirements in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA. In the absence of an agreement, Indiana would be required to operate three sites in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, and 17 additional monitoring sites. In addition, as the continuous requirements are applied to Indiana, seven continuous sites would be required. Indiana meets this requirement in all CBSAs, except in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN. IDEM had a multi-agency agreement with SWOAQA (Cincinnati, OH) specifying the sites which will operate in the CBSA to fulfill the PM_{2.5} monitoring requirements in the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA. In both cases, a renewal of this agreement is planned.

Collocated samplers are required at 15% of the FRM/FEM sites operated by each PQAQO. IDEM is the sole PQAQO for Indiana and in 2023 will operate 34 sites requiring the operation of six collocated samplers.

Table 10 – SLAMS Minimum PM_{2.5} Monitoring Site Requirements

Number of Sites per MSA and Design Value		
MSA Population	3 yr. DV ≥ 85% of either NAAQS	3 yr. DV < 85% of either NAAQS
> 1,000,000	3	2
500,000 - 1,000,000	2	1
50,000 - 500,000	1	0
	also	
	Statewide Background Site	1
	Statewide Transport Site	1
85% of 24-hour NAAQS (35) = 29.75 µg/m ³		
85% of Annual NAAQS (12) = 10.2 µg/m ³		

Monitoring Methodology

Noncontinuous PM_{2.5} is sampled by drawing air through a specially designed inlet that excludes particles larger than 2.5 microns in diameter. The remaining particles are collected on a 46.2 mm diameter Teflon™ Microfiber filter that is weighed before and after the sampling period to determine the particulate mass. Indiana uses the R&P or Thermo Scientific Model 2025A/B/i Sequential Samplers (EQPM-0202-145) to collect noncontinuous data. A VSCC is used instead of the original WINS impactor to remove particles larger than 2.5 microns from the sample

stream. This modified setup was originally classified as an FEM in 2002 but was designated as an FRM in December 2006.

The normal sampling schedule varies, as determined by the regulations. Reporting monitors sample every third day. Collocated monitors used for assessing data precision operate on a one-in-six-day schedule.

Continuous data is collected using one of the following monitors: Met One BAM 1020 PM_{2.5} (FEM) (EQPM-0308-170) or Teledyne Models T640 or T640X PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236 and EQPM-0516-238). The BAM 1020 collects fine particulate through a sampling inlet onto a filter tape, using a beta ray transmission to measure the amount of particulate concentration collected during a specific sampling period. The Teledyne API Model T640 and Model T640X is a real-time, continuous particulate matter (PM) mass monitor that uses scattered light spectrometry for measurement.

Table 11 – Number of SLAMS PM_{2.5} Monitoring Sites Required for Indiana

MSA	MSA Population (2020) ¹	Annual Design Value (µg/m3) (2019-2021)	Daily Design Value (µg/m3) (2019-2021)	# of Sites Required per CFR	2022 # of Sites	2023 # of Sites (IN)	2022 # of Cont. Mont.	2023 # of Cont. Mont. (IN)
Bloomington	161,039	7.9	15	0	1	1	1	1
Chicago-Naperville-Egin, IL-IN-WI (total MSA)	9,618,502	10.8 ²	26 ²	3	24 ²	-	9 ²	-
Chicago-Naperville-Egin, IL-IN-WI (IN only)	9,618,502	10.7 ³	24 ³	3	7 ³	7	5 ³	6
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (total MSA)	2,256,884	11.0 ²	25 ²	3	11 ²	-	8 ²	-
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (IN only)	2,256,884	No Data ³	No Data ³	3	0 ³	0	0 ³	0
Columbus	83,779	7.1	17	0	1	1	1	1
Elkhart-Goshen	207,047	8.3	21	0	1	1	1	1
Evansville, IN-KY (total MSA)	314,049	9.1 ²	21 ²	0	3 ²	-	2 ²	-
Evansville, IN-KY (IN only)	314,049	9.1 ³	21 ³	0	2 ³	2	1 ³	2
Fort Wayne	419,601	8.6	20	0	2	2	2	2
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	2,111,040	11.0	27	3	8	8	5	6
Kokomo	83,658	7.3	18	0	1	1	1	1
Lafayette-West Lafayette	223,716	8.9	20	0	1	1	1	1
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN (total MSA)	1,285,439	10.5 ²	25 ²	2	7 ²	-	5 ²	-
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN (IN only)	1,285,439	9.7 ³	23 ³	2	2 ³	2	2 ³	2
Michigan City-LaPorte	112,417	8.1	21	0	1	1	0	1
Muncie	111,903	8.4	20	0	1	1	0	1
South Bend-Mishaw aka, IN-MI (total MSA)	324,501	9.2 ²	23 ²	0	1 ²	-	1 ²	-
South Bend-Mishaw aka, IN-MI (IN only)	324,501	9.2 ²	23 ²	0	1 ³	1	1 ³	1
Terre Haute	185,031	9.1	22	0	1	1	1	1
Other Requirements								
State Background Site - Green Co.		7.9	18	1	1	1	0	1
State Transport Site - Henry Co.		7.7	19	1	1	1	0	1
Non MSAs								
Jasper - Dubois Co.		8.8	21		1	1	0	1
Dale - Spencer Co.		8.2	20		1	1	0	1
		Values above NAAQS						
		DV ≥ 85% of NAAQS						
# of sites needed if Indiana meets all multi-state MSA requirements				13				
# of continuous monitors required (1/2 of the required sites)(rounded up)				7				
Sites in Indiana Network					34	34	22	31
¹ Population from 2020 US Census								
² Information for full MSA.								
³ Information for Indiana's portion of MSA.								

Data / Design Value


The data collected from the noncontinuous FRM monitors are considered eligible for comparison to the NAAQS and used for calculation of the design value for a site. The continuous data from a set at or greater than two years is also eligible for comparison to the NAAQS, with the exception of Larwill (181830003). For Larwill, due to very poor comparison data between the THERMO 2025 monitor and the continuous BAM 1020 monitor an exclusion of the data for NAAQS

comparison is being requested. (See Appendix B, page 83). A T640 continuous PM_{2.5} monitor replaced the BAM 1020 on 6/8/21 to produce better comparison data. After a full year of data has been collected, Indiana will reevaluate this site.

A site's annual design value is determined by first calculating the quarterly average concentrations, then calculating the weighted annual concentration by averaging the quarterly values, and then averaging the three consecutive annual averages. The highest site design value in a CBSA is generally determined to be the design value for the area. It is compared to the annual NAAQS of 12.0 µg/m³ to determine attainment/nonattainment for the area. Similarly, a site's 24-hour design value is obtained by averaging the 98th percentile value from three consecutive years. This value is then compared to the 24-hour NAAQS, 35 µg/m³, to determine attainment/nonattainment of the 24-hour standard.

The design values for all sites for the most recent sampling period (2019 - 2021), along with the designation status of areas for PM_{2.5} are shown in Figure 9. Currently all counties in Indiana meet the 24-hour, and 2012 annual NAAQS for PM_{2.5}.

Figure 9 – PM_{2.5} Site Design Values (2019 – 2021)



Unplanned Changes to the Network Plan

A school construction project at the Green Valley Elementary School made it necessary to suspend the PM_{2.5} monitoring at the New Albany (180431004) site at the end of January 2018. It is anticipated that a new monitoring location will be operational by the first quarter of 2023, and the PM_{2.5} sampling will be resumed. In order to keep the correct number of collocated FRMs, the collocated sampler was relocated to Charlestown State Park (180190008) during this period. A Met One BAM 1020 PM_{2.5} (FEM) (EQPM-0308-170) will be installed at the new location.

The Fort Wayne Beacon St. site (18003004) was shut down on 9/30/21 because of a change in landowners and IDEM was forced to relocate. It is anticipated that a new monitoring location in Fort Wayne will be operational by the end of the year 2022. A Met One BAM 1020 PM_{2.5} (FEM) (EQPM-0308-170) will be installed at the new location.

Network Modifications

Indiana plans to have a total of ten network modifications in 2023. These will all be funded through the American Rescue Plan grant and are all contingent upon when we receive the grant money and/or when we receive the new monitoring equipment.

The Michigan City – Marsh Elementary School (180910011) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) with the approval of the school. The long-term goal being to discontinue the FRM with the assumption that the data comparison is acceptable, and the continuous method will become the primary. This modification will reduce data loss caused by school closures throughout the year.

The continuous PM_{2.5} monitoring method at Bloomington (181050003) will change from a Met One BAM 1020 PM_{2.5} (FEM) (EQPM-0308-170) to a Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) because of the poor data comparison with the former monitoring method.

The Mechanicsburg site (180650003) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The long-term goal being to discontinue the FRM and make the T640 the primary PM_{2.5} with the assumption that the data comparison is acceptable.

The Muncie Central High School (180350006) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640 is installed because of spacing issues.

The Jasper Post Office (180372001) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640X PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-238). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640X is installed because of spacing issues. This modification will reduce data loss caused by post office closures and holidays throughout the year.

The East Chicago Franklin School (180890006) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640X PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-238). The goal is to continue sampling the non-continuous FRM to allow data comparison, but the site may be subjected to spacing issues. This modification will reduce data loss caused by school closures and holidays throughout the year.

The Plummer site (180550001) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The long-term goal being to discontinue the FRM with the assumption that the data comparison is acceptable, and the continuous method will become the primary.

The Dale site (181470009) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) with the approval of the school. The long-term goal being to discontinue the FRM with the assumption that the data comparison is acceptable, and the

continuous method will become the primary. This modification will reduce data loss caused by school closures throughout the year.

The University of Evansville site (181630016) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236) with the approval of the school. The long-term goal being to discontinue the FRM with the assumption that the data comparison is acceptable, and the continuous method will become the primary. This modification will reduce data loss caused by school closures throughout the year.

The Indianapolis School 21 site (180970084) will receive a continuous PM_{2.5} Teledyne Model T640 PM Mass Monitor (EQPM-0516-236). The non-continuous FRM will be discontinued the same day the T640 is installed because of a site relocation.

In 2023, the Indiana PM_{2.5} monitoring network will consist of 34 monitoring sites. Continuous monitors will be collecting data at 31 site locations. The PM_{2.5} monitoring network with the changes proposed for 2023 is shown in Table 12. A map of the 2023 network is shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10 – PM_{2.5} Monitoring Network




Table 12 – PM_{2.5} Monitoring Network

PM _{2.5} Monitoring Network															
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	NAAQS Comparable (2020)	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
1003	Fort Wayne - Coliseum	Allen	Fort Wayne	707 N. Coliseum	SLAMS	07/01/22	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp			Primary	Ft. Wayne	Relocation
10050008	Columbus - Rocky Ford Rd.	Bartholomew	Columbus	3475 Trestle Dr.	SLAMS	07/25/14	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.237457	-85.891332	Primary	Columbus	No
10190010	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Ave. Clark		Jeffersonville	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.	SLAMS	05/21/19	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.288191	-85.741337	Secondary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
10190010	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Ave. Clark		Jeffersonville	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.	SLAMS	06/11/19	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.288191	-85.741337	Primary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
10190008	Charlestown State Park	Clark		Charlestown State Park, 12500 Hwy 62, Charlestown	SLAMS	07/01/08	3-Day	145	Urban	Pop Exp	38.393823	-85.664118	Primary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Discontinue
10350006	Muncie - Central HS	Delaware	Muncie	Muncie Central HS, 801N. Walnut St.	SLAMS	10/15/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	40.199502	-85.387908	Primary	Muncie	Discontinue
10350006	Muncie - Central HS	Delaware	Muncie	Muncie Central HS, 801N. Walnut St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	40.199502	-85.387908	Primary	Muncie	Add
10372001	Jasper - Post Office	Dubois	Jasper	Post Office, 206 E. 6th St.	SLAMS	01/01/00	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.391389	-86.929167	Primary	Non-MSA County	Discontinue
10372001	Jasper - Post Office	Dubois	Jasper	Post Office, 206 E. 6th St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.391389	-86.929167	Primary	Non-MSA County	Add
10390008	Elkhart - Prairie St.	Elkhart	Elkhart	2745 Prairie St.	SLAMS	11/23/10	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.657155	-85.968446	Primary	Elkhart-Goshen	No
10431004	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Rd.	SLAMS	01/18/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.307913	-85.834313	Primary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocate
10431004	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Rd.	SLAMS	01/18/99	6-Day	145	Neigh	Quality Assurance	38.307913	-85.834313	No	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocate
10431004	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Rd.	SLAMS	11/01/03	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.307913	-85.834313	Secondary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocate
1043	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Floyd County 4-H Fairgrounds	SLAMS	10/01/20	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp			Primary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocation
1043	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Floyd County 4-H Fairgrounds	SLAMS	10/01/20	6-Day	145	Neigh	Quality Assurance			No	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocation
1043	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Floyd County 4-H Fairgrounds	SLAMS	10/01/20	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp			Secondary	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocation
10550001	Plummer	Greene		2500 S. 275 W	SLAMS	01/12/12	3-Day	145	Regional	Upwind Bkgd	38.985556	-86.990000	Primary	Non-MSA County	No
10550001	Plummer	Greene		2500 S. 275 W	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Regional	Upwind Bkgd	38.985556	-86.990000	Primary	Non-MSA County	Add
10570007	Fishers	Hamilton	Fishers	11775 Brooks School Rd.	SLAMS	12/06/13	Continuous	170	Urban	Pop Exp	39.960884	-85.939546	Primary	Indianapolis-Camel- Anderson	No
10570008	Carmel - Hazel Dell Pkwy	Hamilton	Carmel	9609 Hazel Dell Parkway	SLAMS	01/10/19	3-Day	145	Middle	Source & Pop Exp	39.928804	-86.078775	Primary	Indianapolis-Camel- Anderson	No
10650003	Mechanicsburg	Henry		Shenandoah HS, 7354 W. Hwy. 36, Pendleton	SLAMS	09/06/00	3-Day	145	Regional	Regional Transport	40.009544	-85.523470	Primary	Non-MSA County	No
10650003	Mechanicsburg	Henry		Shenandoah HS, 7354 W. Hwy. 36, Pendleton	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Regional	Regional Transport	40.009544	-85.523470	Primary	Non-MSA County	Add
10670004	Kokomo - E. Vaile Ave.	Howard	Kokomo	1802 E. Vaile Ave.	SLAMS	04/03/14	Continuous	170	Urban	Pop Exp	40.481347	-86.109688	Primary	Kokomo	No
10890006	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Lake	East Chicago	Washington (formerly Franklin) School, Alder & 142nd St.	SLAMS	01/27/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.636111	-87.440833	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890006	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Lake	East Chicago	Washington (formerly Franklin) School, Alder & 142nd St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.636111	-87.440833	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Add

Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	NAAQS Comparable (2020)	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
10890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS	03/04/99	3-Day	145	Middle	Source & Pop Exp	41606563	-87.305015	Primary**	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS	01/01/03	Continuous	170	Middle	Source & Pop Exp	41606563	-87.305015	Secondary**	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890026	Gary - Burr St	Lake	Gary	Truck Stop, 25th Ave & Burr St.	SLAMS	02/12/00	3-Day	145	Middle	Source & Pop Exp	41573056	-87.405833	Primary**	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890031	Gary - Madison St.	Lake	Gary	Indiana American Water Co., 650 Madison St.	SLAMS	07/01/05	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	41598456	-87.342972	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890031	Gary - Madison St.	Lake	Gary	Indiana American Water Co., 650 Madison St.	SLAMS	07/01/05	6-Day	145	Neigh	Quality Assurance	41598456	-87.342972	No	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.	SLAMS	10/23/19	3-Day	145	Middle	Source Oriented	41653501	-87.435561	Secondary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.	SLAMS	10/28/19	Continuous	236	Middle	Source Oriented	41653501	-87.435561	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890036	Hammond - 167th St.	Lake	Hammond	NIPSCO maintenance facility 1316 167th St.	SLAMS	02/01/18	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	41594427	-87.495044	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890036	Hammond - 167th St.	Lake	Hammond	NIPSCO maintenance facility 1316 167th St.	SLAMS	01/01/22	Continuous	236	Neigh	Quality Assurance	41594427	-87.495044	Secondary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10910011	Michigan City - Marsh Elem. Sch.	La Porte	Michigan City	Marsh Elem. Sch., 400 E. Homer St.	SLAMS	12/17/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	41706944	-86.891111	Primary	Michigan City-LaPorte	No
10910011	Michigan City - Marsh Elem. Sch.	La Porte	Michigan City	Marsh Elem. Sch., 400 E. Homer St.	SLAMS	01/01/22	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	41706944	-86.891111	Secondary	Michigan City-LaPorte	Add
10950011	Anderson - Eastside Elem.	Madison	Anderson	Eastside Elementary Sch., 844 N. Scatterfield Rd.	SLAMS	07/08/10	Continuous	236	Middle	Pop Exp	40.125690	-85.652127	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970043	Indpls - West St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1735 South West Street	SLAMS	01/24/99	3-Day	145	Middle	Pop Exp	39.744957	-86.166496	Primary**	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE)	03/07/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Secondary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE)	01/01/04	Continuous	238	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970081	Indpls - W. 18th St.	Marion	Indianapolis	Ernie Pyle Sch 90, 3351W. 18th St.	SLAMS	02/03/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.788889	-86.214722	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970081	Indpls - W. 18th St.	Marion	Indianapolis	Ernie Pyle Sch 90, 3351W. 18th St.	SLAMS	02/11/99	6-Day	145	Neigh	Quality Assurance	39.788889	-86.214722	No	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970081	Indpls - W. 18th St.	Marion	Indianapolis	Ernie Pyle Sch 90, 3351W. 18th St.	SLAMS	11/01/07	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.788889	-86.214722	Secondary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970084	Indpls - School 21	Marion	Indianapolis	IP S Sch 21 2815 English Ave.	SLAMS	02/16/09	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.759167	-86.114722	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	Discontinue
10970084	Indpls - School 21	Marion	Indianapolis	IP S Sch 21 2815 English Ave.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.759167	-86.114722	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	Add
10970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave. (NEAR ROAD)	(NEAR ROAD)	02/01/14	3-Day	145	Middle	Highest Conc	39.787933	-86.130880	Primary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
10970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave. (NEAR ROAD)	(NEAR ROAD)	11/05/15	Continuous	236	Middle	Highest Conc	39.787933	-86.130880	Secondary	Indianapolis-Carmel- Anderson	No
101050003	Bloomington - Binford	Monroe	Bloomington	Binford Elementary Sch, 2300 E. 2nd St.	SLAMS	04/01/09	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.159372	-86.504747	Secondary	Bloomington	No
101050003	Bloomington - Binford	Monroe	Bloomington	Binford Elementary Sch, 2300 E. 2nd St.	SLAMS	04/01/09	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.159372	-86.504747	Primary	Bloomington	No
101270024	Ogden Dunes	Porter	Ogden Dunes	Water Treatment Plant, 84 Diana Rd	SLAMS	12/03/03	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	41617500	-87.199167	Primary	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No

Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	NAAQS Comparable (2020)	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
1410015	South Bend - Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	SLAMS	06/01/06	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.696667	-86.24722	Primary	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
1410015	South Bend - Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	SLAMS	06/01/06	6-Day	145	Neigh	Quality Assurance	41.696667	-86.24722	No	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
1410015	South Bend - Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	SLAMS	02/01/20	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.696667	-86.24722	Secondary	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	No
1470009	Dale	Spencer	Dale	David Turnham School, Dunn & Locust	SLAMS	02/01/00	3-Day	145	Urban	Regional Trans	38.167500	-86.983333	Primary	Non-MSA County	No
1470009	Dale	Spencer	Dale	David Turnham School, Dunn & Locust	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Urban	Regional Trans	38.167500	-86.983333	Primary	Non-MSA County	Add
1570008	Lafayette - Greenbush St.	Tippecanoe	Lafayette	Cinergy Substation, 3401 Greenbush St	SLAMS	04/01/05	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	40.431667	-86.852500	Primary	Lafayette-West Lafayette	No
1630016	Evansville - U of E	Vanderburgh	Evansville	Carson Center, Walnut St.	SLAMS	06/05/99	3-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	37.974444	-87.532222	Primary	Evansville, IN-KY	No
1630016	Evansville - U of E	Vanderburgh	Evansville	Carson Center, Walnut St.	SLAMS	01/01/23	Continuous	236	Neigh	Pop Exp	37.974444	-87.532222	Primary	Evansville, IN-KY	Add
1630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS	07/10/09	6-Day	145	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Secondary	Evansville, IN-KY	No
1630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS	07/11/09	Continuous	238	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Primary	Evansville, IN-KY	No
1670018	Terre Haute - Lafayette Ave.	Vigo	Terre Haute	961N. Lafayette Ave.	SLAMS	07/02/03	Continuous	170	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.485987	-87.401312	Primary	Terre Haute	No
1670018	Terre Haute - Lafayette Ave.	Vigo	Terre Haute	961N. Lafayette Ave.	SLAMS	01/01/13	Continuous	170	Neigh	Quality Assurance	39.485987	-87.401312	No	Terre Haute	No
1830003	Larwill	Whitley	Larwill	Whitko Middle School, 710 N. State Rd. 5	SLAMS	04/08/10	3-Day	145	Regional	Regional Transport	41.169722	-85.629444	Primary	Ft. Wayne	No
1830003	Larwill	Whitley	Larwill	Whitko Middle School, 710 N. State Rd. 5	SLAMS	04/08/10	Continuous	236	Regional	Regional Transport	41.169722	-85.629444	Exclude	Ft. Wayne	No

** According to 40 CFR Part 58 Subpart D, PM2.5 data that is representative of a unique population-oriented scale or localized hot spot are only eligible for comparison to the 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS. The annual standard does not apply. A waiver has been requested.

MONITORING METHODS: 145 - R&P 2025A or B or THERMO 2025i
170 - MET ONE BAM - FEM
236 - TELEDYNE T640
238 - TELEDYNE T640X

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂)

Monitoring Requirements

The monitoring requirements for SO₂ are detailed in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.4. Section 4.4.2 of the Appendix lists the number of monitors to be located in a CBSA based on the Population Weighted Emissions Index, PWEI. The PWEI combines the population of the area and the SO₂ emissions from the 2017 National Emissions Inventory for each county. The 2019 population estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau is multiplied by the emissions and divided by one million. The PWEI dictates the number of sites required:

PWEI	# of Sites
>1,000,000	3
100,000 to 1,000,000	2
5,000 to 100,000	1
<5,000	0

As depicted in Table 13, the CBSAs in Indiana which require monitoring sites are the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI CBSA, the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, and the Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN CBSA. Indiana meets the monitoring requirements in two of the three areas which require monitors. For the Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN CBSA, SWOQA meets the monitoring requirements in that area as per the planned agreement between Indiana and SWOQA.

Industrial Monitoring

Many industries with large sources of SO₂ emissions were required to operate ambient SO₂ monitoring sites due to Indiana's "SO₂ Rule," (Rule 3. Ambient Monitoring of Article 7. SULFUR DIOXIDE RULES of Title 326 of the Indiana Administrative Code (326 IAC 7-3)). As emissions and ambient concentrations decreased over the years, nearly all the ambient monitoring networks were allowed to discontinue operations. Duke Energy continues to operate two SO₂ monitors, one in Gibson County and the other across the state line in Wabash County, Illinois to monitor the air around the Gibson Station power plant. In addition, a meteorological station is located at the Wabash County site.

U.S. EPA published its final Data Requirements Rule (DRR) for the 2010 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS (<https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-08-21/pdf/2015-20367.pdf>) on August 21, 2015. This rule directed states to provide data to U.S. EPA to identify maximum 1-hour SO₂ concentrations in ambient air for areas with large sources of SO₂ emissions. The DRR required states to indicate the approach used for each listed source to characterize spatial air quality at the site: air quality characterization through air quality modeling or ambient monitoring, or establishment of a federally enforceable emission limit (or facility shut down). As required by the DRR, IDEM identified to U.S. EPA the approach it used to characterize the air quality in each of the areas identified under the DRR.

In Indiana, one source – ArcelorMittal-Burns Harbor (now known as Cleveland-Cliffs Burns Harbor) – characterized the air quality around its facilities using ambient monitoring. A monitoring site location was selected based on modeling conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA's SO₂ NAAQS Monitoring Technical Assistance Document and in consultation with U.S. EPA. As required by the DRR, this monitor was installed and operational by January 1, 2017. To provide additional information in support of the DRR, Cleveland-Cliffs assumed the operation of the former NIPSCO – Dunes Acres meteorological station.

All industrial facilities monitoring for SO₂ are their own PQAO operating similar to the IDEM SO₂ monitoring sites and their data is NAAQS comparable.

Table 13 – Number of SO₂ Sites Required by CFR

CBSA Name - Required Areas	2017 NEI Data (tons/year)	2020 Pop. ²	PWE Values	PWE Required Sites	Current No. of Sites	2023 No. of Sites
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI (total CBSA)	36,303	9,618,502	349,180	2	6	-
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI (IN only)					3	3
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (total CBSA)	32,535	2,256,884	73,428	1	6	-
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN (IN only)					0	0
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	918	2,111,040	1,938	0	2	1
Evansville, IN-KY (total CBSA)	12,990	314,049	4,079	0	2	-
Evansville, IN-KY (IN only)					2	2
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN (total CBSA)	5,709	1,285,439	7,339	1	4	-
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN (IN only)					1	1
Terre Haute, IN	5,804	185,031	1,074	0	1	1
# of sites needed to meet full CBSA requirements				4		
Sites in Indiana Network					9	8

² Population from 2020 US Census

Monitoring Methodology

Indiana’s SO₂ monitoring network collects data with Thermo Scientific Model 43i and 43iQ using pulsed ultra-violet fluorescence monitoring methodology. A Thermo Scientific Model 43i Trace Level-Enhanced is used to collect trace level SO₂ data at the NCore, Indpls – Washington Park site (180970078).

Monitoring Network

Monitoring of SO₂ is also required at the NCore sites as per 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D, 4.4.5. Indiana operates eight SO₂ monitors located throughout the state, as displayed in Figure 11. This Figure includes one township designated nonattainment for SO₂. The current network is listed in Table 14 and includes the Industrial network.

Network Modifications


IDEM is proposing to discontinue the Indpls-Harding St. (180970057) SO₂ monitor. The main sources of SO₂ in Marion county have changed from coal to natural gas, and there has been a substantial decrease in SO₂ measured this site.

The Indpls-Harding St. (180970057) SO₂ average 99th percentile from 2016 – 2021 is 5.8 ppb which is more than 80% below the 75 ppb 1-hour NAAQS. Therefore, the Indpls-Harding St. (180970057) meet the criteria in §58.14 (c) (1).

§58.14 System modification (c) (1) “Any PM_{2.5}, O₃, CO, PM₁₀, SO₂, Pb, or NO₂ SLAMS monitor which has shown attainment during the previous five years, that has a probability of less than 10 percent of exceeding 80 percent of the applicable NAAQS during the next three years based on the levels, trends, and variability observed in the past, and which is not specifically required by an attainment plan or maintenance plan. In a nonattainment or maintenance area, if the most recent attainment or maintenance plan adopted by the State and approved by EPA contains a contingency measure to be triggered by an air quality concentration and the monitor to

be discontinued is the only SLAMS monitor operating in the nonattainment or maintenance area, the monitor may not be discontinued.”

Annual 99th Percentile SO ₂ in PPB	
Year	Indpls.-Harding Street
2011	63
2012	92
2013	78
2014	106
2015	54
2016	15
2017	6
2018	4
2019	5
2020	6
2021	8



The Indpls-Harding St. (180970057) SO₂ monitoring site is located in Center Township, Indianapolis, in the Indianapolis SO₂ maintenance area along with the Indpls-Washington Park (180970078) SO₂ monitoring site. IDEM is confident that the Indpls-Washington Park (180970078) SO₂ monitoring site will be adequate to confirm that the SO₂ maintenance area is meet 75 ppb 1-hour NAAQS.

Figure 11 – SO₂ Monitoring Network




Table 14 – SO₂ Monitoring Network

Parameter Code: 42401														
SO ₂ - Sulfur Dioxide														
PQAO: 0520 REPORTING AGENCY: Indiana Department of Environmental Management														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
180431004	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Green Valley Elem. Sch., 2230 Green Valley Rd.	SLAMS	11/01/76	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.307913	-85.834313	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocate
18043	New Albany	Floyd	New Albany	Floyd County 4-H Fairgrounds	SLAMS	10/01/20	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp			Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	Relocation
180890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS	06/12/97	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp	41606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.	SLAMS	11/01/19	Continuous	060	Middle	Source Oriented	41653446	-87.435435	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180892008	Hammond - 141st St.	Lake	Hammond	1300 E. 141st St.	SLAMS	08/01/75	Continuous	060	Neigh	Highest Conc	41639444	-87.493611	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180970057	Indpls - Harding St.	Marion	Indianapolis	1321 S. Harding St.	SLAMS	03/04/82	Continuous	060	Neigh	Highest Conc	39.749027	-86.186269	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	Discontinue
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE)	01/01/10	Continuous	560	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
181630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS	07/08/09	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181670018	Terre Haute - Lafayette Ave.	Vigo	Terre Haute	961N. Lafayette Ave.	SLAMS	07/01/83	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.485987	-87.401312	Terre Haute	No
181730011	Dayville	Warrick		3488 Eble Rd., Newburgh	SPM	01/01/21	Continuous	060	Neigh	Pop Exp	37.954444	-87.321667	Evansville, IN-KY	No
PQAO: 1324 REPORTING AGENCY: Duke Energy														
171850001	Duke Energy - Mount Carmel	Wabash, IL		Division St.	Industrial	01/01/79	Continuous	060	Middle	Source Oriented	38.397789	-87.773853	Non-M SA County	No
180510002	Duke Energy - E. Mount Carmel	Gibson		Gibson Coal Road	Industrial	01/01/81	Continuous	060	Middle	Source Oriented	38.392887	-87.748341	Non-M SA County	No
PQAO: 0235 REPORTING AGENCY: Cleveland Cliffs														
181270028	Cleveland Cliffs - Burns Harbor	Porter		E. Boundary Rd	Industrial	01/01/17	Continuous	060	Middle	Source Oriented	41635404	-87.150567	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
<p>MONITORING METHOD: 060 - THERMO ELECTRON 43i, 43iQ SO₂ 560 - THERMO ELECTRON 43i TRACE LEVEL SO₂</p>														

PM_{2.5} Speciation

Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring requirements in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §4.7.4 states that “each state shall continue to conduct chemical speciation monitoring and analyses at sites designated to be part of the STN PM_{2.5}.” The STN PM_{2.5} is part of the CSN.

Monitoring Methodology

Noncontinuous speciation samples are collected on three different filter mediums, each for a specific analysis and list of compounds. A Teflon™ filter, using the Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence analysis methodology, is used to target 33 trace metals. A nylon filter, using Ion Chromatography for an analytical method, is used to target sulfates, nitrates, and three cations (ammonium, potassium, and sodium). A quartz fiber filter, using Thermal Optical Analysis, is used to target organic, elemental, and total carbon.

The Met One SASS and SuperSASS are used to collect PM_{2.5}, trace elements, Cations-PM_{2.5}, Nitrate-PM_{2.5}, and Sulfate-PM_{2.5} data. The URG-3000N sampler is used to collect organic and elemental carbon data. Samples are collected on a 1/6 day sampling frequency at all sites except the Indpls – Washington Park (180970078) site, which samples every third day.

Indiana also operates continuous speciation monitors at six different locations. A MetOne BC 1060 Black Carbon Monitor, using optical absorption analysis methodology, is used for sampling black carbon under local ambient conditions at the Indpls – Washington Park, Gary – ITRI (180890022), Evansville – Buena Vista (181630021) and Elkhart – Prairie St. (180390008) sites. A Teledyne API 633 Aethalometer, using optical adsorption analysis methodology, is used for sampling black carbon under local ambient conditions at the Indpls – I-70 E. (180970087) and Jeffersonville – Bates-Bowyer Ave. (180190010) sites.

Monitoring Network

Currently the Indiana speciation network consists of six STN PM_{2.5} and six continuous monitors across the state. The current network, along with any changes planned for 2023, is listed in Table 15 and displayed in Figure 12.

Network Modifications

No changes are planned in 2023.

Figure 12 – Speciation Monitoring Network




Table 15 – PM_{2.5} Speciation Monitoring Network

PM _{2.5} Speciation (Sulfate, Nitrate, Carbon, etc.)														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
10190010	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Av. Clark	Clark	Jeffersonville	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	05/21/19	6-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.288191	-85.741337	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
10190010	Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer Av. Clark	Clark	Jeffersonville	Downtown Wastewater Plant, 1420 Bates-Bowyer Ave.	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	06/01/19	Continuous Black Carbon	894	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.288191	-85.741337	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
10372001	Jasper - Post Office	Dubois	Jasper	Post Office, 206 E. 6th St	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	01/04/05	6-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.391389	-86.929167	Non-MSA County	No
10390008	Elkhart - Prairie St.	Elkhart	Elkhart	2745 Prairie St.	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	02/01/12	Continuous Black Carbon	879	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.657155	-85.968446	Elkhart-Goshen	No
10650003	Mechanicsburg	Henry		Shenandoah HS, 7354 W. Hwy. 36	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	02/01/02	6-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Regional	Regional Trans	40.009544	-85.523470	Non-MSA County	No
10890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	04/03/03	6-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Middle	Pop Exp	41.606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	04/01/05	Continuous Black Carbon	879	Middle	Pop Exp	41.606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St	SLAMS (CSN STN) (NCORE)	12/13/00	3-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St	SLAMS (CSN STN) (NCORE)	10/01/03	Continuous Black Carbon	879	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
10970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	SLAMS (NEAR ROAD)	05/06/15	Continuous Black Carbon	894	Middle	Source Oriented	39.787933	-86.130880	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
11630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	07/12/09	6-Day	810,811,812,826,831,838,839,840,841,842	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No
11630021	Evansville - Buena Vista	Vanderburgh	Evansville	110 W. Buena Vista Rd	SLAMS (CSN SUPPLEMENTAL)	07/08/09	Continuous Black Carbon	879	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.013333	-87.577222	Evansville, IN-KY	No

MONITORING METHOD: 810 - MET ONE SASS NYLON / GRAVIMETRIC
 811 - MET ONE SASS TEFLON / ANALYSIS METHOD: ENERGY DISPERSIVE XRF
 812 - MET ONE SASS NYLON / ANALYSIS METHOD: ION CHROMATOGRAPHY
 826 - URG 3000 w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / IMPROVE_A TOT
 831 - URG 3000 w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / EC1+EC2+EC3-(OP(TOR))
 838 - URG 3000 w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / IMPROVE TOT
 839 - URG 3000 w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET/OC1+OC2+OC3+OC4+(OP(TOT))
 840 - URG 3000 w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / EC1+EC2+EC3-(OP(TOT))
 841 - URG 3000N w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / IMPROVE_A
 842 - URG 3000N w. PALL QUARTZ FILTER AND CYCLONE INLET / IMPROVE_A TOR
 879 - MET ONE 1060 / ANALYSIS METHOD: OPTICAL ABSORPTION
 894 - TELEDYNE API MODEL 633 AETHALOMETER / ANALYSIS METHOD: OPTICAL ABSORPTION

NCore/PAMS

Monitoring Requirements

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §3 requires each state to operate at least one NCore site and lists the minimum parameters which must be measured at that site. Currently the required parameters are continuous and noncontinuous PM_{2.5}, PM_{2.5} speciation, PM_{10-2.5} particle mass, CO, O₃, SO₂, NO/NO_y, wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity, and ambient temperature.

Ozone precursor monitoring is required as part of the PAMS program. PAMS measurements are required at each NCore site located in a CBSA with a population of 1,000,000 or more, based on the latest available census figures. Based on 40 CFR 58, Appendix D, IDEM was initially required to begin making PAMS measurements at the Indpls – Washington Park (180970078) NCore site on June 1, 2019. The U.S. EPA extended that timeframe to June 1, 2021 in 85 FR 834 (January 8, 2020).

The specific requirements are addressed in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §5. PAMS measurements include: (1) hourly averaged speciated VOCs; (2) Three 8-hour averaged carbonyl samples per day on 1/3 day schedule; (3) hourly averaged O₃; (4) hourly averaged NO, true NO₂, and NO_y; (5) hourly averaged ambient temperature; (6) hourly vector-averaged wind direction; (7) hourly vector-averaged wind speed; (8) hourly averaged barometric pressure; (9) hourly averaged relative humidity; (10) hourly precipitation; (11) hourly averaged mixing-height; (12) hourly averaged solar radiation; and (13) hourly averaged ultraviolet radiation.

This section deals with hourly averaged speciated VOCs. The other parameters are addressed in their own area.

Monitoring Methodology

Ozone precursor VOCs are collected continuously using a Markes Unity-XR Thermal Desorber and Agilent 7890B GC with dual FID with a Kori-xr Water Management Device. In addition, canister samples are collected on a one-in-six day sampling schedule. These canisters are analyzed using U.S. EPA Method TO-15. The PAMS target compounds are shown in Table 16.

Carbonyl data are collected using Method TO-11A of the U.S. EPA's Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Compendium of Method. Currently Indiana uses the ATEC 2200 2C for one-in-six day sampling at the Indpls – Washington Park (180970078) site. Samples are collected on one-in-three day schedule June-August (PAMS Season). Samples are collected by drawing a known volume of air through a cartridge filled with silica gel coated with activated DNPH. These samples are analyzed using HPLC with a UV absorption detector.

Table 16 – PAMS Target Compounds

Priority Compounds				Optional Compounds			
1	1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	21	o-xylene	1	1,3 Butadiene ^c	21	Carbon Tetrachloride ^c
2	1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	22	p-ethyltoluene	2	1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	22	cyclohexane
3	1-butene	23	Propane	3	1-pentene	23	cyclopentane
4	2,2,4-trimethylpentane	24	Propylene	4	2,2-dimethylbutane	24	Ethanol ^c
5	acetaldehyde ^a	25	styrene	5	2,3,4-trimethylpentane	25	isopropylbenzene
6	benzene	26	tnmoc	6	2,3-dimethylbutane	26	m-diethylbenzene
7	c-2-butene	27	toluene	7	2,3-dimethylpentane	27	Methylcyclohexane ^c
8	ethane	28	t-2-butene	8	2,4-dimethylpentane	28	methylcyclopentane
9	ethylbenzene			9	2-methylheptane	29	n-decane
10	Ethylene			10	2-methylhexane	30	n-heptane
11	formaldehyde ^a			11	2-methylpentane	31	n-nonane
12	Isobutane			12	3-methylheptane	32	n-octane
13	Isopentane			13	3-methylhexane	33	n-propylbenzene
14	Isoprene			14	3-methylpentane	34	n-undecane
15	m&p-xylenes			15	acetone ^a	35	p-diethylbenzene
16	m-ethyltoluene			16	Acetylene	36	Tetrachloroethylene ^c
17	n-butane			17	Alpha Pinene ^c	37	t-2-pentene
18	n-hexane			18	Benzaldehyde ^a		
19	n-pentane			19	Beta Pinene ^c		
20	o-ethyltoluene			20	c-2-pentene		
Other Compounds				1	n-dodecane ^b	2	1-hexene ^b

^a Carbonyl compounds, measured using Method TO-11a

^b Compounds measured and reported, not required for regulatory purposes

^c Optional Compounds on U.S. EPA Target List that will not be measured, as missing from calibration standard

Monitoring Network

As shown in Figure 13, Indiana's NCore site is Indpls – Washington Park. The details for all the NCore parameters are listed in Table 17. Except for PM_{10-2.5}, parameters are also listed in the individual parameter sections.

Indiana operates a PAMS monitoring site collecting ozone precursor VOCs at Indpls – Washington Park NCore for the Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson CBSA. The normal PAMS monitoring season is June, July, and August, but Indiana began collecting data year-round in 2011 to observe values outside the season as well. The monitor location is shown in Figure 13 and site details are in Tables 17 & 18.

Network Modifications

There are no planned network modifications for 2023.

Table 17 – NCore/PAMS Required Parameters

Parameter	Monitor Type	Start Date	Sampler or Monitor	Method Code	Analysis Method	Sample Frequency	Requirement	
							NCore	PAMS
CO – trace level	SLAMS	1/1/2010	Teledyne API 300EU	593	Automated reference method utilizing trace level non-dispersive infrared analysis.	Continuous	X	
NO	SLAMS	3/10/2010	Teledyne API 200EU	699	Automated reference method utilizing chemiluminescence analysis.	Continuous	X	X
NO _y	SLAMS	3/10/2010	Teledyne API 200EU	699	Automated reference method utilizing chemiluminescence analysis.	Continuous	X	X
O ₃	SLAMS	4/1/2009	Thermo Scientific 49i	047	Automated equivalent method utilizing uv photometry analysis.	Continuous	X	X
SO ₂ – trace level	SLAMS	1/1/2010	Thermo Scientific 43i TLE	560	Automated equivalent method utilizing Trace Level UV Fluorescence Analysis	Continuous	X	
Intermittent PM _{2.5}	SLAMS	3/7/1999	Thermo Scientific 2025	145	Manual reference method utilizing gravimetric analysis.	1/3 day	X	
Continuous PM _{2.5}	SLAMS	1/1/2004	Teledyne API T640X	238	Automated equivalent method utilizing broadband spectroscopy	Continuous	X	
Intermittent PM _{10-2.5}	SLAMS	7/1/2010	Thermo Scientific Partisol-Plus Model 2025 Sequential sampler pair	176	Manual reference method utilizing gravimetric analysis.	1/3 day	X	
Continuous PM _{10-2.5}	SLAMS	7/22/2011	Teledyne API T640X	240	Automated equivalent method utilizing broadband spectroscopy	Continuous	X	
PM _{2.5} Speciation	SLAMS	12/13/2000	Met One SASS & URG 3000N	811 / 812 / 833	Multi-species manual collection method utilizing thermal optical, ion chromatography, gravimetric, and x-ray fluorescence analyses.	1/3 day	X	
WS/WD	SLAMS	10/11/2009	RM Young 86004-39	020	Air quality measurements approved instrumentation for wind speed and wind direction	Continuous	X	X
OT/RH	SLAMS	10/11/2009	RM Young 41372VF	040 / 020	Air quality measurements approved instrumentation for humidity and temperature	Continuous	X	X
PAMS	SLAMS	7/1/2011	Markes/Agilent 7890B Gas Chromatograph	128	Cryogenic Preconcentration GC/FID Detection	Continuous	X	X
Mixing Height	SLAMS	6/1/2018	Vaisala CL51 Ceilometer	128	Optical scattering pulsed diode laser LIDAR (Light Detection And Ranging)	Continuous	X	X
Carboynls	SLAMS	4/18/1999	ATEC 8000-2-4	102	Silica DNPH cartridge w/KI O ₃ scrubber with HPLC (TO-11A)	1/6 day 1/3 day Jun-Aug	X	X
NO ₂	SLAMS	1/1/2013	Teledyne API T500U	212	Direct-read Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift (CAPS)	Continuous	X	X
Precipitation	OTHER	10/11/2009	RM Young 52202E	014	Air quality measurements approved instrumentation for rainfall	Continuous	X	X
BP	OTHER	10/11/2009	Met One 092	011	Air quality measurements approved instrumentation for barometric pressure	Continuous	X	X
Solar Radiation	OTHER	1/1/2013	Eppley Precision Spectral Pyranometer	011	First Class Radiometer	Continuous	X	X
Ultraviolet Radiation	OTHER	1/1/2013	Eppley Total Ultraviolet Radiometer	011	Hermetically sealed selenium barrier-layer cell	Continuous	X	X
Intermittent PM ₁₀	SLAMS	7/1/2010	Thermo Scientific 2025	127	Manual reference method utilizing gravimetric analysis.	1/3 day		
Continuous PM ₁₀	SLAMS	8/2/2011	Teledyne API T640X	239	Automated equivalent method utilizing broadband spectroscopy	Continuous		
Continuous Black Carbon	SLAMS	10/1/2003	MetOne 1060	879	Optical Absorption	Continuous		
Toxics	OTHER	4/18/1999	Meriter MCS-1-R	126 / 150	SS 6l canister with cryogenic GC/MS	1/6 day		

Figure 13 – NCore / Ozone Precursors Network




Table 18 – Ozone Precursor Monitoring Network

Ozone Precursors														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
10970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St	SLAMS (NCORE/PAMS)	07/01/11	Continuous	128	Middle	Max Prec. Em. Impact	39.810833	-86.14444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 0 auto;"> MONITORING METHOD: 128 - AUTO GC; SUBAMBIENT - DUAL FID </div>														

Near-Road

Monitoring Requirements

40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D § 4.3.2 promulgated new NO₂ monitoring requirements. These included the requirement for establishing near-road NO₂ monitoring stations to be operational by January 1, 2014. The requirements for the near-road site as they apply to Indiana are as follows:

- (a) Within the NO₂ network, there must be one microscale near-road NO₂ monitoring station in each CBSA with a population of 1,000,000 or more persons to monitor a location of expected maximum hourly concentrations sited near a major road with high AADT counts as specified in paragraph 4.3.2(a)(1) of this appendix. An additional near-road NO₂ monitoring station is required for any CBSA with a population of 2,500,000 persons or more, or in any CBSA with a population of 1,000,000 or more persons that has one or more roadway segments with 250,000 or greater AADT counts to monitor a second location of expected maximum hourly concentrations. CBSA populations shall be based on the latest available census figures.
- (1) The near-road NO₂ monitoring sites shall be selected by ranking all road segments within a CBSA by AADT and then identifying a location or locations adjacent to those highest ranked road segments, considering fleet mix, roadway design, congestion patterns, terrain, and meteorology, where maximum hourly NO₂ concentrations are expected to occur and siting criteria can be met in accordance with appendix E of this part. Where a state or local air monitoring agency identifies multiple acceptable candidate sites where maximum hourly NO₂ concentrations are expected to occur, the monitoring agency shall consider the potential for population exposure in the criteria utilized to select the final site location. Where one CBSA is required to have two near-road NO₂ monitoring stations, the sites shall be differentiated from each other by one or more of the following factors: fleet mix; congestion patterns; terrain; geographic area within the CBSA; or different route, interstate, or freeway designation.

From the most recent census data from 2020 for Indiana's CBSAs there are four CBSAs which have more than 1,000,000 persons:

<u>CBSA</u>	<u>Population</u>
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	9,618,502
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	2,256,884
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	2,111,040
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN	1,285,439

Indiana has a MOA with Illinois and agreements in place with Ohio, and Kentucky with regard to how the monitoring requirements are shared in these multi-state CBSAs. The near-road monitoring in these areas will be the responsibility of the adjoining states. Indiana will be responsible for and deploy the near-road site in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson CBSA.

Monitoring Network

IDEM worked with INDOT to obtain a location for this site along Interstate 70, between the northeast interchange of I-70 and I-65 and the Keystone Ave. exit. As shown in Figure 14, Indiana's near-road site is Indpls – I-70 E. (180970087). Monitors at the site include NO₂, CO, O₃, wind speed, wind direction, ambient temperature, relative humidity, black carbon, air toxics, PM_{2.5}, and ultrafine particle count. These parameters are also listed in the individual parameter sections.

Network Modifications

There are no modifications planned for 2023.

Figure 14 – Near-Road Monitoring Network



Toxics (VOCs)

Monitoring Requirements

There are no requirements for toxics monitoring listed in 40 CFR Part 58.

Monitoring Methodology

Indiana uses a modification of the TO-15 method to collect toxics VOC data. TO-15 is part of U.S. EPA's Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air and consists of guidance for the sampling and analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. Ambient air is collected in a stainless steel canister in the field using either the Meriter MCS-1-R or the ATEC 2200 Air Toxic Samplers and analyzed using an Agilent 7890 GC/MS to determine the concentration of the compounds found in the sample obtained. Samples are collected for 24 hours on a one-in-six day sampling schedule. Table 19 lists the 62 different VOCs, and an aggregate currently being analyzed and reported.

Table 19 – VOCs

Propene	Freon-12	Chloromethane	Freon-114
Vinyl Chloride	1,3-Butadiene	Bromomethane	Chloroethane
Ethanol	Acrolein	Acetone	Freon-11
Isopropanol	Vinylidene Chloride	Dichloromethane	Carbon Disulfide
Freon-113	t-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethane	Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether
Vinyl acetate	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	c-1,2-Dichloroethene	Hexane
Ethyl Acetate	Chloroform	Tetrahydro-Furan	1,2-Dichloroethane
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Benzene	Carbon Tetrachloride	Cyclohexane
1,2-Dichloropropane	Bromodichloromethane	Trichloroethene	1,4-dioxane
Heptane	c-1,3-Dichloropropene	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	t-1,3-Dichloropropene
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Toluene	Methyl Butyl Ketone	Dibromochloromethane
1,2-Dibromoethane	Tetrachloroethene	Chlorobenzene	Ethylbenzene
m+p-Xylenes	Bromoform	Styrene	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
o-Xylene	p-Ethyltoluene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
Benzyl Chloride	m-Dichlorobenzene	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	Total NMOC	

Monitoring Network

In 2023 Indiana will operate 10 toxics sites. The current network along with any changes planned in 2023, is listed in Table 20 and shown in Figure 15.

Network Modifications

Due to changes unforeseen, the site in downtown Noblesville will no longer be necessary. Instead Indiana proposes to move the equipment from Terre Haute – Fort Harrison Rd. (181670025) to East Chicago – Franklin (180890006).

Figure 15 – Toxics Monitoring Network




Table 20 – Toxics Monitoring Network

Toxics - VOC														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
18090009	Clarksville	Clark	Clarksville	Falls of the Ohio SP, 201W. Riverside Dr.	OTHER	03/07/08	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	38.276557	-85.763791	Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	No
180890006	East Chicago - Franklin Sch.	Lake	East Chicago	Washington (formerly Franklin) School, Alder & 142nd St.	OTHER	01/01/23	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.636111	-87.440833	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Relocation
180890022	Gary - IITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201 Mississippi St.	OTHER	07/06/95	6-Day	126, 150	Middle	Pop Exp	41.606563	-87.305015	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.	OTHER	10/30/12	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.653501	-87.435561	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301 Aldis St.	OTHER	2019	1-Hour Benzene	134	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.653501	-87.435561	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180890035	Whiting - Center St.	Lake	Whiting	1500 Center St. (H.S. Admin. Bldg.)	OTHER	12/26/15	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.681393	-87.490233	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180892008	Hammond - 141st St.	Lake	Hammond	1300 E. 141st St.	OTHER	02/01/89	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.639444	-87.493611	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St.	OTHER	04/18/99	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 320 E. 30th St.	OTHER SLAMS (NEAR ROAD)	01/01/22	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Quality Assurance	39.810833	-86.114444	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	Add
180970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	OTHER SLAMS (NEAR ROAD)	01/01/16	6-Day	126, 150	Middle	Source Oriented	39.787933	-86.130880	Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson	No
181270024	Ogden Dunes	Porter	Ogden Dunes	Water Treatment Plant, 84 Diana Rd.	OTHER	08/05/98	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	41.617500	-87.199167	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
181630016	Evansville - U of E	Vanderburgh	Evansville	Carson Center, Walnut St.	OTHER	06/23/99	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	37.974444	-87.532222	Evansville, IN-KY	No
181670025	Terre Haute - Fort Harrison Rd.	Vigo	Terre Haute	INDOT Maintenance, 2400 Fort Harrison Rd.	OTHER	10/13/13	6-Day	126, 150	Neigh	Pop Exp	39.507688	-87.374440	Terre Haute	Relocate

MONITORING METHOD: 126 - CRYOGENIC PRECONCENTRATION GC/FID DETECTION
 134 - SEMI-CONTINUOUS ANALYZER GC/PID
 150 - CRYOGENIC PRECONCENTRATION GC/MS

Metals

Monitoring Requirements

There are no requirements for metals monitoring listed in 40 CFR Part 58.

Monitoring Methodology

Metals data are collected using a TSP sampler. The sample is collected on high purity glass microfiber filters for a 24-hour period according to an every-sixth-day sampling schedule. Filters are analyzed using the flameless atomic absorption method.

Monitoring Network

There are three sites that monitor TSP metals in Indiana in addition to Pb. Arsenic is monitored at East Chicago – Marina (180890034). East Chicago – Marina monitors for arsenic due to remediation activities at the USS Lead Superfund Site.

Manganese is monitored at all the sites in Lake and Porter counties. Due to concern over possible elevated manganese values reported in the School Air Toxics monitoring program in 2009, it was decided to analyze all the Pb samples collected in Lake and Porter Counties for manganese. These sites began reporting the additional metals data on January 2, 2010.

The metals sites are detailed in Table 21 and shown in Figure 16.

Network Modifications

Due to the shutdown of the nearby source and the presence of clean data before and after that shutdown, Indiana proposes to discontinue Hammond – E Lakeview St metals.

Figure 16 – Metals Monitoring Network




Table 21 – Metals Monitoring Network

Metals														
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Start Date	Operating Schedule	Monitoring Method	Scale	Monitoring Objective	Latitude	Longitude	CBSA	Site Change Proposed?
10890032	Gary - 4th Ave	Lake	Gary	Gary SouthShore RailCats, One Stadium Plaza	OTHER	01/02/10	6-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41603582	-87.332658	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301Aldis St.	OTHER	10/30/12	3-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41653501	-87.435561	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
10890037	Hammond - E. Lakeview St.	Lake	Hammond	241E. Lakeview St.	OTHER	08/03/18	3-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41674189	-87.495024	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	Discontinue
1270027	Burns Harbor-Port of Indiana	Porter		E. Boundary Rd	OTHER	08/18/11	6-Day	107	Middle	Source Oriented	41635161	-87.450376	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	No
<p><u>Metals Monitored</u> Manganese * Arsenic</p>														
<p>MONITORING METHOD: 107 - HI-VOL SAMPLER / ANALYSIS METHOD: FLAMELESS ATOMIC ABSORPTION</p>														

Meteorological Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements

Meteorological monitoring is generally not required for SLAMS, however meteorological monitoring data support the suitability of the site along with other data sets. Many factors determine the amount and types of meteorological data that are collected in Indiana. Some of the factors include the intended use of the data and the availability of representative meteorological data that is already being collected by the National Weather Service in any given area of interest. Meteorological monitoring is required at two types of sites: NCore and PAMS. 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D §3(b) specifies that at a minimum wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity, and ambient temperature be measured at NCore sites. Meteorology measurements are required at PAMS according to 40 CFR Appendix D §5. The required meteorological measurements are as follows; hourly averaged ambient temperature, hourly vector-averaged wind direction, hourly vector-averaged wind speed, hourly average atmospheric pressure, hourly averaged relative humidity, hourly precipitation, hourly averaged mixing height, hourly averaged solar radiation and hourly averaged ultraviolet radiation.

The near-road NO₂ monitoring sites do not require meteorological monitoring according to 40 CFR Part 58. However, meteorological monitoring is listed as a recommended Primary Priority in the Near-Road NO₂ Monitoring TAD. U.S. EPA suggests (at a minimum) to monitor wind speed, wind direction, temperature and relative humidity. If possible, other measurements such as precipitation, solar radiation and barometric pressure (among others) should be considered as well.

Monitoring Methodology

Monitoring sites in Indiana use a number of different sensors to record meteorological conditions. For wind data collection there are four sites with RM Young 3D Ultrasonic wind units. These sites are Gary – IITRI (180890022), Mechanicsburg (180650003), Indpls – I-70 E (180970087) and St. Philips (181290003). The remainder of sites collect wind data with RM Young 2D Ultrasonic wind units.

One instrument collects both Outdoor Temperature and Relative Humidity data at each site where these parameters are collected. The RM Young model 41372VF is currently in use. It uses platinum RTD for temperature and a Rotronic Hygromer® for humidity detection.

There are several different types of Barometric Pressure sensors deployed throughout the network. The manufacturers are Novalynx, Met One and RM Young but the technology used in each is a similar electronic barometer with a lower level of 500 millibars and an upper level of 1100 millibars.

Solar Radiation data are collected at three sites in Indiana with one type of precision spectral pyranometer, model PSP, manufactured by The Eppley Laboratory, Inc. Ultraviolet Radiation data are also collected at the same three sites with one type of Total Ultraviolet Radiometer, model TUVR, also manufactured by The Eppley Laboratory, Inc.

Precipitation data are collected at two sites in Indiana with one type of tipping bucket rain gauge, model 52202-E, manufactured by RM Young.

Mixing height is collected with a Vaisala CL51 at Indpls – Washington Park (180970078) PAMS. IDEM is working with U.S. EPA on solutions to ensure that the mixing height data is extracted properly from the ceilometer data files and reported to AQS.

Monitoring Network

Figure 17 shows meteorological data are to be collected at 17 sites across Indiana in 2023. Sites are established to provide coverage in all areas of the state where pollutant monitoring is conducted. Table 22 details the meteorological sites and the parameters collected. Included in Table 22 are two additional Industrial meteorological sites in support of SO₂ monitoring.

Network Modifications

There are no network modifications being planned for 2023.

Figure 17 – Meteorological Monitoring Network




Table 22 – Meteorological Monitoring Network

Meteorological Parameters by Site																
PQAO: 0520 REPORTING AGENCY: Indiana Department of Environmental Management																
Site ID	Site Name	County	City	Address	Monitor Type (Network)	Latitude	Longitude	61103/61104 WS / WD	62201 RH	64101 Baro Press	62101 Outside Temp	63302 UV Rad	63301 Solar Rad	65102 Precip	61301 Ceilometer Mxing Height	Site Change Proposed?
170230001	West Union	Clark Co., IL	West Union	416 S. St. Hwy 1	OTHER	39.210857	-87.668297	■	■	■	■					No
18003	Fort Wayne - Coliseum	Allen	Fort Wayne	707 N. Coliseum	OTHER			■	■	■	■					Relocation
18050002	Flora	Carroll		Flora Airport, 481S. 150 W	OTHER	40.540455	-86.553035	■	■		■					No
18090008	Charlestown State Park	Clark		Charlestown State Park, 12500 Hwy 62	OTHER	38.393823	-85.66418	■	■	■	■					No
180550001	Plummer	Greene		2500 S. 275 W	OTHER	38.985556	-86.990000	■	■		■					No
180650003	Mechanicsburg	Henry		Shenandoah HS, 7354 W. Hwy. 36	OTHER	40.009544	-85.523470	■	■	■	■					No
180890022	Gary - ITRI	Lake	Gary	IITRI Bunker, 201Missippi St.	SLAMS	41606563	-87.305015	■	■	■	■	■	■	■		No
180890034	East Chicago-Marina	Lake	East Chicago	East Chicago Marina, 3301Aldis St.	OTHER	41653501	-87.435561	■	■		■					No
180892008	Hammond - 141st St.	Lake	Hammond	1300 E. 141st St.	OTHER	41639444	-87.493611	■	■		■					No
180970073	Indpls - E. 16th St.	Marion	Indianapolis	6125 E. 16th St.	OTHER SLAMS	39.789167	-86.060833	■								No
180970078	Indpls - Washington Park	Marion	Indianapolis	Washington Park, 3120 E. 30th St	(NCORE/PAM S)	39.810833	-86.114444	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	No
180970086	Indpls - Southport	Marion	Indianapolis	Southport Wastewater Treatment Plant, 3800 W. Southport Rd	OTHER SLAMS	39.664498	-86.234898	■								No
180970087	Indpls - I-70 E	Marion	Indianapolis	1650 Ludlow Ave.	(NEAR ROAD)	39.787933	-86.130880	■	■	■	■					No
181290003	St Philips	Posey		2027 S. St. Phillips Rd., Evansville	OTHER	38.006411	-87.718411	■	■	■	■	■	■			No
181410015	South Bend - Shields Dr.	St Joseph	South Bend	2335 Shields Dr.	OTHER	41696667	-86.214722	■	■	■	■					No
181730011	Dayville	Warrick		3488 Eble Rd., Newburgh	OTHER	37.954444	-87.321667	■	■	■	■					No
181830003	Larwill	Whitley		Whito Middle School, 710 N. State Rd. 5	OTHER	41169722	-85.629444	■	■		■					No
PQAO: 1324 REPORTING AGENCY: Duke Energy																
171850001	Duke Energy - Mount Carmel	Wabash, IL		Division St.	INDUSTRIAL	38.397789	-87.773853	■								No
PQAO: 0235 REPORTING AGENCY: Cleveland Cliffs																
181270011	NIPSCO - Dune Acres	Porter	Dunes Acres	246 Bailey Station Rd.	INDUSTRIAL	41634104	-87.101452	■	■		■					No

Appendix A - Comment Submittal Information

The 2023 Ambient Air Monitoring Network Plan is posted on the IDEM website at <https://www.in.gov/idem/airmonitoring/indianas-ambient-air-monitoring-network/> for review and comment for thirty (30) days. The Plan has been posted from May 20 to June 18, 2022.

Comments should be emailed to:

Neil Deardorff (ndeardor@idem.IN.gov)

Or mailed to:

Neil Deardorff
IDEM/OAQ/AMB
2525 North Shadeland Avenue
Ste 100
Indianapolis, IN 46219

Or faxed to: (317) 308-3239

Network Comments

Appendix B

Evaluation of Indiana's Continuous PM_{2.5} Data Use and Exclusion of Data for Comparison to the NAAQS July 1, 2022

Introduction

The State of Indiana through the Office of Air Quality of IDEM has operated continuous PM_{2.5} monitors since 2000. Over the years the network has expanded to 21 sites (New Albany and Ft. Wayne will become operational in 2022). The monitors had been converted from available non FEM monitors to FEM monitors as they became approved, the reliability was considered adequate, and funding was sufficient to purchase them. All data from the continuous FEM monitors currently are used for AQI purposes and for submittal to AirNow for national and regional mapping purposes. At certain sites, where past FRM to FEM data comparison was deemed adequate, the FEM data were used along with the FRM data for comparison to the NAAQS.

Due to better comparison over the past several years and a revised grant funding formula from U.S. EPA, Indiana plans to use more FEMs for NAAQS comparison and to discontinue the noncontinuous FRM samplers. The criteria for comparison between the data from the noncontinuous FRMs and the continuous FEMs is set forth in 40CFR Part 53. This analysis and proposal details Indiana's determination of the data which are acceptable for use in NAAQS calculations and provides assistance to determine which sites should have FRMs discontinued.

Rules and Guidance

The rules and procedures for the testing and approval of ambient air monitoring reference and equivalent methods are contained in 40CFR part 53. Table C-4 of Subpart C contains the specific criteria for the determination of Class III FEM monitors for the collection of PM_{2.5} concentrations.

On January 15, 2013 U.S. EPA promulgated new requirements (78 FR 3086) for assessing the continuous PM_{2.5} data. These included amending "§58.11 Network Technical Requirements" by adding a new subsection (e) which defined the data and the requirements needed to determine if continuous FEM data from a State's or Local Agency's network should be used for comparison to the NAAQS.

§58.11(e) is summarized as follows:

1. State and local governments must assess the data from the Class III FEM PM_{2.5} monitors using the performance criteria in Table C-4 of subpart C to identify data which does not meet criteria and should not be used in comparison to the NAAQS.
2. The assessment should be included in the agency's annual network plan.
3. Values down to 0 µg/m³ can be included.
4. A minimum of one test site with one FRM and at least one FEM is required.
5. The precision statistic does not apply.
6. All seasons must be covered, with no more than 36 consecutive months aggregated together.
7. The key statistic metric is the bias (both additive and multiplicative) of the FEM compared to the FRM. Correlation is required to be reported, but failure to meet these criteria is not cause to exclude the data.

In April 2013, detailed instructions and a template for requesting exclusion of the data were distributed by OAQPS. It provided a detailed summary of the items required, explanation of the required statistics, and a

variety of analysis tools available to aid in the analysis. The procedures for submitting the exclusion request outside of the annual network review were also included.

All FEM data collected during the past three years were assessed using this analytical tool.

Indiana's Network

At the start of 2022 Indiana operated FEMs at 21 sites across the state (New Albany and Ft. Wayne will resume later in 2022). Ten FEMs are collocated with noncontinuous FRMs (R&P/Thermo 2025 w/VSCC) and ten sites operated FEMs only, eight Met One BAM 1020s and two Teledyne T640. The Met One BAM 1020 is used at 11 sites, eight sites have a Teledyne T640, and two sites have a Teledyne T640X deployed. Table 1 lists the current PM_{2.5} Continuous Network Sites evaluated and a summary of the overall results. All other FEM/FRM collocated sites have been accepted for FEM data comparison to the NAAQS.

Data Period to Review

In general, Indiana evaluated the data for the current monitor being used at the sites for the past three years, 2019 thru 2021.

Analysis Results

The current analysis results for each site are listed and graphed on the top half of Tables 2 through 5.

The following information for each site is listed on the bottom half of Tables 2 through 5:

Results from past evaluations and U.S. EPA responses, along with the current data analyses, were reviewed to determine which POC, or monitoring method, to be used as the primary and secondary data source for design value calculations. The decision on which noncontinuous FRMs could be discontinued was based on this information, as well as the need to maintain the required FRMs for programs such as NCore, Near-Road, speciation, and method collocation.

Network Requests

One of the monitors have been operating for less than two years: South Bend – Shields Dr, with the site having a method change in 2020. All but one of the remaining FEM monitors have very good comparison and are acceptable for use in NAAQS calculations currently. Larwill has produced very poor comparison data. The slope, intercept, and correlation for this site are not in the acceptable range and exclusion of the data for NAAQS comparison is requested. Due to consistently poor comparison, the method was changed to a Teledyne T640 mid-2021. The State is requesting exclusion of this data until at least one full year of comparison data can be collected. Further review later in calendar year 2022 is planned once more data can be collected.

Bloomington – Binford has recently shown poorer results than in years past. Due to this, the State is planning on a method change once funding is procured from the American Rescue Plan grant. Data will remain acceptable for NAAQS comparison, but the FRM will remain for data quality checks.

East Chicago – Marina and Jeffersonville – Bates-Bowyer Ave have reached the two-year threshold and the data comparison was favorable. Both sites' FEM data will be considered acceptable for NAAQS comparison.

Table 1
PM2.5 FEM / FRM Network Summary
Proposed FEM Data Usage


Site Name	County	City	AQS#	POC	Current FEM Sampler	Current FEM Method Start Date	Monitoring Criteria Met	Siting Criteria Met	FEM NAAQS Data Usage	Date Range	Comments
Jeffersonville - Bates-Bowyer	Clark	Jeffersonville	180190010	3	Teledyne T640	6/13/2019	6/13/2019	6/13/2019	Primary	6/13/19 on	
East Chicago - Marina	Lake	East Chicago	180890034	3	Teledyne T640	10/28/2019	10/28/2019	10/28/2019	Primary	10/28/19 on	
Bloomington - Binford	Monroe	Bloomington	181050003	3	Thermo Scientific 5030 SHARP	6/1/2018	1/1/2013	7/14/2015	Exclude	4/9/09 to 5/31/18	Past Evaluation
					Met One BAM 1020				Primary	6/1/18 on	Method Change Pending
Lanwill	Whitley		181830003	3	Met One BAM 1020	4/7/2010	1/1/2013	7/21/2015	Exclude	4/7/10 to 6/8/21	Method change from MetOne BAM to T640 in Jun '21
					Teledyne T640				Pending	6/9/21 on	

Table 2
Bloomington - Binford

Site Name	Bloomington - Binford	FEM Installed	4/9/2009
City	Bloomington	FEM Discontinued	6/4/2018
AQS #	181050003	Siting Criteria Met	7/14/2015
POC	3	New FEM Installed	6/4/2018
Instrument	Met One BAM 1020		
Method Description	Beta Attenuation		

Current Data Evaluation

PM2.5 Continuous Data Period		Sample Pairs Evaluated			Slope Criteria			Intercept Criteria			Correlation Criteria			Data Status
Begin Date	End Date	Total	Min. Req.	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Slope (m)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Intercept (y)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Correlation Range	Correlation	Meets Req?	
1/1/2019	12/31/2021	Winter = 78 Spring = 59 Summer = 81 Fall = 77 Total = 295		Yes	1 +/-0.10	0.8463	No	2.0000 to 0.3921	1.6486	Yes	>=0.94364	0.85385	No	Accept



FEM Data Evaluations

Network Plan Year	Data period	Indiana Plan Submittal	EPA Response
2020	6/4/18 to 12/31/19	Data Acceptable	Concur
2021	6/4/18 to 12/31/20	Data Acceptable	Concur
2022	1/1/19 to 12/31/21	Data Acceptable	Pending

DV Evaluation

Year	FRM Data	FEM Data	FRM w/FEM Sub
2019	7.6	7.3	7.6
2020	7.5	7.6	7.5
2021	8.6	8.2	8.2

NAAQS Comparable Data Usage



Monitor	Sample Frequency	POC	Year	2013	2014	2015		2016	2017	2018		2019	2020	2021	2022
				Time Period			1/1 to 7/13	7/14 to 12/31			1/1 to 6/4	6/4 to 12/31			
FRM	Intermittent	1		Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Secondary	Secondary
FEM	Continuous	3		Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Secondary	Secondary	Secondary	Primary	Primary

Table 3
East Chicago Marina

Site Name	East Chicago Marina	FEM Installed	10/24/2019
City		Siting Criteria Met	11/1/2019
AQS #	180890034		
POC	3		
Instrument	Teledyne T640		
Method Description	Optical		

Current Data Evaluation

PM2.5 Continuous Data Period		Sample Pairs Evaluated			Slope Criteria			Intercept Criteria			Correlation Criteria			Data Status
Begin Date	End Date	Total	Min. Req.	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Slope (m)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Intercept (y)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Correlation Range	Correlation	Meets Req?	
11/1/2019	12/31/2021	Winter =	66	yes	1 +/-0.10	1.0038	Yes	1.7998	0.7450	Yes	>=0.9500	0.9408	No	Accept
		Spring =	60											
		Summer =	58											
		Fall =	59											
		Total =	243											



FEM Data Evaluations

Network Plan Year	Data period	Indiana Plan Submittal	EPA Response
2021	1/1/20 to 12/31/20	Data Excluded	Concur
2022	1/1/20 to 12/31/21	Data Acceptable	Pending

DV Evaluation

Year	FRM Data	FEM Data	FRM w/FEM Sub
2020	9.5	9.8	9.6
2021	10.0	10.8	10.6

NAAQS Comparable Data Usage


Monitor	Sample Frequency	POC	Year	
			2021	2022
FRM	Intermittent	1	Primary	Secondary
FEM	Continuous	3	Exclude	Primary

Table 4
Jeffersonville - Bates Bowyer Ave

Site Name Jeffersonville - Bates Bowyer Ave FEM Installed 6/14/2019
 City Jeffersonville Siting Criteria Met 6/14/2019
 AQS # 180190010
 POC 3
 Instrument Teledyne T640
 Method Description Optical

Current Data Evaluation

PM2.5 Continuous Data Period		Sample Pairs Evaluated			Slope Criteria			Intercept Criteria			Correlation Criteria			Data Status
Begin Date	End Date	Total	Min. Req.	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Slope (m)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Intercept (y)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Correlation Range	Correlation	Meets Req?	
6/14/2019	12/31/2021	Winter =	67	Yes	1 +/-0.10	1.0210	Yes	1.5728	1.0149	Yes	>=0.9500	0.94035	No	Accept
		Spring =	61											
		Summer =	88											
		Fall =	86											
		Total =	302											



FEM Data Evaluations

Network Plan Year	Data period	Indiana Plan Submittal	EPA Response
2021	6/14/19 to 12/31/20	Data Excluded	Concur
2022	6/14/2019	Data Accept	Pending

DV Evaluation

Year	FRM Data	FEM Data	FRM w/FEM Sub
2019	9.0	9.7	9.1
2020	8.8	9.8	9.4
2021	9.7	11.1	10.5

NAAQS Comparable Data Usage


Monitor	Sample Frequency	POC	Year	2019	2020	2021	2022
			Time Period				
FRM	Intermittent	1		Primary	Primary	Primary	Secondary
FEM	Continuous	3		Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Primary

Table 5
Larwill

Site Name Larwill FEM Installed 4/7/2010
 City Larwill Siting Criteria Met 7/21/2015
 AQS # 181830003
 POC 3
 Instrument Met One BAM-1020 PM-2.5 w/VSCC
 Method Description Beta Attenuation

Current Data Evaluation

PM2.5 Continuous Data Period		Sample Pairs Evaluated			Slope Criteria			Intercept Criteria			Correlation Criteria			Data Status
Begin Date	End Date	Total	Min. Req.	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Slope (m)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Range	Intercept (y)	Meets Req?	Acceptable Correlation Range	Correlation	Meets Req?	
6/9/2021	12/31/2021	Winter =	9	No	1 +/-0.10	1.1271	No	0.1723	0.7200	No	>=0.9500	0.97056	Yes	Exclude
		Spring =	0											
		Summer =	28											
		Fall =	26											
		Total =	63											



FEM Data Evaluations

Network Plan Year	Data period	Indiana Plan Submittal	EPA Response
2020	1/1/17 to 12/31/19	Data Excluded	Concur
2021	1/1/18 to 12/31/20	Data Excluded	Concur
2022	6/9/21 to 12/31/21	Data Excluded	Pending

DV Evaluation

Year	FRM Data	FEM Data	FRM w/FEM Sub
2019	8.0	8.4	8.1
2020	7.5	9.8	9.0
2021	8.4	9.6	9.0

NAAQS Comparable Data Usage

Monitor	Sample Frequency	POC	Year	2013	2014	2015		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021		2022
			Time Period			1/1 to 7/20	7/21 to 12/31						1/1 to 6/9	6/9 to 12/31	
FRM	Intermittent	1		Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
FEM	Continuous	3		Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude