Roundabout Prequalification Training

Presenters

American Structurepoint, Inc.

- Jeromy Grenard, PE, PTOE
- Craig Parks, PE

Why Roundabouts?

- Everybody else is building them?
- They look cool?
- Circles are better than squares?




• We want to be like the Europeans?


SAFETY!

- According to the Insurance Institute of Highway Safety (IIHS), more than 800 people die and over 200,000 are injured in the U.S. each year in crashes that involve red light running
- In 2000, the IIHS found that roundabouts had 79% fewer accidents with injuries than ordinary intersections.
- Since 2000, IIHS has issued a total of five reports promoting the use of roundabouts

















Why Roundabouts?


- INDOT desires roundabouts to be considered for any intersection improvement project
- Another tool in the toolbox
- Not always the answer, but often you'll be surprised!

Why Prequalification?

- Proven safety measure
- INDOT desires roundabouts to be considered in your planning process
- Sound design plays a major role in the function of a roundabout
- Understanding the important parameters of roundabouts is crucial to sound design.







INDOT Roundabout Design Policy

- FHWA Guide (NCHRP 672)
- 2009 MUTCD (pavement markings and signage)
- HCM 2010 (operations)
- IDM Chapter 51-12.0 (written prior to NCHRP 672)
- Soon to be replaced by IDM 305-5.0 (supplement to NCHRP 672)

Roundabout Design Checklist

Purpose: To provide guidance to designers and reviewers on many of the major items to be considered during the design of roundabouts



Roundabout Design Checklist

- Not a comprehensive list nor a set of hard and fast rules
- Documentation is critical for reviewers to understand the designer's intentions
- Diverging from the ranges outside of the desirable ranges shown is acceptable but needs to be justified with design documentation

Roundabout Design Checklist

- Divided into four major categories
 - Planning
 - Design Documentation
 - Roundabout Design
 - Design Plans
- Designers should submit completed checklist and documentation with all roundabout submittals

Roundabout Planning Scoping and Justification of Alternatives

"A comparison of roundabout practicality/feasibility vs. other intersection types should be conducted, taking into consideration safety, traffic operations, capacity, ROW impacts, and cost."

Roundabout Planning Evaluation Criteria

- Operations
- Safety
- R/W impacts
- Construction cost
- User costs
- Constructability
- Public input
- Maintenance of traffic
- Noise and environmental impacts

Roundabout Planning Locations Where Roundabouts Can Be Beneficial

- High-speed rural intersections
- Locations with mediocre/poor crash history
- Locations with traffic operational problems
- Closely spaced intersections
- Near structures, including freeway interchange ramps
- Access management
- Gateway or transition locations
- Where community enhancement is desired
- Near schools
- Corridors

Roundabout Planning Locations Where Roundabouts Can Be Beneficial – Corridors

- NCHRP currently performing research to analyze
- roundabout corridors
 Our experience: work very well when all roundabouts are operating under capacity
- No need to coordinate timings
- Every vehicle on every approach must slow down to enter the roundabout
- Slower speeds increase motorist and pedestrian safety

Roundabout Planning Location – Proceed with Caution

- Within a system of coordinated signals
- On a steep grade
- Where stopping sight distance cannot be achieved
- Near rail crossings
- Near a signalized intersection


Roundabout Planning

Memo or report with the following, where applicable:

- Traffic volumes and crash history
- 20-year traffic projections
- Capacity analysis
- Conceptual geometric design
- Public involvement
- Comparison to other intersection types, including "Do Nothing"
- Crash analysis
- Selection of preferred option

Roundabout Planning Traffic Data

- 20-year forecasts
- Consider staged construction Interim year analysis required
- Turning movements critical Roundabout capacity dependent on approach and conflicting circulating traffic



Roundabout Planning Capacity Analysis - Tools

Capacity Analysis (Macroscopic):


- RODEL / ARCADY
- SIDRA Intersection
- Equations from FHWA Roundabout Guide
- Equations from NCHRP Report 572 "Roundabouts in the United States" (published in 2007)
- HCM 2010 (HCS 2010, Synchro, SIDRA, etc.)

Simulations (Microscopic):

- Vissim
- Paramics
- Others

Roundabout Planning Capacity Analysis - Tools

- Roundabout geometric features used in design should match those in the capacity analysis if a capacity model with geometry inputs is being used (ARCADY, RODEL, SIDRA)
- Learn the theory, limitations, and strengths of the software that you are using!



Roundabout Planning Capacity – Rules of Thumb


- Single-lane roundabouts up to 25,000 vpd
- Two-lane roundabouts up to 40,000 vpd
- Three-lane roundabouts in excess of 55,000 vpd
- Highly dependent upon turning movement percentages
- Rule of Thumb -> Single lane approach volume = 1,100 1,200 vph

Roundabout Planning Capacity – LOS Requirements

Roundabout level of service is similar to that of an unsignalized intersection.

Control Delay (s/veh)	v/c ≤ 1.0	v/c >1.0	
		WC 21.0	
0-10	A	F	
>10-15	в	F	
>15-25	C	F	
>25-35	D	F	
>35-50	E	F	
>50	F	F	
	(based on HCM)		
service should me	eet the IDM thresh	olds for different facility tyr	hes
(C	Surrently Chapters	53-56)	
	>10-15 >15-25 >25-35 >35-50 >50 * For approaches and intersecti N service should mo	>10-15 B >15-25 C >25-35 D >35-50 E >50 F NCHRP Report 672 - Exhibit (based on HCM) service should meet the IDM threshol	>10-15 B F >15-25 C F >25-35 D F >35-50 E F >50 F F * For approaches and intersection-wide assessment, LOS is defined solely by control delay. NCHRP Report

JG1	G1 Design Element		Manual Section	2 Lanes		4 or More Lanes			
	Design-Year Traff	ic, AADT		40-2.01	< 400	400 s AADT < 2000	≥ 2000	**Undivided	Divided
22	Design Forecast	Period		40-2.02		20 Years		20 \	ears .
Cortects	*Design Speed, m	ph (1)		40-3.0	Level	60 - 70; Rolling: 5	50 - 60	60	60-70
10	Access Control			45.5.0		Partial Control / Non		Partial Cor	
	Level of Service			40-2.0	De	sirable B. Minimum	e C	Destruction B	Meanune C
				45-1.01					
	Travel Lane	Typical	Surface Type (2)	Chp. 52		Asphalt / Concrete		Asphalt /	Concrete
		*Width I	Jsable	45-1.02	61	81	11 ft (3b)	11 ft (3b)	Right 11 ft (3b) Left 4 ft (3e)
	Shoulder (3)	"Width P		45-1.02 One: 52	411	6 11	10 ft (3b)	10 ft (3b)	Right: 10 ft (3b) Left: 4 ft (3e)
e -	e		Typical Surface Type (2)		Asphalt / Concrete			Asphalt / Concrete	
1	Cross Skope Travel Lane (4) Shoulder (4A)		45-1.01	2% Paved Width 5.4 ft: 2%: Paved Width > 4 ft: 4%		2% Paved Width 5.4 ft 2%: Paved Width > 4 ft 4%			
đ	Shoulder (4A)		45-1.02						
8	Auxiliary Lane Width (5)		45-1.03	Desirable: 12 R; Minimum: 11 R Same as Next to Travel Lane		Desirable: 12 ft: Mnimum: 11 ft Same as Next to Travel Lane			
Sector	Lane	should	er Width (5)		Sav	e as Next to Travel	Lane	Same as Next	
8	Median Width			45-2.0		N/A		0.0 11	Desirable: 80 ft Minimum: 16 ft (7)
8	Clear-Zone Width	_		49-2.0		(ð)			8)
°		Cut Ditch Width		6(1(50)		6:1 (10) 4.8 (11)			
	Side Slopes (9)			45-3.0		4 8 (11)			
	Side Siges (II)		Backslope		4:1 6/	20 R; 3:1 Max. to T	op (12)	4:1 for 20 ft; 3:1	Max. to Top (12)
		Fill		45-3.0	6:1 to Clear Zone; 3:1 Max. to Toe		6:1 to Clear Zone; 3:1 Max. to Toe		
	Median Slopes		45-2.02	NA Desirable: 8:1: Ma		Maximum: 5:1			
	New or Reconstructed	*Structu Capacit	y .	Chp. 60			HL-03 (
	Bridge	*Clear-	Roadway Width(14)	45-4.01	Full Paved Approach Width				
	Existing Structural Bridge to Capacity		Chp. 72	HS-20					
2	Remain in Place		Roadway Width	45-4.01	Travelway Plus 2 ft on Each Side				
8	Place Place	Overpa	Replaced ssing Bridge (15)		16.5 ft				
	Clearance, Arterial Under		ssing Bridge	44-4.0	14.8				
			fan Bridge (15)		New: 17.5 ft; Existing: 17 ft				
	Vertical Clearance	e, Arterial	Over Railroad (16)	Chp. 69			23 1		
			An arterial of 4 and bridge elem					rided. e of the design speed.	
			GEO			ERIA FOR RUR n or Reconstruction	IAL ARTERIAL ion)		
1	Figure 53-2								



Roundabout Planning Queue Length

Calculated queue lengths should not cause blocking of nearby drives or intersections (95th percentile queue length)




Slide 29


JG1 Added slide Jeromy Grenard, 7/10/2013

Slide 30

JG2	Added graphics
	Jeromy Grenard, 7/10/2013







Roundabout Type	Recommended Fastest Path Speed
Mini Roundabout	20 mph
Single Lane Roundabout	25 mph
Multi Lane Roundabout	25 – 30 mph
peeds can exceed thes ngineering judgment m ocumentation must be	ust be used



JG3 Table colors and formatting Jeromy Grenard, 7/10/2013

Design Documentation Speed Differential / Consistency




- Desirable to have all speeds within roundabout 10mph 15mph
- Refer to NCHRP 6.7.3.1
- Should be balanced with other roundabout needs. All variances should be explained in documentation

Design Documentation Stopping Sight Distance


- All SSD calculations must be shown graphically
- Refer to NCHRP 6.7.3.1
- SSD is a level 1 criteria


Design Documentation Stopping Sight Distance • Three locations should be checked: – Approach sight distance – Sight distance on circulatory roadway – Sight distance to crosswalk on exit



Design Documentation Intersection Sight Distance

- All ISD calculations must be shown graphically
- Refer to NCHRP 6.7.3.2
- ISD is soon to be a level 1 criteria
- Too much ISD can increase roundabout speeds
- Use equations found in NCHRP 672





Design Documentation Allowable Landscaping Areas



- Include an overlay of graphical checks of ISD and SSD on a single sheet
- Overlays will reveal areas where landscaping height is and is not restricted
- Must perform checks even if landscaping is not part of original plans

Design Documentation Allowable Landscaping Areas

- Splitter Island Maximum landscaping height will be 1.5' from top of curb
- Refer to NCHRP 672 Chapter 9 for additional guidance

Design Documentation

FHWA Roundabout Guide: "For a roundabout to operate satisfactorily, a driver must be able to enter the roundabout, move through the circulating traffic, and separate from the circulating stream in a safe and efficient manner. To accomplish this, a driver must be able to perceive the general layout and operation of the intersection in time to make the appropriate maneuvers. Adequate lighting should therefore be provided at all roundabouts."



CP1 ADD IN GRAPHIC Craig Parks, 7/10/2013

Design Documentation


- Present guidance and resources
 - NCHRP 672, Chapter 8
 - IESNA Publication DG-19-08
 - AASHTO
 - Proprietary methods and vendor assistance

Design Documentation

- Several studies have been completed to determine the best lighting practices at roundabouts.
 - Approaches
 - Circulatory Roadway
 - Exits
- Light placement in advance of pedestrian facilities is critical
- Pavement markings, signs, and lighting designs go handin-hand



- Accommodate luminaire capability, and illumination and uniformity requirements
- Consider clear zone
- Evaluate arm lengths




Design Documentation



- All roundabouts need to be lit
- Place one light in advance of each approach crosswalk
- Additional lighting at roundabouts should be considered to better illuminate the roundabouts and eliminate dark spots
- Light pollution to neighboring residents can be a concern
- Center island landscaping can incorporate uplighting for additional visibility


Roundabout Design

- Roundabout geometry plays a major role in the capacity and safety of the roundabout
- Geometry of roundabout design needs to match geometry in capacity analysis
- If geometry is different than engineer's report, designer should re-run capacity analysis



Roundabout Type	Low End	High End
Single Lane	90'	180′
Two Lane	150′	220'
Three Lane	200'	300′
Three Lane		300'






Roundabout Design

• Why is left offset preferred?

- Desired deflection is easier to achieve
- Can utilize a smaller circle without reducing deflection
- Results in slower entry speeds

JG4 Changed Table colors Jeromy Grenard, 7/8/2013





Roundabout Design Circulatory Roadway Width

Roundabout Type	Low End	High End
Single Lane	16′	20'
Two Lane	28'	32'
Three Lane	42'	48'


• Refer to NCHRP 6.4.3 and 6.5.3

• "Rule of Thumb" is that circulatory roadway is 100% to 120% of entry width



JG5 Changed table colors Jeromy Grenard, 7/8/2013

Roundabout		
Туре	Low End	High End
Single Lane	50'	100′
Multi-Lane	65'	120'
Design should	match the geom	etry used in the



Roundabout Type	Low End	High End
Single Lane	14'	18′
Two Lane	24'	30'
Three Lane	36'	45'
	ndicular to left and 6.5.2	nd right curb lii



JG6 Changed table colors Jeromy Grenard, 7/8/2013

Slide 57


JG7	Changed table colors
	Jeromy Grenard, 7/8/2013

Exit Radiu


Roundabout Design


- Typically 100' to 800'
- 300' to 600' is desirable
- Refer to NCHRP 6.4.6 and 6.5.6
- Exit radii as small as 50' can be used if necessary to control speeds at crosswalk
- Smaller exit radii can affect natural flow of traffic through roundabout and reduce capacity

Refer to NCHRP 6.2.3 Figure 51-22NN in current IDM illustrates how to avoid overlap










Roundabout Design

- Truck apron allows large vehicles to track to the inside of the roundabout
- Minimum effective/constructible width is 3', minimum width of 5' is desirable
- No maximum width based on turning templates
- Refer to NCHRP 6.4.7.1 and 6.8.7.4
- Documentation for proper design vehicle and illustrating adequate width should be included with design submittals




Roundabout Design Pavement Markings & Signs

- Pavement markings and signs are critical to the function of roundabouts
- Pavement marking schematics should be submitted with Stage 1 plans to illustrate design intent
- Pavement markings should be designed in accordance with MUTCD 3C and NCHRP 7.3
- Signs should be designed in accordance with MUTCD 2B.43-45 and NCHRP 7.4

Roundabout Design Lighting Structures Placement

- Lights must be located in advance of crosswalks to avoid pedestrian back-lighting
- Refer to NCHRP Chapter 8 & IESNA Publication DG-19-08
- Light poles can be placed in central island if
 necessary but should not be placed in splitter islands



Roundabout Design Entry Grade Profile

- Entry grade profile should be leveled out so as not to exceed 3%
- Entry grade profile is defined as the area approximately two car lengths from the outer edge of the circle
- Refer to NCHRP 6.8.7.5



Roundabout Design Drainage Structures

- Avoid drainage structures within circulatory roadway
- Desirable location is between circulatory roadway
 and curb ramps
- Primary reason for concern is maintenance difficulties
- Refer to NCHRP 6.8.7.6
- In some situations, this can not be avoided to meet spread/encroachment requirements

Design Plans

- Spot elevations and/or grading plans should be clear and concise
- Sign types and locations should be clearly defined
- Specialty pavement markings must be clearly detailed

Design Plans

- Radii should be clearly labeled
- For early plan submittals Provide the reviewer ample information to identify the critical elements (ICD, Approach & Exit Radii, etc.)
- For Stage 3 plans Can a contractor build the roundabout with the information provided?

Future Policy Updates

- Indiana Design Manual Updates Soon!
 - Significantly reduced
 - Largely relies on NCHRP 672
 - Incorporated into intersections chapter 305
 - May be organized per checklist

Future Policy Updates

- Checklist modifications
- All roundabouts will now be considered 4R
- Adding lane drop taper requirements
- High speed approach detail modifications

Future Policy Updates

- Clear zone definition
 - Curb offset + 4' for interior
 - Curb offset + 6' for perimeter
 - Clear zone transition zone on approach
- Pedestrian signal recommendations

Common Questions

- How important is public education?
- How do you maintain traffic during construction?
- What about visually impaired pedestrians?
- Are roundabouts safe on high speed facilities?
- What about bicyclists?




Single Lane Roundabout Layout


Getting Started

- 5 step process with a foundation of designing pavement marking alignments
- Multiple iterations of these 5 steps will need to be completed to achieve the optimum geometric design
- Curbs and edges of pavement are derived by the pavement markings in accordance with the FHWA Roundabout Guide.

Disclaimer: There are many approaches to achieve a sound geometric roundabout design. This approach is just one relatively simple method we have found to work.







Single Lane Roundabout Layout

Geometric Basics


- · Inscribed diameter
 - Typically start with 130' and adjust based on existing conditions
 - Dependent on your design vehicle
- Circulatory roadway width


 - Dependent on your design vehicle
 Typically start with 15'-16' for a single lane roundabout
- Truck apron width
 Dependent on your design vehicle tracking
 Typically start with 5'


Single Lane Roundabout Layout



- Approach Radius
 - Typically start with 100'
 - Affects your roundabout capacity and speeds
- Exit Radius
 - Typically start with 600'
 - Affects your roundabout capacity and speeds













Single Lane Roundabout Layout










Single Lane Roundabout Layout


 Step 6

 Trim &


 review your


 geometrics













Single Lane Roundabout Layout Step 2 Fillet centerline to inside of circulatory roadway for exits




Single Lane Roundabout Layout

Step 3 Fillet inside of exit lane with inside circle to create inside approach lane











Single Lane Roundabout Layout








Single Lane Roundabout Layout

Splitter Islands

- Where pedestrian facilities exist, the splitter island should be at least 50'
- Additional modifications to geometry may be necessary to develop required splitter island length



Single Lane Roundabout Layout

Alterations to Geometric Layout

- Can decrease exit radii to avoid R/W impacts or slow exiting traffic due to crosswalk.
- Be careful not to reduce exit radii too much
- Can offset centerline in Step 4 additionally to create a longer splitter island
- When a median is involved, in Step 4 you can offset the line to match the inside approach edge of the existing median

Multi Lane Roundabout Layout

Multi-Lane Roundabout Layout


Geometric Basics


- · Inscribed diameter
 - Typically start with 160' and adjust based on existing conditions - Dependent on your design vehicle
- · Circulatory roadway width


 - Dependent on your design vehicle Typically start with 30'-31' for a 2 lane roundabout

• Truck apron width

- Dependent on your design vehicle tracking
- Typically start with 5'












Multi-Lane Roundabout Layout









Multi-Lane Roundabout Layout

- Step 5 • Fillet with outside edge of circulatory
- Only do this for the single lane entries!

